



WASHTENAW COUNTY

SOLID WASTE PLAN

2017



WASHTENAW COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
PUBLCWORKS@EWASHTENAW.ORG



ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

WASHTENAW COUNTY SOLID WASTE PLANNING COMMITTEE

Bryan Weinert, Chair
Pierre Gonyon, Vice Chair
Andrew Berki
Meghan Bonfiglio
Michael Garfield
Christina Gomes
Frank Hammer
Kirk Lignell
Amy Magnus
Paul Mazanec
David Rettell
John Spurr
Nancy Stone
Gary Whittaker

WASHTENAW COUNTY BOARD OF PUBLIC WORKS

(Designated Planning Agency)

Mona L. Walz, Chair
Andrew Schmidt, Vice Chair
James L. Dries, Secretary
Michelle Deatrick, Board of Commissioners
Liaison
John G. Everett
Steve Feinman
Molly Maciejewski
Evan Pratt, Water Resources Commissioner
and Public Works Director

STAFF CONTRIBUTORS

Noelle Bowman, Solid Waste Program Specialist
Jeff Krcmarik, Environmental Supervisor
Jonathan Pelukas, Environmental Coordinator
Jon Tulman, Solid Waste Program Specialist

ADDITIONAL CONTRIBUTORS

Anna Lynott, Resource Recycling Systems



TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY 5

Overview of Plan Document.....	6
Overall View of the County	6
Solid Waste Overview of Washtenaw County	6
Plan Implementation within Washtenaw County	
Government	7
Siting of Landfills	7
The Solid Waste Planning Process.....	7
Conclusions	10
Sources for Data and Information	11

DATABASE 11

Sources of Waste Generation in the County	12
1. Residential Waste	12
2. Commercial/Industrial Wastes.....	14
3. Total Waste Generated in the County.....	15
Solid Waste Disposal Areas	16
Facility Descriptions.....	17
Solid Waste Collection Services and Transportation	
Infrastructure	41
Evaluation of Problems and Deficiencies	43
Demographics and Land Development	45
Solid Waste Management Alternatives	55
Goals and Objectives	57

THE SELECTED SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM..... 57

Selected System Components	60
Import/Export Authorizations	64
Solid Waste Disposal Areas	69
Solid Waste Collection Services and Transportation ...	71

Resource Conservation Efforts	72
Waste Reduction, Recycling, and	
Composting Programs.....	73
1. Overview of Resource Recovery Programs	73
2. Details on Recycling, Composting, and	
Household Hazardous Waste Programs	74
Identification of Resource Recovery	
Management Entities	81
Projected Diversion Rates.....	81
Market Availability for Collected Materials.....	82
Educational and Informational Programs	83
Timetable for Selected System Implementation	84
Siting Review Procedures	86
Solid Waste Management Components	100
Identification of Responsible Parties	101
Local Ordinances and Regulations	
Affecting the Solid Waste Disposal Areas	104
Capacity Certifications.....	105
Additional Information Regarding the	
Selected Systems	106

APPENDIX A..... 106

Evaluation of Recycling	107
Detailed Features of Recycling and Composting	
Programs	113
Coordination Efforts	116
Costs and Funding	117
Evaluation Summary of the Selected System	118
Advantages and Disadvantages of	
the Selected System.....	120

APPENDIX B..... 121

Non-Selected Systems	121
----------------------------	-----

APPENDIX C..... 129

Public Involvement Process	130
Planning Committee Appointment Procedure	130
Planning Committee Meeting Dates	130
Planning Committee Members	131
Public Comment Period and Public Hearing	131
Public Notices and Related Documents	132

APPENDIX D: ATTACHMENTS.....175

Plan Implementation Strategy	176
Resolutions	177
Listed Capacity	178
Maps.....	182
Intercounty Agreements.....	184
Special Conditions.....	185
Other Attachments.....	186
1. Overview Of Planning Process (2015-2017).....	186
2. Glossary Of Terms	187
3. Summary Of Washtenaw County Public Feedback Survey Form Results.....	189
Host Community Agreement	193

LIST OF TABLES.....

1. Washtenaw County Project Landfill Needs	12
2. Washtenaw County Residential Waste Generation	13
3. Commercial/Industrial Waste and Recycling Generation	14
4. Commercial/Industrial Waste and Recycling Projected	15
5. Total Washtenaw County Waste	15
6. Employment by Major Industry Sectors	46
7. Population and Household Estimates	48
8. Population by Municipality	49
9. Community Population Densities	50
10. Population and Household Forecast	53
11. Land Use Acreage	54
12. Authorized Imports of Solid Waste	65

13. Current Export Volume Authorization of Solid Waste	62
14. Estimated Diversion by Resource Conservation Efforts	67
15. Curbside Recycling Programs	70
16. Drop-off Recycling Programs	71
17. Management Responsibilities for Local Recycling Programs as of April 2016	72
18. Management Responsibilities for Local Programs	78
19. Management Responsibilities for Local Yard Waste Programs	79
20. Household Hazardous Waste Collection Programs	80
21. Projected Diversion Rates	81
22. Market Availability for Collected Materials	82
23. Educational and Informational Programs	83
24. Implementation Table	84
25. Key Components Evaluation Summary	112

LIST OF FIGURES

1. Solid Waste Collection by Type in Washtenaw County	41
2. Employment Growth in Washtenaw County by Community	47
3. Number of Business in Washtenaw County by Size	48
4. Population Density in Washtenaw County	51
5. Major Waste Generation Centers.....	52
6. Population Growth Estimates	53
7. MSW Generation Estimated	113
8. Percent Composition of Recycling Material in the State of Michigan	114
9. Recycling Fates of Selected Products	114
10. Facility Location Within the County	182
11. Regional Waste, Recyclables, and Compost Facilities	183



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

OVERVIEW OF PLAN DOCUMENT

Each County in the State of Michigan is required to have a Solid Waste Management Plan to assure that all the solid waste generated in the county is collected and recovered, processed or disposed of for a ten-year period at facilities that comply with state laws and rules.

The purpose of the Executive Summary is to provide an easy-to-read overview of the Washtenaw County Solid Waste Management Plan (referred to as “the Plan”) including key components of the Plan and reference to where to find more information about a particular topic.

The plan consists of three primary sections with appendices to provide supporting documents and materials. The three sections are:

- **Introduction:** describes the goals and objectives of the Plan.
- **Database:** consists of background information to support the development and implementation of the Plan.
- **Selected Solid Waste Management System:** describes the comprehensive approach to managing the County’s solid waste and recoverable materials.

The appendices provide supporting documents and materials.

- **Appendix A** contains additional detail about the evaluation of current systems.
- **Appendix B** contains additional evaluation of alternatives not selected by the County.
- **Appendix C** contains documentation of public participation.
- **Appendix D** contains additional information related to the database, the selected system, and other materials the Solid Waste Planning Committee determined important to include. These materials include a glossary of terms and an overview of the solid waste planning process.

As you read through this document, please note that italicized text is required by the State of Michigan and must be included in the Plan document.

If you have any questions while reviewing this document, please contact Washtenaw County’s Department of Public Works via email at publicworks@ewashtenaw.org.

OVERALL VIEW OF THE COUNTY

Washtenaw County is in southeast Michigan, covering an area of 720 square miles. The County is situated approximately 30 miles west of Detroit: Ann Arbor is the County Seat. Six cities: Ann Arbor, Dexter, Ypsilanti, Saline, Chelsea and Milan; and two incorporated villages: Manchester, and Barton Hills are located within the County. The County spans a distance of 30 miles east-west and extends 24 miles in the north-south direction. The County's 28 cities, villages and townships are home to about 354,000 citizens in urban, suburban, and rural settings. This mix of settings provides many opportunities for education, recreation, business, agricultural, and home life. The two largest cities are Ann Arbor and Ypsilanti which are home to two large universities - the University of Michigan in Ann Arbor and Eastern Michigan University in Ypsilanti. For more information on population and other demographics, please see pages 45-54.

SOLID WASTE OVERVIEW OF WASHTENAW COUNTY

While the entire solid waste system is described in detail throughout this plan, this list provides a quick overview of some key components and data points for solid waste and recycling in Washtenaw County.

- 66% of Washtenaw County's population automatically receives curbside collection of trash and recycling through municipally contracted services. The rest of the population is served through subscription services or preferred hauler contracts; and for recycling, through drop-off locations throughout the county. See page 41 for additional information.
- The estimated residential recycling rate for Washtenaw County is 33%. For commercial recycling, the rate is roughly 12%. In comparison, the state average recycling rate is estimated to be about 15%. Therefore, Washtenaw County exceeds the state average by more than double.
- The highest residential diversion occurs in the City of Chelsea, which utilizes a Pay as You Throw (PAYT) program. Under this program, individual residents can control their own costs when it comes to disposal - if they want to throw away more, they pay more. If they throw away less, they pay less. Curbside recycling is provided weekly and drop-off bins are provided at two locations in the city, making recycling easy and convenient.
- Of the local units of government that track solid waste and recycling data, the average household recycles 440 pounds per year. In Chelsea, that number is almost double at 800 pounds per household.
- Washtenaw County Department of Public Works (DPW) offers several programs to divert challenging materials. The programs handle materials like household hazardous waste, electronic waste, shrink wrap, pharmaceutical waste, and recyclables from schools and special events. In 2016, the programs successfully diverted 494,000 pounds (or 247 tons) from disposal.
- 40% of waste generated within Washtenaw County is disposed of at Arbor Hills Landfill. The remaining waste generated within the County is disposed of in landfills southeast Michigan. Washtenaw County's waste only represents 10% of the waste received at Arbor Hills. Most waste disposed of in that landfill is collected from Wayne and Oakland Counties.
- There are 2 Material Recycling Facilities (MRF), 1 Landfill, 2 Trash Transfer Stations, 3 Compost sites, and 15 recycling drop-off locations in Washtenaw County (as of 2016).
- Washtenaw County DPW is actively engaged in community outreach through its programs such as Zero Waste Events, Saturday Drop-off Events, Waste Knot Partnerships, and Master Composter training. The DPW also provides information and resources to the community through a weekly radio program, informational videos, and helpful resource guides like "Turning Trash into Treasure" - a comprehensive summary of waste reduction, reuse, recycling and disposal opportunities throughout Washtenaw County.

PLAN IMPLEMENTATION WITHIN WASHTENAW COUNTY GOVERNMENT

The Washtenaw County Board of Public Works (BPW) consists of a seven-member board appointed by the County Board of Commissioners (BOC). The BPW is authorized by the BOC to serve as the Designated Planning Agency for the County, referred to in this document as “the County” or “Washtenaw County”. The BPW is involved in all aspects of the County Solid Waste Plan, including planning, implementation, and enforcement. The County’s DPW and its staff serve the Board of Public Works and support the day-to-day implementation of the Plan. For additional information about roles and responsibilities, please see pages 94-97.

SITING OF LANDFILLS

Under this Plan, landfills are not an authorized disposal area type in Washtenaw County. Only authorized disposal areas are eligible to enter into the siting review process. Unauthorized disposal areas, such as a landfill, must be reassigned as an authorized disposal area in the solid waste management plan in order to build a new facility. Reassignment from unauthorized to authorized disposal area type would be considered during a state mandated Plan update or a free-standing Plan amendment. Therefore, if a landfill is interested in building a new facility within Washtenaw County, it would first need to be included in the plan as an authorized facility, which would require the plan to be opened and amended, or be updated as part of a state-mandated Plan update request. If a landfill or any other unauthorized disposal area type is reassigned to authorized, and the Plan amendment is accepted by the MDEQ; then the facility may proceed with the Siting Review Process. In the case of a disposal area type seeking reassignment from unauthorized to authorized, the Solid Waste Planning Committee would be convened to for the Plan Amendment process. The Facility Review committee (formerly the Siting Review Committee) would be convened for an authorized disposal area type interested in constructing a new facility. For more information about siting review procedures, please see pages 86-99.

THE SOLID WASTE PLANNING PROCESS

The Washtenaw County Solid Waste Planning Committee (SWPC) was appointed in August 2015 to prepare a Solid Waste Management Plan amendment in response to a request to expand the Arbor Hills Landfill located in the northeast portion of Washtenaw County in Salem Township. In September 2016, the Arbor Hills Landfill withdrew its request for an expansion. However, by opening the Plan, the County continued with the planning process to update the outdated sections of the County’s 1999 Solid Waste Management Plan. Much of the current solid waste law is focused on ensuring proper and adequate disposal capacity. However, the Washtenaw County Solid Waste Planning Committee (SWPC) embraced a mindset where waste is a viewed as a resource and strived to set goals and objectives to focus on reduction, reuse, and recycling.

The SWPC embarked on a methodical and thorough process to update the plan. Starting first with data collection and analysis, this step provided the foundation for the Plan’s guiding principles, goals, and Selected System. The SWPC then addressed different plan implementation strategies, along with funding. Throughout the Plan process, there was regular opportunity for public involvement through SWPC meeting attendance, public hearing and informational sessions offered, and a public survey.

During the time the SWPC was convened, the committee was presented with feedback from the public about the current and future impacts of the landfill. The planning process incorporated concerns and considerations into the Plan amendment including restating that landfills are an unauthorized disposal area, and amending the siting review process to include additional requirements regarding relationships with facilities and the surrounding communities.

DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS

The SWPC began the plan amendment process by creating an inventory of solid waste management systems currently available in the county through data collection and analysis. Data was collected about services, programs, and facilities along with facts and figures about program metrics such as annual tons disposed and tons recycled by community. Data collected from facilities located in Washtenaw County

demonstrated that there is at least 10 years of disposal capacity available for waste generated within the County, one of the primary purposes of the Solid Waste Management Plan process. Data was modeled based on national and state level information in cases where it was lacking or not available. This data comprises the information provided on pages 11-54.

In addition to hard data collection, the SWPC conducted a S.W.O.T. (Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities, and Threats) analysis of solid waste management within Washtenaw County, which provided a greater understanding of current successes and areas for future improvement. The SWPC also reviewed information about solid waste management systems of peer communities from across the country. The review offered the SWPC insights into best practices and possibilities to adopt in Washtenaw County. Finally, a public information survey was also conducted during this time to seek input from the users of the solid waste management system. With 327 responses, the public offered feedback on their preferences and where the system needs improvement. For more information on the S.W.O.T, please see pages 107-112 and for the results of the public survey, please see pages 237-240.

GUIDING PRINCIPLES

The Guiding Principles are the core of what this Plan amendment seeks to achieve and were developed by compiling and comparing the data analysis, the outcomes of the S.W.O.T. analysis, and the public survey results. The results illustrated areas of importance that should be considered by the SWPC for inclusion in this Plan. More information can be found on pages 60 - 61.

- **Access and Convenience:** Programs and services that support proper disposal of waste and diversion of recoverable materials must be accessible and convenient for County residents, businesses, agencies, and institutions to utilize. For example, the County has partnered with the City of Ann Arbor to explore the feasibility of additional waste diversion sites in the county. As part of the study, potential sites were identified based on distance from population centers in the county. The study recommended in one scenario that to consider a site, it should require no more than a 20 minute drive to access for successful participation.
- **More Diversion and Recovery, Less Disposal:** Additional programs and services will increase the diversion of recoverable materials, and may require additional infrastructure, funding, and outreach. Increasing diversion opportunities will contribute to a reduced need for disposal and preserve capacity at existing disposal facilities available to the county. Making less waste in the first place is a priority as it is the first step in the 3R's: reduce, reuse, then recycle.
- **Education and Outreach:** Education and outreach around available programs and services are necessary to ensure proper participation. From the public feedback survey conducted, many respondents indicated a lack of familiarity with options for disposal and diversion, and expressed confusion to what is and is not acceptable in community programs. In addition to providing information about how to participate, education and outreach should include additional messages about why these activities are important and quantifying their impacts. Furthermore, to support the goals of the Plan, education and outreach is necessary to inform about how to make less waste in the first place through waste reduction and reuse.
- **Data and Measurement:** Measurement systems and processes will be investigated and implemented as needed to establish realistic and measurable goals for regular evaluation for successes, challenges, improvements, and opportunities. Currently, there is limited data for programs related to solid waste management, diversion, and recovery. This may be attributed to the fact that collection of materials is not limited to one community. That is, a service provider in one municipality could cross boundaries into another municipality, making it challenging to isolate tonnage data for one community. There is also not a consistent data collection system in place at the state or county level nor any rules or regulations mandating regular reporting.
- **Funding:** Adequate funding is required to support implementation of this Plan. Page 117 in Appendix A provides a complete list of available funding options to support programs and services. Using a variety of available methods as well as developing an adequate reserve of funds will support programs and services in challenging times. As programs are identified for implementation, the best funding option will be explored.

- **Coordination and Collaboration:** Working together can have a greater impact and cost benefit than an individual community pursuing programs on their own. Regional efforts and collaboration should occur to benefit as many users as possible. The Western Washtenaw Recycling Authority (WWRA) is one example of successful collaboration between local units of government by sharing costs and benefits to offer enhanced services for their residents.

GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

Overall, the Goals and Objectives of the past Plan remained relevant but required some updating to modernize the language. The SWPC intertwined the Guiding Principles into the previous Goals to be included this Plan Amendment. While the first two Goals sound similar, the focus of each is different. Making less waste in the first place through source reduction is the top priority (Goal One), but if waste is generated, diverting waste through reuse and recycling is the preference (Goal Two). Both Goals One and Two set incremental targets for five years and ten years. Each goal has a robust set of Objectives, provided in more detail on pages 57-60.

- **Goal One:** Reduce the overall amount of municipal solid waste (MSW) generated per capita in Washtenaw County by 5% in the year 2022 and by 10% in the year 2027, with a target of working towards zero waste.
- **Goal Two:** Increase municipal solid waste diversion rates from current levels (33%) to 38% in 2022 and 43% in 2027, with a target of 100% diversion.
- **Goal Three:** Develop, support, and monitor comprehensive education, outreach, and feedback programs to achieve the goals of this Plan.
- **Goal Four:** Ensure the safe, lawful and efficient management of municipal solid waste.
- **Goal Five:** Operate collaboratively within the County and regionally outside of the County for a comprehensive sustainable materials management strategy.

WORKING TOWARDS ZERO WASTE

One of the key elements included in the goals is the aspiration to achieve zero waste. The County has already adopted a zero waste mindset through its Zero Waste Washtenaw Event community outreach program and will continue to build on its success. According to the Zero Waste International Alliance, zero waste promotes positive alternatives to landfill and incineration and a system where waste is regarded as a resource. These definitions align with commitment of this SWPC to work towards limiting the amount of waste requiring disposal, and to focus on waste as resources through reduction, reuse, and recycling. As part of the discussion of setting a zero waste goal, the SWPC recognizes that achieving zero waste is an aspirational goal, with zero waste top of mind throughout the implementation of this Plan. The SWPC also recognizes the need for smart and focused implementation of any zero waste initiative to be in balance with the amount of resources required with the potential outcomes, so that these efforts are productive and make a true positive impact.

THE SELECTED SYSTEM

The Selected System is the strategy and methods to be utilized by a county to handle its solid waste for the planning period. Both the data collection and analysis along with the development of goals informed the description of the Selected System. Washtenaw County's Selected System is an integrated strategy that places waste prevention, reduction, reuse, recycling, and composting at the top of the solid waste management hierarchy. This alternative requires strengthening existing diversion programs and recovery rates through access, education and outreach, and data measurement. This alternative also seeks to increase diversion of additional materials such as food waste, and construction and demolition waste. The theory being that landfill space would only be utilized to dispose of solid waste remaining after the diversion technologies have been developed to their fullest long term environmental, technological and economic potential.

IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PLAN

The SWPC detailed an implementation plan (available on pages 84-85) to ensure action on the goals and objectives once the plan is approved by the state. At the core, their effort identified the development of a Plan Advisory Committee (PAC) to serve as a resource to DPW staff. The make-up of the PAC would be reflective of the different types of stakeholders in the county, just as the SWPC membership requires representatives from the waste industry, local government, environmental organizations, and the public. The PAC will set annual priorities, develop action plans, and provide regular reports on milestones.

FUNDING IMPLEMENTATION

Currently, programs coordinated through Washtenaw County's DPW are funded by the revenues earned through the host community agreement with Arbor Hills Landfill. In this Plan, the SWPC seeks to diversify future funding options so that programs are not reliant on one funding source. This plan contains a list of potential funding mechanisms available, but ultimately funding options are best determined by the type of activity or program to be implemented. Therefore, no specific funding mechanisms were selected as part of this Plan, but will be evaluated in the future in the implementation of the Plan by the PAC. Available funding mechanisms are on page 117.

PUBLIC REVIEW

There was extensive public comment throughout the planning process from the public in attendance at the SWPC meetings, and the public survey conducted. In addition to the required public hearing, the SWPC requested that two additional information meetings be held to increase the number of opportunities for public comment and dialogue related to the draft Plan Amendment. During these sessions, information about the plan process was presented, and the attendees were also guided through an interactive discussion to capture priorities and ideas to support plan implementation. This information will be used during the Plan Advisory Committee (PAC) kick-off.

CONCLUSIONS

The landscape of waste and recycling has changed dramatically since the previous Plan adoption in 2000 such as less fiber in the waste stream due to the decline of printed newspapers; the production and use of different types of plastic packaging that challenge recycling processing; and single-stream recycling technology that is more automated and sorts recyclables at the facility rather than at the curb. While the technologies and industry norms have significantly changed over the years, the County Plan has remained the same. This Plan amendment builds on the progressive goals and programs set forth almost two decades ago, and reinforces the desire to continue to seek alternatives to wasting resources through opportunities like reduction, reuse, recycling, and composting. The delicate balance between the need for disposal areas for waste and the maintaining quality of life were made very clear during this planning process, especially through the participation of residents surrounding the county's only landfill. The true cost of disposal is not quantified in the current business as usual approach. A paradigm shift in our culture will be necessary to focus on making less waste in the first place through reduction and reuse. If these important strategies can become part of the way of life not just the residents of Washtenaw County, but for everyone, then society can really begin to diminish the need for disposal.

A photograph showing a person in a dark jacket holding a young child in a pink jacket and blue jeans. The person is holding a large white plastic jug with a red cap. They are standing next to a large blue recycling bin with its lid open. A chain-link fence is visible in the background. A red rectangular box is overlaid on the image, containing the word 'DATABASE' in white, italicized, serif font.

DATABASE

Identification of sources of waste generation within the County, total quantity of solid waste generated to be disposed, and the sources of the information.

SOURCES FOR DATA AND INFORMATION

Calculating accurate data for municipal solid waste is a challenging task across all sectors (residential and commercial/industrial) requiring significant resources to conduct and maintain. Therefore, data and information gathered from the following sources were utilized to estimate and project waste generation, disposal needs, and diversion percentages.

- Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS)
- Crain's Business
- Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
- Michigan Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ)
- Resource Recycling Systems (RRS)
- Self-reported data from municipalities and Washtenaw County
- Southeast Michigan Council of Governments (SEMCOG)
- U.S. Census Bureau including North American Industry Classification System (NAICS)

The need for accurate data collection and measurement at the local and state level is addressed in the Goals and Objectives section of this Plan.

SOURCES OF WASTE GENERATION IN WASHTENAW COUNTY

Waste in Washtenaw County comes from a variety of sources. In general, most non-hazardous waste generated is municipal solid waste (MSW), which includes residential and commercial/industrial wastes.

Table 1 is a summary of Washtenaw County's estimated landfill capacity needs for the next fifteen years. The data is based on waste generation projections for MSW and considers population growth as well as the current County diversion rate of 33% for residential waste and 12% for commercial/industrial waste. Commercial/industrial waste makes up approximately 65% of the total waste requiring disposal compared to 35% from the residential sector.

Table 1. Washtenaw County – Projected Landfill Needs (2015-2030)

	2015	2020	2025	2030
Residential Waste (tons)	100,374	103,589	105,375	107,628
Commercial/Industrial Waste (tons)	191,396	195,826	202,354	209,938
Total Waste (tons)	291,770	299,415	307,729	317,566
Total Waste (CY)	875,310	898,244	923,187	952,699

Source: RRS projections, 2016

RESIDENTIAL WASTE

The total amount of residential waste generated was calculated using both available information provided by municipalities and modeled data based on standard information from the EPA (waste generation per person) and SEMCOG (population data).

Table 2 provides waste generation and diversion tons per year for the residential sector by community. Of the 28 municipalities in the County, five provided actual data and the remaining 23 were modeled. The municipalities that provided residential waste generation data for 2015 achieved a diversion rate of 41% (i.e., 41% of the total waste stream was recycled or composted). For the municipalities that submitted partial or no data, the model estimates that 21% of waste was diverted from landfills. The overall diversion rate for all of Washtenaw County's residential sector (including the provided data, modeled data, and recycling through the bottle bill program) is 33%.

Table 2. Washtenaw County Residential Waste Generation - Modeled 2015

Community	Population¹	Total Waste Generation (Tons/Year)²	Total Recyclable Diversion (Tons/Year)²	Total Compost Diversion (Tons/Year)²	Total Requiring Disposal (Tons/Year)²
Ann Arbor City	118,017	49,321	14,714	8,137	26,470
Chelsea City	4,934	2,985	910	85	1,990
Dexter City	4,911	2,030	312	377	1,341
Milan City	3,920	2,804	360	436	2,009
Saline City	8,897	3,132	923	377	1,832
Ypsilanti City	19,985	5,041	772	860	3,409
Ann Arbor Township	4,271	1,852	378	264	1,210
Augusta Township	6,948	3,013	134	93	2,786
Bridgewater Township	1,663	721	131	24	566
Dexter Township	6,905	2,995	465	85	2,444
Freedom Township	1,461	634	12	6	616
Lima Township	3,690	1,600	250	46	1,304
Lodi Township	6,238	2,705	43	21	2,641
Lyndon Township	2,947	1,278	201	37	1,040
Manchester Township	2,603	1,129	194	36	900
Northfield Township	8,133	3,527	66	33	3,428
Pittsfield Township	38,309	16,614	3,082	2,153	11,380
Salem Township	5,724	2,482	42	21	2,420
Saline Township	2,057	892	15	7	870
Scio Township	17,050	7,394	352	246	6,797
Sharon Township	1,729	750	13	7	730
Superior Township	13,026	5,649	1,082	756	3,811
Sylvan Township	2,896	1,256	229	42	985
Webster Township	6,405	2,778	45	22	2,711
York Township	9,003	3,905	515	360	3,030
Ypsilanti Township	55,334	18,049	1,906	3,291	12,852
Barton Hills Village	318	138	2	1	134
Manchester Village	2,080	902	196	36	670
Total	359,454	145,578	27,344	17,860	100,374

Sources:

1 SEMCOG, 2015

2 Provided by community where available or RRS model, 2015

COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL WASTES

The total amount of commercial/industrial waste generated was calculated using both available information and projections based on standard information from the Bureau of Labor Statistics, Crain's Business, EPA, MDEQ, SEMCOG, and NAICS.

Tables 3 and 4 provide waste generation and diversion estimates for Washtenaw County businesses. In 2015, the commercial/industrial sector generated 217,496 total tons of waste (i.e., recycling and landfilled waste) (Table 3). An estimated 12% of this waste was diverted from landfills through recycling (Table 4).

Table 3. Commercial/Industrial Waste and Recycling Generation - Modeled 2015

Sector	2015 ¹ Employees	Generation Rate (Lbs/ Employee /Day) ²	2015 Waste Generation (Tons) ²
Government	67,258	8.60	72,295
Private Education & Healthcare	36,036	8.60	38,735
Services to Households & Firms	25,811	8.60	27,744
Leisure & Hospitality	19,224	10.69	25,696
Manufacturing	13,142	8.60	14,126
Retail Trade	19,216	5.55	13,331
Wholesale Trade, Transportation, Warehousing, & Utilities	10,427	7.29	9,499
Natural Resources, Mining, & Construction	8,100	8.60	8,707
Knowledge-based Services	47,507	1.24	7,364
Total	246,721		217,496
Diversion		12% (Est)	26,099
Disposed			191,396

Sources:

1 U.S. Bureau of Labor Services Employment Survey by Sector, 2015

2 RRS model, 2015

Table 4. Commercial/Industrial Waste and Recycling - Projected - 2015-2030

	2015	2020	2025	2030
Employment (Forecast)	246,721	252,598	260,024	268,528
Waste Generation (Tons)	217,496	222,529	229,948	238,566
Percent Diversion	12%	12%	12%	12%
Waste Disposed (Tons)	191,396	195,826	202,354	209,938

Source: RRS projections, 2015

TOTAL WASTE GENERATED IN WASHTENAW COUNTY

Based on the information in the Residential and Commercial/Industrial Sector descriptions, Table 5 outlines total waste generated in Washtenaw County and reflects the landfill needs as described in Table 1 for the year 2015. For waste generated, 35% is from the residential sector, while 65% is from the Commercial/Industrial sector. For waste diverted, the residential sector contributes to 33% of the total, while the Commercial/Industrial sector contributes about 12%.

Table 5. Total Washtenaw County Waste (2015)

Total Waste Generated Annually (Residential and C/I)	363,074 tons
Total Waste Diverted Annually (Residential and C/I)	71,303 tons
Total Waste Requiring Disposal	291,770 tons

Source: RRS model, 2015

SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL AREAS

Inventory and description of all solid waste disposal areas within the County or to be utilized by the County to meet its disposal needs for the Planning period.

“Disposal Area” is defined by Act 451, Part 115 and the Administrative Rules to include the following: Municipal Solid Waste Landfill, Industrial Waste Landfill, Construction and Demolition Waste Landfill, Municipal Incinerator Ash Landfill, Municipal Solid Waste Incinerator, Processing Facilities, Transfer Station Facility, Waste Pile.

Also included here are MSW landfill facilities located outside of the county used for disposal and facilities not regulated under Act 451, such as source separated materials recovery facilities and composting sites, which are noted with an asterisk (*).

- A. Municipal Solid Waste Landfill
 - 1. Arbor Hills Landfill (Operational)
 - 2. Chelsea Sanitary Landfill (Closed)
 - 3. City of Ann Arbor Landfill (Closed)
 - 4. Carleton Farms Landfill, Wayne County (Operational)*
 - 5. Sauk Trail Hills Landfill, Wayne County (Operational)*
 - 6. Woodland Meadows RDF, Wayne County (Operational)*
- B. Industrial Waste Landfill (None)
- C. Construction and Demolition Waste Landfill (None)
- D. Municipal Incinerator Ash Landfill (None)
- E. Municipal Solid Waste Incinerator (None)
- F. Processing Facilities
 - 1. Arbor Hills Materials Recovery Facility (leased to Great Lakes Recycling)
 - 2. City of Ann Arbor Materials Recovery Facility
 - 3. Omni Source Type III Materials Processing Center (leased to Recycle Ann Arbor)
 - 4. Western Washtenaw Recycling Authority Materials Recovery Facility
 - 5. Arbor Hills Compost Facility*
 - 6. City of Ann Arbor Compost Facility*
 - 7. City of Chelsea Compost Facility*
 - 8. City of Milan Compost Facility*
 - 9. City of Ypsilanti Materials Recovery Facility*
 - 10. Washtenaw County Home Toxics Facility*
 - 11. Ypsilanti Township Compost Facility*
 - 12. Village of Barton Hills Compost Facility*
- G. Transfer Station Facility
- H. City of Ann Arbor Transfer Station
 - 1. City of Chelsea Transfer Station
 - 2. City of Ann Arbor Drop-off Station*
 - 3. City of Ypsilanti Drop-off Station*
 - 4. Washtenaw County Home Toxics Collection Facility*
- I. Waste Pile (None)

FACILITY DESCRIPTIONS

The following facility descriptions are those of facilities regulated under Act 451. These include:

- A. Arbor Hills Landfill (Operational)
- B. Chelsea Sanitary Landfill (Closed)
- C. City of Ann Arbor Landfill (Closed)
- D. Carleton Farms Landfill, Wayne County (Operational)
- E. Sauk Trail Hills Landfill, Wayne County (Operational)
- F. Woodland Meadows RDF, Wayne County (Operational)
- G. Arbor Hills Materials Recovery Facility (leased to Great Lakes Recycling)
- H. City of Ann Arbor Materials Recovery Facility
- I. OmniSource Type III Materials Processing Center (leased to Recycle Ann Arbor)
- J. Western Washtenaw Recycling Authority Materials Recovery Facility
- K. City of Ann Arbor Transfer Station
- L. City of Chelsea Transfer Station

FACILITY DESCRIPTIONS

Facility Type: Type II Landfill
Facility Name: Arbor Hills West Sanitary Landfill
County: Washtenaw
Location: 10690 Six Mile Road, Northville, MI 48168
Town: 1S Range: 7E Section(s): 13

Is a map identifying the location included in Attachment Section: yes, p. 134

If facility is an Incinerator or a Transfer Station, list the final disposal site and location for Incinerator ash or Transfer Station wastes: Not Applicable

Public or Private: Private

Owner: Advanced Disposal Services Arbor Hills Landfill, Inc.

Operating Status (check)	Waste Types Received (check all that apply)
x open	x residential
closed	x commercial
x licensed	x industrial
unlicensed	x construction & demolition
x construction permit	x contaminated soils
open, but closure	x special wastes *
pending	other:

Explanation of special wastes, including a specific list and/or conditions:
Incinerator ash, asbestos, foundry sand, wastewater sludge, trees and stumps.

Site Size:

Total area of facility property:	337 acres
Total area sited for use:	242 acres
Total area permitted:	242 acres
Operating:	191 acres
Not excavated:	51 acres
Current capacity:	64.31 MCY (Net refuse bank volume)
Estimated lifetime:	17.4 years (as of 1/1/16)
Estimated days open per year:	364 days
Estimated yearly disposal volume:	1.6 MCY (airspace consumed)
Annual energy production:	
Landfill gas recovery projects:	18 megawatts
Waste-to-energy incinerators:	N/A megawatts

Proposed uses of facility site after closure: not available

FACILITY DESCRIPTIONS

Facility Type: Type II Landfill
Facility Name: Chelsea Sanitary Landfill
County: Washtenaw
Location: 8027 Werkner Rd., Chelsea, MI 48118
Town: 1S Range: 3E Section(s): 25

Is a map identifying the location included in Attachment Section: yes, p. 134

If facility is an Incinerator or a Transfer Station, list the final disposal site and location for Incinerator ash or Transfer Station wastes: Not Applicable

Public or Private: Public

Owner: Village of Chelsea

Operating Status (check)

☒ open
☐ closed
☐ licensed
☐ unlicensed
☐ construction permit
☐ open, but closure
☐ pending

Waste Types Received (check all that apply)

☐ residential
☐ commercial
☐ industrial
☐ construction & demolition
☐ contaminated soils
☐ special wastes *
☐ other:

*** Explanation of special wastes, including a specific list and/or conditions:** Not Applicable

Site Size:

Total area of facility property:	5 acres
Total area sited for use:	0 acres
Total area permitted:	0 acres
Operating:	N/A
Not excavated:	N/A
Current capacity:	N/A
Estimated lifetime:	N/A
Estimated days open per year:	N/A
Estimated yearly disposal volume:	N/A
Annual energy production:	
Landfill gas recovery projects:	N/A
Waste-to-energy incinerators:	N/A

Proposed uses of facility site after closure: N/A

FACILITY DESCRIPTIONS

Facility Type: Type II Landfill
Facility Name: City of Ann Arbor Landfill
County: Washtenaw
Location: 4120 Platt Rd., Ann Arbor, MI 48108
Town: 3S Range: 6E Section(s): 15

Is a map identifying the location included in Attachment Section: yes, p. 134

If facility is an Incinerator or a Transfer Station, list the final disposal site and location for Incinerator ash or Transfer Station wastes: Not Applicable

Public or Private: Public
Owner: City of Ann Arbor

Operating Status (check)	Waste Types Received (check all that apply)
<input type="checkbox"/> open	<input type="checkbox"/> residential
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> closed	<input type="checkbox"/> commercial
<input type="checkbox"/> licensed	<input type="checkbox"/> industrial
<input type="checkbox"/> unlicensed	<input type="checkbox"/> construction & demolition
<input type="checkbox"/> construction permit	<input type="checkbox"/> contaminated soils
<input type="checkbox"/> open, but closure	<input type="checkbox"/> special wastes *
<input type="checkbox"/> pending	<input type="checkbox"/> other:

*** Explanation of special wastes, including a specific list and/or conditions:** Not Applicable

Site Size:

Total area of facility property:	130 acres
Total area sited for use:	0 acres
Total area permitted:	0 acres
Operating:	N/A
Not excavated:	N/A
Current capacity:	N/A
Estimated lifetime:	N/A
Estimated days open per year:	N/A
Estimated yearly disposal volume:	N/A
Annual energy production:	
Landfill gas recovery projects:	0.8 megawatts
Waste-to-energy incinerators:	N/A

Proposed uses of facility site after closure:

A PUD has been developed for the landfill and the surrounding area. A dog park is currently operating on the Northeast Corner of the site. A landfill gas collection system has been installed and collected gas is burned in an 800KW generator. A biodigester feasibility study is underway and the landfill property is one of the site locations under consideration. Possible uses for the landfill portion of the site may include, but are not limited to, open space, parks, city operations, and business/for-profit activities.

FACILITY DESCRIPTIONS

Facility Type: Type II Landfill and Ash Monofill
Facility Name: Carleton Farms
County: Wayne
Location: 28800 Clark Road, Sumpter Township, MI 48111
Town: 4S Range: 8E Section(s): 36

Is a map identifying the location included in Attachment Section: yes, p. 134

If facility is an Incinerator or a Transfer Station, list the final disposal site and location for Incinerator ash or Transfer Station wastes: Not Applicable

Public or Private: Private

Owner: Republic Services of Michigan, LLC

Operating Status (check)	Waste Types Received (check all that apply)
x open	x residential
closed	x commercial
x licensed	x industrial
unlicensed	x construction & demolition
x construction permit	x contaminated soils
open, but closure	x special wastes *
pending	x other: Ash, asbestos

Explanation of special wastes, including a specific list and/or conditions:

Ash, asbestos

Site Size:	Landfill	Monofill
Total area of facility property:	662.4 acres	
Total area sited for use:	662.4 acres	
Total area permitted:	375 acres	53.2 acres
Operating:	275 acres	14.7 acres
Not excavated:	60 acres	38.5 acres
Current capacity:	78,000,000 bank yds ³	2,000,000 bank yds ³
Estimated lifetime:	30 years	15 years
Estimated days open per year:	312 days	312 days
Estimated yearly disposal volume:	2,000,000 tons	30,000 tons
Annual energy production:		
Landfill gas recovery projects:	4 megawatts	N/A
Waste-to-energy incinerators:	N/A megawatts	N/A

Proposed uses of facility site after closure: not available

FACILITY DESCRIPTIONS

Facility Type: Type II Sanitary Landfill
Facility Name: Sauk Trail Hills Landfill
County: Wayne
Location: 5011 S Lilley Road, Canton Township, MI 48188
Town: 2S Range: 8E Section(s): 35

Is a map identifying the location included in Attachment Section: yes, p. 134

If facility is an Incinerator or a Transfer Station, list the final disposal site and location for Incinerator ash or Transfer Station wastes: Not Applicable

Public or Private: Private

Owner: Sauk Trail Hills Development, Inc.

Operating Status (check)	Waste Types Received (check all that apply)
x open	x residential
closed	x commercial
x licensed	industrial
unlicensed	x construction & demolition
x construction permit	x contaminated soils
open, but closure	special wastes *
pending	other:

Explanation of special wastes, including a specific list and/or conditions:

Site Size:

Total area of facility property:	200.7 acres
Total area sited for use:	160.2 acres
Total area permitted:	160.2 acres
Operating:	153 acres
Not excavated:	7 acres
Current capacity:	7,600,000 bank yds ³
Estimated lifetime:	15 years
Estimated days open per year:	310 days
Estimated yearly disposal volume:	650,000 tons
Annual energy production:	
Landfill gas recovery projects:	High BTU Plant
Waste-to-energy incinerators:	N/A megawatts

Proposed uses of facility site after closure: not available

FACILITY DESCRIPTIONS

Facility Type: Type II Sanitary Landfill
Facility Name: Woodland Meadows Recycling & Disposal Facility
County: Wayne
Location: 5900 Hannan Road, Van Buren Township, MI 48184
Town: 3S Range: 8E Section(s): 1

Is a map identifying the location included in Attachment Section: yes, p. 134

If facility is an Incinerator or a Transfer Station, list the final disposal site and location for Incinerator ash or Transfer Station wastes: Not Applicable

Public or Private: Private

Owner: Waste Management of Michigan, Inc.

Operating Status (check)	Waste Types Received (check all that apply)
x open	x residential
closed	x commercial
x licensed	x industrial
unlicensed	x construction & demolition
x construction permit	x contaminated soils
open, but closure	x special wastes *
pending	other:

Explanation of special wastes, including a specific list and/or conditions:
Asbestos, sludges.

Site Size:

Total area of facility property:	269 acres
Total area sited for use:	269 acres
Total area permitted:	206.2 acres
Operating:	156.2 acres
Not excavated:	0 acres
Closed:	50.0 acres
Current capacity:	14,677,000 bank yds ³
Estimated lifetime:	9 years
Estimated days open per year:	312 days
Estimated yearly disposal volume:	5,000,000 gate yds ³
Annual energy production:	
Landfill gas recovery projects:	N/A megawatts
Waste-to-energy incinerators:	N/A megawatts

Proposed uses of facility site after closure: not available

FACILITY DESCRIPTIONS

Facility Type: Materials Recovery Facility
Facility Name: Arbor Hills Materials Recovery Facility
County: Washtenaw
Location: 10690 Six Mile Road, Northville, MI 48168
Town: 1S Range: 7E Section(s): 13

Is a map identifying the location included in Attachment Section: yes, p. 134

Public or Private: Private

Owner: Advanced Disposal Services Midwest, LLC.

Materials Processed:

x	Glass	x	Aluminum Cans
x	Plastics	x	Steels Cans
x	Polystyrene	x	Scrap Metals
x	Plastic Film	x	White Goods
	Cartons		Motor Oil
x	Newspapers		Oil Filters
x	Mixed office papers		Antifreeze
x	Catalogs/glossies/phonebooks		Batteries
x	Corrugated cardboard		Textiles
	Boxboard/greyboard		C & D Materials
	Other:		

Site Size:

Total size of facility:	55,000 square feet
Current processing capacity:	400 tons per day
Total processing capacity:	1,000 tons per day
Estimated days open per year:	250 days
Estimated yearly processing volume:	61,200 tons

Additional Information:

The Arbor Hills MRF opened April 1992. Since that time, the facility has provided service to Southeastern Michigan communities and businesses. This facility is currently leased to Great Lakes Recycling who is primarily performing commercial and industrial recycling.

FACILITY DESCRIPTIONS

Facility Type: Materials Recovery Facility
Facility Name: City of Ann Arbor Materials Recovery Facility
County: Washtenaw
Location: 4150 Platt Rd., Ann Arbor, MI 48108
Town: 3S Range: 6E Section(s): 15

Is a map identifying the location included in Attachment Section: yes, p. 134

Public or Private: Public

Owner: City of Ann Arbor

Materials Processed:

x	Glass	x	Aluminum
x	Plastic	x	Steels Cans
	Polystyrene	x	Scrap Metals
	Plastic Film		White Goods
x	Cartons		Motor Oil
x	Newspapers		Oil Filters
x	Mixed Office Papers		Antifreeze
x	Catalogs/Glossies/Phonebooks/Paperbacks		Batteries
x	Corrugated Cardboard		Textiles
x	Boxboard/Greyboard		C & D Materials: list:
	Other:		

Site Size:

Total size of facility:	31,772 square feet
Current processing capacity:	1,200 tons per month
Total processing capacity:	1,200 tons per month
Estimated days open per year:	260 days
Estimated yearly processing volume:	14,400 tons

Additional Information:

In the month of July 2016, the City of Ann Arbor MRF changed operating vendors, and the processing equipment was turned off. Currently, the MRF only accepts material from the City of Ann Arbor curbside program; the material is baled and transported to a regional MRF for processing.

FACILITY DESCRIPTIONS

Facility Type: Type III Materials Processing Facility
Facility Name: Omni Source (Leased to Recycle Ann Arbor)
County: Washtenaw
Location: 7887 Jackson Rd., Ann Arbor, MI 48103
Town: 2S Range: 5E Section(s): 19

Is a map identifying the location included in Attachment Section: yes, p. 134

Classification: Regulated under Act 451

Public or Private: Private

Owner: OmniSource, leased to Recycle Ann Arbor

Materials Processed:

x	Glass	x	Aluminum Cans
x	Plastics #1,2	x	Steels Cans
	Polystyrene		Scrap Metals
	Plastic Film		White Goods
	Cartons	x	Motor Oil
x	Newspapers	x	Oil Filters
x	Mixed Office Papers	x	Antifreeze
	Catalogs/Glossies/Phonebooks		Batteries
x	Corrugated Cardboard		Textiles
	Boxboard/Greyboard	x	C & D Materials
	Other:		

Site Size:

Total size of facility:	10,000 square feet
Current processing capacity:	Unlimited tons per day
Total processing capacity:	Unlimited tons per day
Estimated days open per year:	300 days
Estimated yearly processing volume:	Tons

FACILITY DESCRIPTIONS

Facility Type: Materials Recovery Facility
Facility Name: Western Washtenaw Recycling Authority (WWRA) Materials Recovery Facility
County: Washtenaw
Location: 8025 Werkner Rd., Chelsea, MI 48118
Town: 1S Range: 3E Section(s): 25

Is a map identifying the location is included in Attachment Section: yes, p. 134

Public or Private: Public

Owner: Western Washtenaw Recycling Authority

Materials Processed:

	Glass	x	Aluminum Cans
x	Plastics	x	Steels Cans
	Polystyrene	x	Scrap Metals
	Plastic Film		White Goods
	Cartons	x	Motor Oil
x	Newspapers		Oil Filters
x	Mixed Office Papers		Antifreeze
x	Catalogs/Glossies/Phonebooks		Batteries
x	Corrugated Cardboard		Textiles
x	Boxboard/Greyboard		C & D Materials: list:
	Other:		

Site Size:

Total size of facility:	8,700 square feet
Current processing capacity:	80 cubic yards per day
Total processing capacity:	100 cubic yards per day
Estimated days open per year:	260 days
Estimated yearly processing volume:	20,000 cubic yards

FACILITY DESCRIPTIONS

Facility Type: Transfer Station
Facility Name: City of Ann Arbor Transfer Station
County: Washtenaw
Location: 4130 Platt Rd., Ann Arbor, MI 48108
Town: 3S Range: 6 E Section(s): 15

Is a map identifying the location included in Attachment Section: yes, p. 134

If facility is an Incinerator or a Transfer Station, list the final disposal site and location for Incinerator ash or Transfer Station wastes: Waste Management Woodland Meadows Landfill, Wayne MI

Public or Private: Public

Owner: City of Ann Arbor

Operating Status (check)	Waste Types Received (check all that apply)
x open	x residential
closed	x commercial
x licensed	industrial
unlicensed	construction & demolition
construction permit	contaminated soils
open, but closure	special wastes *
pending	other:

* Explanation of special wastes, including a specific list and/or conditions: Not Applicable

Site Size:

Total area sited for use:	5.7 acres
Total area permitted:	5.7 acres
Operating:	N/A
Not excavated:	N/A
Current capacity:	130.75 tons per day/per year 365 days
Estimated lifetime:	20 years
Estimated days open per year:	260 days
Estimated yearly disposal volume:	50,000 tons
Annual energy production:	N/A
Landfill gas recovery projects:	N/A
Waste-to-energy incinerators:	N/A

Proposed uses of facility site after closure: N/A

FACILITY DESCRIPTIONS

Facility Type: Transfer Station
Facility Name: City of Chelsea Transfer Station
County: Washtenaw
Location: 8027 Werkner Road, Chelsea, MI 48118
Town: 1S Range: 3E Section(s): 25

Is a map identifying the location included in Attachment Section: yes, p. 134

If facility is an Incinerator or a Transfer Station, list the final disposal site and location for Incinerator ash or Transfer Station wastes: Arbor Hills Landfill; Salem Township, Michigan

Public or Private: Public

Owner: Village of Chelsea

Operating Status (check) Waste Types Received (check all that apply)

x	open	x	residential
	closed	x	commercial
x	licensed		industrial
	unlicensed	x	construction & demolition
	construction permit		contaminated soils
	open, but closure		special wastes *
	pending		other:

*** Explanation of special wastes, including a specific list and/or conditions:** Not Applicable

Site Size:

Total area of facility property:	80 acres
Total area sited for use:	10 acres
Total area permitted:	10 acres
Operating:	10 acres
Not excavated:	N/A
Current capacity:	N/A
Estimated lifetime:	30 years
Estimated days open per year:	208 days
Estimated yearly disposal volume:	25,000 yards
Annual energy production	
Landfill gas recovery projects:	N/A
Waste-to-energy incinerators:	N/A

Proposed uses of facility site after closure: N/A

The following facility descriptions are those of facilities not regulated under Act 451, but are included here to demonstrate the types of facilities and activities that are currently occurring in the County in regards to recycling and recovery.

FACILITY DESCRIPTIONS

1. Arbor Hills Compost Facility
2. City of Ann Arbor Compost Facility
3. City of Ann Arbor Drop-off Station
4. City of Chelsea Compost Facility
5. City of Milan Compost Facility
6. City of Ypsilanti Drop-off Station
7. City of Ypsilanti Materials Recovery Facility
8. Washtenaw County Home Toxics Facility
9. Ypsilanti Township Compost Facility
10. Village of Barton Hills Compost Facility

FACILITY DESCRIPTIONS

Facility Type: Municipal Compost Site
Facility Name: Advanced Disposal Arbor Hills Compost Facility
County: Washtenaw
Location: 10690 Six Mile Road, Northville, MI 48168
Town: 1S Range: 7E Section(s): 13

Is a map identifying the location included in Attachment Section: yes, p. 134

Public or Private: Private

Owner: Advanced Disposal Arbor Hills Landfill, Inc.

Materials Processed:

- x Grass clippings
- x Leaves
- x Brush
- x Trees
- x Prunings
- Other:

Communities/Businesses Served:

Public and Private Residential and Commercial Haulers from Washtenaw, Wayne, Oakland, Livingston and Macomb Counties

Site Size:

Total area of facility property:	233 acres
Total area sited for use:	20 acres
Current processing capacity:	160,000 cubic yards per year
Estimated days open per year:	~180 days
Estimated yearly processing volume:	130,000 cubic yards

End use of compost: Product is sold to commercial landscapers and Michigan Department of Transportation for road construction projects. Additional material is sold for industrial applications, such as the production of bio-filters for odor control, or donated to communities that ADS services.

FACILITY DESCRIPTIONS

Facility Type: Municipal Compost Site
Facility Name: City of Ann Arbor Compost Facility
County: Washtenaw
Location: 4170 Platt Rd., Ann Arbor, MI 48108
Town: 3S Range: 6E Section(s): 15

Is a map identifying the location included in Attachment Section: yes, p. 134

Public or Private: Public

Owner: City of Ann Arbor

Materials Processed:

- x Grass clippings
- x Leaves
- x Brush
- x Trees
- x Prunings
- x Other: Food waste
- x Other: Vivarium waste

Communities/Businesses Serviced:

City of Ann Arbor residents
Residents of other communities and contractors may drop off for a fee

Site Size:

Total area of facility property:	24 acres windrows, 1 acre grinding area, 1 acre finished compost and mulch storage
Total area sited for use:	26 acres
Current processing capacity:	31.5 tons per day/per year 365 days
Estimated days open per year:	251 days
Estimated yearly processing volume:	11,500 tons

End use of compost: compost, wood chips, mulch.

Additional Information:

The Site has operated since 2011 through a contract with WeCare, who owns the processing equipment; the City owns the land and the building.

FACILITY DESCRIPTIONS

Facility Type: Transfer Station Type B
Facility Name: City of Ann Arbor Drop-off Station
County: Washtenaw
Location: 2950 E. Ellsworth Rd., Ann Arbor, MI 48108
Town: 3S Range: 6E Section(s): 15

Is a map identifying the location included in Attachment Section: yes, p. 134

If facility is an Incinerator or a Transfer Station, list the final disposal site and location for Incinerator ash or Transfer Station wastes: Woodland Meadows Landfill

Classification: Regulated under Act 451

Public or Private: Public

Owner: City of Ann Arbor; operated by Recycle Ann Arbor

Operating Status (check)	Waste Types Received (check all that apply)
x open	x residential
closed	x commercial
licensed	industrial
x unlicensed	x construction & demolition
construction permit	contaminated soils
open, but closure	special wastes *
pending	other:

*** Explanation of special wastes, including a specific list and/or conditions:** Not Applicable

Site Size:

Total area of facility property:	3.5 acres
Total area sited for use:	N/A
Total area permitted:	N/A
Operating:	N/A
Not excavated:	N/A
Current capacity:	N/A
Estimated lifetime:	N/A
Estimated days open per year:	156 days
Estimated yearly disposal volume:	N/A
Annual energy production:	N/A
Landfill gas recovery projects:	N/A
Waste-to-energy incinerators:	N/A

Proposed uses of facility site after closure: N/A

FACILITY DESCRIPTIONS

Facility Type: Municipal Compost Site
Facility Name: City of Chelsea Compost Facility
County: Washtenaw
Location: 8027 Werkner Rd., Chelsea, MI 48118
Town: 1S Range: 3E Section(s): 25

Is a map identifying the location included in Attachment Section: yes, p. 134

Public or Private: Public

Owner: Village of Chelsea

Materials Processed:

- x Grass clippings
- x Leaves
- Brush
- Trees
- x Prunings
- x Other: Christmas Trees

Communities/Businesses Serviced:

Village of Chelsea residents, non-village residents, small lawn care contractors

Site Size:

Total area of facility property:	80 acres
Total area sited for use:	2 acres
Current processing capacity:	1/2 cubic yards per day
Estimated days open per year:	208 days
Estimated yearly processing volume:	150 cubic yards

End use of compost: Used on Village landscaping projects; sold to the public at \$6 per yard.

Additional Information:

The Chelsea Municipal Compost site opened to residents in 1982. This site, located near the old Chelsea Sanitary Landfill, collects clean leaves and grass from the Village, Township, and other surrounding municipalities.

FACILITY DESCRIPTIONS

Facility Type: Municipal Compost Site
Facility Name: City of Milan Compost Facility
County: Monroe
Location: 79 Gump Lake Road, Milan, MI 48160
Town: 5S Range: 6E Section(s): 2

Is a map identifying the location is included in Attachment Section: yes, p. 134

Public or Private: Public

Owner: City of Milan

Materials Processed:

- x Grass clippings
- x Leaves
- x Brush
- x Trees
- x Prunings
- Other:

Communities/Businesses Served:

City of Milan Residents

Site Size:

Total area of facility property:	N/A acres
Total area sited for use:	N/A acres
Current processing capacity:	N/A cubic yards per day
Estimated days open per year:	365 days
Estimated yearly processing volume:	2,500 cubic yards

End use of compost: Used on various city projects and given free of charge to residents of Milan.

FACILITY DESCRIPTIONS

Facility Type: Transfer Station
Facility Name: City of Ypsilanti Drop-off Station
County: Washtenaw
Location: 651 Rice Street, Ypsilanti, MI 48198

Is a map identifying the location included in Attachment Section: yes, p. 134

If facility is an Incinerator or a Transfer Station, list the final disposal site and location for Incinerator ash or Transfer Station wastes: Sauk Hills Landfill, Wayne County

Classification: Regulated under Act 451

Public or Private: Public

Owner: City of Ypsilanti

Operating Status (check) Waste Types Received (check all that apply)

x	open	x	residential
	closed		commercial
	licensed		industrial
	unlicensed		construction & demolition
	construction permit		contaminated soils
	open, but closure		special wastes *
	pending		other:

*** Explanation of special wastes, including a specific list and/or conditions:** Not Applicable

Site Size:

Total area of facility property:	N/A
Total area sited for use:	N/A
Total area permitted:	N/A
Operating:	N/A
Not excavated:	N/A
Current capacity:	N/A
Estimated lifetime:	N/A
Estimated days open per year:	N/A
Estimated yearly disposal volume:	N/A
Annual energy production:	N/A
Landfill gas recovery projects:	N/A
Waste-to-energy incinerators:	N/A

Proposed uses of facility site after closure: N/A

FACILITY DESCRIPTIONS

Facility Type: Materials Recovery Facility
Facility Name: City of Ypsilanti Materials Recovery Facility
County: Washtenaw
Location: 651 Rice St., Ypsilanti, MI 48198
Town: 3S Range: 7E Section(s): 10

Is a map identifying the location included in Attachment Section: yes, p. 134

Public or Private: Public

Owner: City of Ypsilanti

Materials Processed:

x	Glass	x	Aluminum Cans
x	Plastics #1,2,4,5,6,7	x	Steels Cans
x	Polystyrene	x	Scrap Metals
	Plastic Film		White Goods
x	Cartons	x	Motor Oil
x	Newspapers		Oil Filters
x	Mixed Office Papers		Antifreeze
x	Catalogs/Glossies/Phonebooks	x	Batteries
x	Corrugated Cardboard		Textiles
x	Boxboard/Greyboard		C & D Materials: list:
x	Other: Electronics		

Site Size:

Total size of facility:	6,920 square feet
Current processing capacity:	N/A cubic yards per day
Total processing capacity:	N/A cubic yards per day
Estimated days open per year:	365 days
Estimated yearly processing volume:	167 tons

FACILITY DESCRIPTIONS

Facility Type: Permanent Household Hazardous Waste Collection Site
Facility Name: Washtenaw County Home Toxics Collection Center
County: Washtenaw
Location: 750 N. Zeeb Road, Ann Arbor, MI 48103

Is a map identifying the location included in Attachment Section: yes, p. 134

Public or Private: Public

Owner: Washtenaw County

Materials Processed:

x	Acids	x	Fluorescent Light Tubes and Bulbs
x	Aerosols	x	Fertilizer
x	Antifreeze	x	Paint, Latex
x	Automotive Fluids	x	Pesticides
x	Batteries	x	Propane Tanks
x	Cleaners and Polish	x	Stains and Varnish
x	Fire Extinguishers		Asbestos
x	Flammables		Yard Waste
	Medical Waste		
	Tires		
	Trash		

Site Size:

Total size of facility:	3500 square feet
Current processing capacity:	6 cubic yards per day
Total processing capacity:	10 cubic yards per day
Estimated days open per year:	21 open days, daily appointments
Estimated yearly processing volume:	380 cubic yards

FACILITY DESCRIPTIONS

Facility Type: Municipal Compost Site
Facility Name: Ypsilanti Township Compost Facility
County: Washtenaw
Location: 2600 E. Clark Rd., Ypsilanti, MI 48198
Town: 3S Range: 7E Section(s): 1

Is a map identifying the location included in Attachment Section: yes, p. 134

Public or Private: Public

Owner: Charter Township of Ypsilanti

Materials Processed:

- x Grass clippings
- x Leaves
- x Brush
- x Trees
- x Prunings
- x Other: Scrap Metals, White Goods, Motor Oil, and Oil Filters

Communities/Businesses Serviced:

Ypsilanti Township Residents
City of Ypsilanti Residents
Superior Township Residents
Small lawn care contractors
Citizens of other communities may drop-off for a charge

Site Size:

Total area of facility property:	40 acres
Total area sited for use:	~20 acres
Current processing capacity:	5,000 cubic yards per day
Estimated days open per year:	225 days
Estimated yearly processing volume:	140,000 cubic yards

End use of compost: Given free of charge to residents; sold to non-residents.

FACILITY DESCRIPTIONS

Facility Type: Municipal Compost Site
Facility Name: Village of Barton Hills Compost Facility
County: Washtenaw
Location: 199 Barton Shore Dr., Ann Arbor, MI 48105

Is a map identifying the location included in Attachment Section: yes, p. 134

Public or Private: Public

Owner: Village of Barton Hills

Materials Processed:

- x Grass clippings
- x Leaves
- x Brush
- x Trees
- x Prunings
- x Other: Large Tree Trimmings

Communities/Businesses Serviced:

Barton Hills Village residents only

Site Size:

Total area of facility property:	~6-10 acres
Total area sited for use:	~1/2 acres
Current processing capacity:	2,000 cubic yards per day
Estimated days open per year:	365 days
Estimated yearly processing volume:	1,000 cubic yards

End use of compost: Plowed into field yearly.


SOLID WASTE COLLECTION SERVICES AND TRANSPORTATION INFRASTRUCTURE

Describe the existing collection services which are available for the county.

Washtenaw County is serviced by public, non-profit, and private service providers for the collection and transportation of waste, recycling, and yard waste. The responsibility of collection and transportation services varies by community. In Washtenaw County, collection is categorized as follows:

- 1. City Coordinated Collection:** Collection is automatically provided to residents by the city or contracted service provider. The largest percent of county residents are provided service in this manner.
- 2. Preferred Hauler:** Communities secure a contract with a service provider, but it is not required that residents utilize the service and may contract with a different service provider if desired. Two townships currently offer a preferred hauler.
- 3. Subscription services:** Residents secure collection services with the service provider of their choice. There is no community provided option. Residents in fifteen different communities within the County receive services by subscription.

Figure 1. Solid Waste Collection by Type in Washtenaw County



Source: RRS 2016

ACTIVE SERVICE PROVIDERS

The following is a list of service providers currently providing collection services in Washtenaw County. All of the following haulers are private operations unless noted otherwise.

- Advanced Disposal - www.advanceddisposal.com
- City of Ann Arbor (Public) - www.a2gov.org
- City of Chelsea (Public) - www.city-chelsea.org
- City of Ypsilanti (Public) - www.cityofypsilanti.com
- Granger Disposal - www.grangernet.com
- Green for Life (GFL) - www.gflenv.com
- Modern Waste - www.modernwastesystems.com
- Recycle Ann Arbor (Non-profit) - www.recycleannarbor.org
- Republic Services - www.republicservices.com
- Stevens Disposal - www.stevensdisposal.com
- Titans Trash - www.titanstrash.com
- University of Michigan Waste Management Services - ltp.umich.edu/wm
- Village of Barton Hills (Public) - www.vil-bartonhills.org
- Waste Management - www.wm.com
- Western Washtenaw Recycling Authority (WWRA) (Public) - www.wwrarecycles.org

EVALUATION OF PROBLEMS AND DEFICIENCIES

With sufficient reserves of landfill capacity in southeast Michigan, the challenges facing citizens, communities, businesses, and industries in Washtenaw County are centered on non-disposal issues and improving waste stream diversion from disposal facilities. The following is a description of problems or deficiencies in the existing solid waste system.

- 1. Data Collection and Measurement:** Currently, there is limited data for programs related to solid waste management, diversion, and recovery. This can be attributed to several factors. First, collection of materials is not limited to one community. That is, a service provider in one municipality could cross boundaries into another municipality, making it challenging to isolate tonnage data for one community. There is no single data collection system in place at the state or county level nor any rules or regulations requiring regular reporting. Furthermore, some activities are difficult to measure, such as source reduction. Encouraging less waste in the first place may achieve diversion goals, but is often not measurable on a household to household or even business to business basis.

Data collection and measurement is addressed in all goals of this Plan, except Goal #4.

- 2. Program Participation:** Determining participation is also related to data collection. Participation rates are also challenging to track and monitor and provide another metric of program progress. One-day collection events can measure participation by counting the number of cars, but overall, there is a lack of understanding of participation in programs across the county.

Data collection and measurement is addressed in all goals of this Plan, except Goal #4.

- 3. Education and Outreach:** From the public feedback survey conducted, many respondents indicated a lack of familiarity with options for disposal and diversion, and expressed confusion to what is and is not acceptable in community programs. Education and outreach expands beyond program information to providing citizens with information about why these activities are important and quantifying their impacts.

Education and outreach is addressed in Goal #3 of this Plan.

- 4. Regional Engagement and Interaction:** Solid waste management reaches beyond one county's borders and is very regional in nature. While Washtenaw County currently hosts one landfill, only a portion of the County's waste is disposed of at that facility. More than half the waste generated in the County is disposed of at facilities located in several other counties. Collaboration and engagement of all stakeholders across different jurisdictions' borders will strengthen the system and ensure benefits and impacts are measured against all stakeholders.

Regional engagement and interaction is addressed in Goal #5.

- 5. Disposal Costs:** Landfill disposal rates in Michigan continue to be some of the lowest across the Great Lakes states, creating little or no economic incentive for waste reduction and recycling programs, efforts, or investments. There are programs in the County that incentivize waste reduction such as Pay as You Throw, but they are not applied in every community.

Incentives for recycling are addressed in Goal #2.

- 6. Illegal Dumping:** Roadside illegal dumping continues to plague rural communities throughout the County. In more urban areas, illegal dumping occurs in dumpsters that are not for general public use. County residents also indicated that they are unaware of locations for proper disposal.

Illegal dumping is addressed in Goal #4.

7. **Market Conditions and Development:** Markets for recyclables continue to fluctuate, or are not available in southeast Michigan. Markets for commodities are cyclical in nature and program operators should develop reserves to cover the down cycle of markets to reduce negative impacts on programs and services offered. With a stronger emphasis on purchasing goods manufactured from post-consumer recycled materials, recycling programs can to help maintain and encourage further market development.

Market development is addressed in Goal #2.

DEMOGRAPHICS AND LAND DEVELOPMENT

Demographic information provides insight to key characteristics of human populations, such as size, growth, density, and distribution. Basic demographic data is unique to each population, and it is necessary to have a clear understanding of local statistics to develop sound solid waste management strategies. The following information has been selected for inclusion in Washtenaw County's Plan based on its relevance to current and future solid waste management practices.

ECONOMIC TRENDS

The economic climate within the County can greatly affect how solid waste is generated and managed. As major industries rise and fall and the economic structure shifts and changes, the types and amounts of solid waste will evolve accordingly. Therefore, if economic trends can be determined, future solid waste needs can be more accurately predicted.

The current economic climate is heavily influenced by the recession in 2008-2009, with an astounding amount of job loss documented from 2006-2009¹. However, the County continues to see signs of economic recovery with net annual job growth starting in 2010 through 2014. The top job producers in the recovery from 2009 until 2014 have been state government (public higher education and the U-M Health System); leisure and hospitality; professional, scientific, and technical services; manufacturing other than autos; private health services; and financial activities.¹

Table 6. Employment by Major Industry Sectors: Washtenaw County 2001-2014

Sector	2001	2010	2014	% of Total in 2001	% of Total in 2014	Employment Growth 2010-2014
Leisure & Hospitality	15,978	18,505	21,072	6.6%	8.4%	13.9%
Manufacturing	26,985	13,441	15,200	11.2%	6.1%	13.1%
Government	67,682	68,923	74,407	28.0%	29.8%	8.0%
Knowledge-based Services	42,616	42,729	45,558	17.6%	18.3%	6.6%
Private Education & Healthcare	25,364	31,961	32,828	10.5%	13.2%	2.7%
Services to Households & Firms	21,430	23,665	23,756	8.9%	9.5%	0.4%
Natural Resources, Mining, & Construction	10,549	7,904	7,899	4.4%	3.2%	-0.1%
Retail Trade	22,718	19,466	18,948	9.4%	7.6%	-2.7%
Wholesale Trade, Transportation, Warehousing, & Utilities	8,371	10,082	9,728	3.5%	3.9%	-3.5%
Total	241,693	236,676	249,396	100.0%	100.0%	2.7%

Source: SEMCOG Employment data 2014

¹ Fulton, G. A., & Grimes, D. R. (2015). *The Economic Outlook for Washtenaw County in 2015-2017*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan


The City of Ann Arbor, Superior Township, and Pittsfield Township are projected to see the largest growth in employment with an addition of over 3,000 jobs each year through 2040. The City of Chelsea, the City of Ypsilanti, Ypsilanti Township, Ann Arbor Township, and Scio Township should also expect large employment growth (1,000-3,000 jobs each). Small growth is anticipated for the remainder of the southeast area of the County (Augusta Township, York Township, City of Milan, Saline Township, City of Saline, and Lodi Township) as well as in Northfield Township, Dexter Township, City of Dexter, and Sylvan Township. Employment in the west side of the County (specifically, Lyndon Township, Lima Township, Sharon Township, Freedom Township, Manchester Township, and Manchester Village), Webster Township, and Salem Township is expected to change very little.

According to the Washtenaw County Office of Community & Economic Development², the vast majority of businesses are small businesses with under 20 employees. The number of business establishments increased significantly in 2013 indicating an improving economy, which may be due in part to the formation of non-employer firms by those residents that became unemployed during the recent recession (Figure 3).

A non-employer business is one that has no paid employees, has annual business receipts of \$1,000 or more (\$1 or more in the construction industries), and is subject to federal income taxes. Most non-employers are self-employed individuals operating very small unincorporated businesses, which may or may not be the owner's principal source of income (U.S. Census Bureau).

2 *Washtenaw County Office of Community & Economic Development. (2014). Washtenaw County Economic Vitality Report.*

Figure 2. Employment Growth in Washtenaw County by Community




Source: SEMCOG, 2014

POPULATION

Washtenaw County's population has steadily increased over the past 34 years, growing over an average of 1% each year from 1980-2014 (see Table 7). Population figures are necessary for predicting future solid waste generation figures. For example, if the County wishes to estimate its solid waste generation rate per person, accurate and updated population figures are necessary. In addition, if long-term county recycling and waste reduction goals are to be measurable, population figures must first be calculated to compute a baseline waste generation estimate. Data on household size is provided in Table 7. Studies have demonstrated a strong correlation between the size of a household and the quantity of waste each person generates within that household. For example, a household of one typically generates more waste per person than does a household of five.

Figure 3. Number of Businesses in Washtenaw County by Size



Source: Washtenaw County Office of Community & Economic Development Economic Vitality Report January 2014

Table 7. Population and Household Estimates: Washtenaw County 1980-2014

Year	1980	1990	2000	2010	2014	Percent Change (since 2000)
Population	264,740	282,937	322,770	344,791	350,781	7.45%
Households	92,937	104,546	125,327	130,880	138,026	9.51%
Persons per Household	2.85	2.71	2.57	2.60	2.60	-1.88%

Source: SEMCOG, 2014

To understand where solid waste is generated and how it affects the County's solid waste infrastructure, population changes and distribution must also be calculated. Table 8 shows population change for each Washtenaw County municipality (losses are highlighted) and Table 9 provides population densities for each municipality. Population density can affect the type of solid waste collection services needed for a community. Figure 4 is a graphic representation of the provided community population densities. A map has been constructed to estimate the major waste generation centers (see Figure 5) by analyzing the population change and density data.

Table 8. Population by Municipality

Type	Geography	Population 2000	Population 2015	Percent change
City	Ann Arbor	115,012	118,017	2.61%
City	Chelsea	4,398	4,934	12.19%
City	Dexter	2,338	4,911	110.05%
City	Milan*	3,065	3,920	27.90%
City	Saline	8,034	8,897	10.74%
City	Ypsilanti	22,362	19,985	-10.63%
Township	Ann Arbor	3,763	4,271	13.50%
Township	Augusta	4,813	6,948	44.36%
Township	Bridgewater	1,646	1,663	1.03%
Township	Dexter	5,248	6,905	31.57%
Township	Freedom	1,562	1,461	-6.47%
Township	Lima	2,517	3,690	46.60%
Township	Lodi	5,710	6,238	9.25%
Township	Lyndon	2,728	2,947	8.03%
Township	Manchester	1,942	2,603	34.04%
Township	Northfield	8,252	8,133	-1.44%
Township	Pittsfield	29,801	38,309	28.55%
Township	Salem	5,562	5,724	2.91%
Township	Saline	1,302	2,057	57.99%
Township	Scio	13,421	17,050	27.04%
Township	Sharon	1,678	1,729	3.04%
Township	Superior	10,740	13,026	21.28%
Township	Sylvan	2,734	2,896	5.93%
Township	Webster	5,198	6,405	23.22%
Township	York	7,392	9,003	21.79%
Township	Ypsilanti	49,182	55,334	12.51%
Village	Barton	335	318	-5.07%
Village	Manchester	2,160	2,080	-3.70%
TOTAL		322,895	359,454	11.32%

Source: SEMCOG, 2014

* Part of the city of Milan is located within Monroe County. The statistics for the City of Milan reflect only the number of residents living in Washtenaw County.


Table 9. Community Population Densities

Type	Geography	Population 2015	Area Sq. Miles	Population Density 2015 (population/sq. mile)
City	Ann Arbor	118,017	27.6	4,276
City	Chelsea	4,934	3.3	1,495
City	Dexter	4,911	1.7	2,889
City	Milan*	3,920	1.0	3,920
City	Saline	8,897	4.5	1,977
City	Ypsilanti	19,985	4.5	4,441
Township	Ann Arbor	4,271	17.2	248
Township	Augusta	6,948	36.8	189
Township	Bridgewater	1,663	36.7	45
Township	Dexter	6,905	33.1	209
Township	Freedom	1,461	35.5	41
Township	Lima	3,690	35.4	104
Township	Lodi	6,238	34.0	183
Township	Lyndon	2,947	35.2	84
Township	Manchester	2,603	36.9	71
Township	Northfield	8,133	36.7	222
Township	Pittsfield	38,309	27.4	1,398
Township	Salem	5,724	34.4	166
Township	Saline	2,057	35.0	59
Township	Scio	17,050	32.6	523
Township	Sharon	1,729	37.6	46
Township	Superior	13,026	35.5	367
Township	Sylvan	2,896	34.4	84
Township	Webster	6,405	35.8	179
Township	York charter	9,003	35.0	257
Township	Ypsilanti	55,334	31.8	1,740
Village	Barton Hills	318	0.8	398
Village	Manchester	2,080	1.9	1,095
TOTAL/AVG		359,454	722.3	954

Source: SEMCOG; Population and Household Estimates for Southeast Michigan, July 2015


* Part of the city of Milan is located within Monroe County. The statistics for the City of Milan reflect only the number of residents living in Washtenaw County. Population and Household Forecast

Figure 4. Population Density in Washtenaw County



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census

Figure 5. Major Waste Generation Centers



Source: RRS, 2016

POPULATION AND HOUSEHOLD FORECAST


To properly locate facilities that adequately meet the needs of the people, projections of future levels of population and household size must be analyzed. SEMCOG has developed 20-year projections based on mathematical and computational models and the most recent national census estimates. Table 10 and Figure 6 provide projections for population and households through 2040. These projections differ from the estimates in Table 7 because they are derived using only models (and base census data) and are not actual population/household counts.

Table 10. Population and Household Forecast for Washtenaw County

Year	2005	2010	2015	2020	2025	2030	2035	2040	% Change
Population	325,599	344,791	350,781	354,116	360,371	368,262	377,183	386,235	18.6%
Households	124,559	137,193	141,483	146,870	151,822	156,324	160,691	164,447	32.0%
Persons/ Household	2.61	2.51	2.48	2.41	2.37	2.36	2.35	2.35	-0.1%

Source: SEMCOG 2040 Forecast produced in 2012

Figure 6. Population Growth Estimates in Washtenaw County



Source: SEMCOG 2040 Forecast produced in 2012

LAND USE AND DEVELOPMENT

Washtenaw County is growing, and the increase in population and land development are placing new demands on farmland, open space, and natural areas. Although most of the County is still rural, farm acreage declined by 20% between 2000 and 2008, a loss of almost 5,292 acres per year. While it appears that the population of Washtenaw County is gradually increasing, this appearance may be more the result of growing urban land use than of population. Between 2000-2010, the population in Washtenaw County increased approximately 6% according to SEMCOG figures. In that same ten-year period, it is projected that the urbanized area in Washtenaw County increased by over 39%. Thus, the urbanized area in Washtenaw County is growing at a faster rate than that of the entire population growth. The acreage in major land use classes in 2008 is shown in Table 11.

Table 11. 2008 Land Use Acreages

	Acres	Percent of Total County
Agricultural	165,586.7	35.8%
Single-family residential	189,512.6	41%
Multiple-family residential	2,897.4	0.6%
Commercial	9,981.4	2.2%
Industrial	15,317	3.3%
Governmental/Institutional	13,560.4	2.9%
Park, recreation, and open space	35,031.2	7.6%
Airport	648.6	0.1%
Transportation, Communication, and Utility	19,104.5	4.1%
Water	10,607.9	2.3%
Total	462,247.5	100%

Source: SEMCOG, 2008

SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT ALTERNATIVES

The following briefly describes all solid waste management systems considered by the County and how each alternative will meet the needs of the County. The manner of evaluating and ranking of each alternative is also described. Details regarding the Selected Alternatives are in the following section. Details regarding each non-selected alternative are in Appendix B.

Washtenaw County approached consideration of solid waste management alternatives through a SWOT (Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities and Threats) analysis and through the development of the Goals and Objectives of this Plan. Through those processes, the County places a priority on solid waste and recycling related activities and programs that will contribute to waste reduction and recovery, and thus reducing the dependency on disposal. Therefore, solid waste management alternatives that support disposal as a primary option are not consistent with the vision of Washtenaw County. Below are four solid waste management alternatives. Based on the process undertaken by the County, two of these alternatives were determined to be unrealistic and were discarded immediately without undergoing detailed analysis. They are as follows:

LARGE SCALE INCINERATION

Although large-scale incineration has the potential to divert a substantial amount of waste from landfill disposal, it is not realistic for Washtenaw County. There are currently no such facilities existing within the County, and the siting, construction, and operation of these facilities is cost-prohibitive. Also, incineration is unnecessary in southeast Michigan where both resource recovery opportunities and landfills are abundant. In addition, there are significant environmental costs, including energy consumption, air pollution, and hazards associated with the disposal of bottom and fly ash.

SANITARY LANDFILL ONLY

This alternative would consider landfilling as the only means of handling our waste. While this alternative may be attractive due to the abundance of available landfill space and the low cost of disposal in southeast Michigan, it is not a feasible option. Washtenaw County communities have placed a significant emphasis on waste reduction and recovery in the past, and a significant infrastructure has been established to support these activities within the public, private, and non-profit sectors. This strategy does not take into account those existing activities, and is not consistent with the established Goals and Objectives of the Plan.

After eliminating the first two alternatives, two viable alternatives remain, as follows:

Alternative #1: Waste reduction, recycling, and composting with sanitary landfill that maintains current recovery levels.

Alternative #1 utilizes a combination of waste reduction, recycling, composting, and land disposal technologies as its foundation while reserving the use of a sanitary landfill only for those items that cannot be diverted. This system is currently in place in the County as a result of the 1999 Solid Waste Plan Update.

While this alternative utilizes all environmental programs currently in place in the County, it does not require the expansion and enhancement of waste reduction, recycling, and composting activities. For this reason, it is not the preferred alternative for the County.

Alternative #2: Expanded system of waste prevention, reduction, recycling, composting, and sanitary landfill.

Alternative #2 is an integrated strategy that places waste prevention, reduction, recycling, and composting at the top of the solid waste management hierarchy. This alternative is different from #1 in that it requires strengthening existing diversion programs and recovery rates through measurement, education and outreach, and access. This alternative also seeks to increase diversion of additional materials such as

food waste and construction and demolition waste. Landfill space would only be utilized to dispose of solid waste remaining after the diversion technologies have been developed to their fullest long term environmental, technological, and economic potential.

Alternative # 2 is identified in this Plan as the selected alternative for solid waste management in the County. It is the most feasible because it builds upon the existing recovery programs and infrastructure throughout the County, allows for growth and improvement, and clearly aligns with the established Goals and Objectives of the Plan.



THE SELECTED SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

The Selected Solid Waste Management System (“Selected System”) must be capable of being developed and operated in compliance with state laws and rules pertaining to the protection of public health and the environment considering the available land in the county and the technical feasibility of, and economic costs associated with, the system. The selected system must be consistent with and utilize population, waste generation, and other Planning information.

Washtenaw County has selected a comprehensive approach to managing the County’s solid waste and recoverable materials, as identified on pages 55-56. The Selected System addresses the Plan’s purpose protect the air, land, water, and environment and promote the health, safety, welfare, and quality of life for the citizens of Washtenaw County. The Selected System developed will support proper management of waste and promote appropriate opportunities to divert materials from disposal through beneficial activities such as reuse, source reduction, recycling, composting, and other sustainable materials management practices. The Selected System shall be implemented in a cost-effective, environmentally sound, and publicly acceptable manner through programs, policies, partnerships, public engagement and education efforts that serve the county’s residents, businesses, agencies, and institutions. The Selected System builds on the existing infrastructure throughout the County, and requires the implementation of new initiatives.

GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

GOAL ONE: Create, implement, manage, and monitor an aggressive program to incrementally reduce the overall amount of municipal solid waste (MSW) generated per capita in Washtenaw County by 5% in the year 2022 and 10% by the year 2027, with a target of working towards zero waste.

Objective 1: Develop and/or support comprehensive programs aimed at reducing waste generation, such as source reduction, pollution prevention, environmental management systems, and other efforts that support sustainable materials management practices.

Objective 2: Investigate and evaluate economic, regulatory, and educational approaches to motivating citizens and businesses to reduce waste generation, such as pay-as-you-throw variable pricing systems and bans on disposal of specific materials.

Objective 3: Establish realistic and measurable goals for annual evaluation and investigate and implement measurement systems as needed.

Objective 4: Educate citizens and businesses on source reduction benefits and techniques, especially those targeted at creating less landfilled waste, reducing organic and household hazardous wastes at the source.

Objective 5: Establish annual goals to reduce the overall amount of municipal solid waste generated per capita in Washtenaw County.

Objective 6: Conduct annual review to measure progress made towards goals.

GOAL TWO: Create, implement, manage, and monitor an aggressive program to increase municipal solid waste diversion rates from current levels to 38% in 2022 and 43% in 2027, with a target of 100% diversion.

Objective 1: Reuse, Resale

- A. Develop and/or support programs that promote the reuse, donation, and resale of used products.
- B. Promote and educate about reuse and resale opportunities.

Objective 2: Recycling

- A. Develop and/or support local recycling programs and incentives that provide convenient and accessible recycling opportunities for all citizens.
- B. Encourage increased recycling within the commercial/industrial and institutional sectors and multi-family housing units.
- C. Market development
 - 1. Work with government agencies and businesses to develop markets for recycled materials and organics.
 - 2. Pursue market development for special materials, including durable goods and electronics.
 - 3. Pursue cooperative programs for recycled-content purchasing.
 - 4. Encourage development of recycling-based manufacturing through local, regional, and state government economic development programs.

Objective 3: Organics Management

- A. Develop and/or support comprehensive programs aimed at home composting initiatives and yard waste reduction.
- B. Investigate and encourage the composting or other appropriate management of other organics including food and yard waste for residential and commercial sectors.

Objective 4: Special Material Handling

- A. Continue to pursue recycling and disposal alternatives for problematic materials such as household hazardous waste, conditionally exempt small quantity generator waste, construction and demolition waste, and household generated medical waste.

Objective 5: Policy

- A. Encourage the development of policies, ordinances, and regulations that support sustainable materials management at the County and local levels.

Objective 6: Access and Convenience

- A. Investigate access and convenience in Washtenaw County as it relates to services available for the reduction, diversion, and disposal of MSW.
- B. Ensure convenience and accessibility for any programs and services developed.

Objective 7: Establish realistic and measurable goals for annual evaluation and investigate and implement measurement systems as needed.

GOAL THREE: Develop, support, and monitor comprehensive education, outreach, and feedback programs to achieve the Goals of this Plan.

Objective 1: Educate residents, businesses, schools, and institutions on appropriate handling and preparation of solid waste, including reuse, recycling, organics, and waste reduction.

Objective 2: Promote public education and awareness about key issues including solid waste reduction, reuse, diversion (recycling and composting), and disposal, and environmentally preferable purchasing.

Objective 3: Collaborate with private, public, and non-profit service providers and local units of government to enhance communication and education to residents, employees, and employers located within the county.

Objective 4: Establish regular opportunities for public feedback and participation in achieving Plan goals.

Objective 5: Establish realistic and measurable goals for annual evaluation and investigate and implement measurement systems as needed.

GOAL FOUR: Ensure the safe, lawful, and efficient management of MSW.

Objective 1: Demonstrate and assure at least 10 years of capacity for non-recoverable refuse waste to meet the County's need using appropriate facilities inside and outside of the County.

Objective 2: Ensure the safe and environmentally sound collection, transportation, processing, on-site storage, and disposal of solid waste refuse and materials for diversion.

Objective 3: Encourage proper handling of problematic materials such as household hazardous waste, unregulated business waste, and household generated medical waste.

Objective 4: Pursue programs aimed at decreasing illegal dumping.

Objective 5: Encourage the development of local ordinances and regulations for the collection of solid waste to take place in an efficient, safe, and timely manner.

Objective 6: Maintain regular communication with the appropriate regulatory agencies which enforce the safe and lawful management of solid waste, to remain current with regulations and conditions to support and/or facilitate solutions when necessary.

Objective 7: Collaborate with local and County agencies, industry, and non-profit organizations to minimize the impacts of sustainable solid waste management on local stakeholders and the public.

GOAL FIVE: Operate collaboratively within the County and regionally outside of the County for a comprehensive sustainable materials management strategy.

Objective 1: Washtenaw County will assume the leadership role in the implementation of the goals and objectives of the solid waste management Plan.

- A. The County shall create and facilitate targeted committees to implement the goals and objectives of the Plan.
- B. County staff and the Board of Public Works will monitor, analyze, and recommend appropriate funding, educational, and regulatory actions to effectively implement the goals and objectives of the Plan, including direct County action, coordination of intergovernmental partnership opportunities, and local government support.

Objective 2: Enlist the support of all local units of government and other agencies to implement the Plan.

Objective 3: Continue to foster strong working relationships between governmental agencies, within the County and outside of the County.

Objective 4: Continue to build strong partnerships between the public, private, and non-profit sectors.

Objective 5: Facilitate the development of regional, cooperative services and programs to be implemented through the Plan's selected waste management alternatives.

Objective 6: Monitor and contribute to state and federal legislative developments relating to MSW.

Objective 7: Support development of state or regional goals for MSW diversion and strive to meet or exceed goals where possible.

Objective 8: Diversify and expand the capabilities of the current Countywide funding system for waste prevention and recycling program development.

Objective 9: Investigate and advise municipalities on alternative funding mechanisms for local recovery programs.

Objective 10: Establish realistic and measurable goals for annual evaluation and investigate and implement measurement systems as needed.

SELECTED SYSTEM COMPONENTS

GUIDING PRINCIPLES

The Selected System is built on the following principles that provided the foundation for both the Selected System and the development of the Plan Goals and Objectives. The principles were identified through the evaluation process of the current system and the results from the public feedback survey. The two sources of input reflected one another in the following areas. The principles presented below are not listed in any specific order.

ACCESS AND CONVENIENCE

Programs and services that support proper disposal of waste and diversion of recoverable materials must be accessible and convenient for County residents, businesses, agencies, and institutions to utilize.

MORE DIVERSION AND RECOVERY, LESS DISPOSAL

Additional programs and services will increase the diversion of recoverable materials, and may require additional infrastructure, funding, and outreach. Increasing diversion opportunities will contribute to a reduced need for disposal and preserve capacity at existing disposal facilities available to the County.

EDUCATION AND OUTREACH

Education and outreach around available programs and services are necessary to ensure participation. Education and outreach can also contribute to an increase in participation as well as illustrating the impacts that programs and services make.

DATA AND MEASUREMENT

Measurement systems and processes will be investigated and implemented as needed to establish realistic and measurable goals for regular evaluation for successes, challenges, improvements, and opportunities.

FUNDING

Adequate funding is required to support the Selected System. Page 117 provides a complete list of available funding options to support programs and services. Using a variety of available methods as well as developing an adequate reserve of funds will support programs and services in challenging times.

COORDINATION AND COLLABORATION

Coordination and collaboration provide opportunities to expand while managing costs. Working together can have a greater impact than an individual community pursuing programs on their own. This also applies within the County and outside of the County, where regional efforts and collaboration should occur to benefit as many users as possible.

COMPONENTS OF THE PLAN

The Objectives of the Plan are central to the Selected System, and prescribe the necessary components for the Selected System to be implemented. The Objectives presented here have been reorganized under the Guiding Principles and redundancies removed.

ACCESS AND CONVENIENCE

- Investigate access and convenience in Washtenaw County as it relates to services available for the reduction, diversion, and disposal of MSW.
- Ensure convenience and accessibility for any programs and services developed.

MORE DIVERSION AND RECOVERY, LESS DISPOSAL

- Develop and/or support comprehensive programs aimed at reducing waste generation, such as source reduction, pollution prevention, environmental management systems, and other efforts that support sustainable materials management practices.
- Develop and/or support programs that promote the reuse, donation, and resale of used products.
- Develop and/or support local recycling programs and incentives that provide convenient and accessible recycling opportunities for all residents.
- Facilitate increased recycling within the commercial/industrial and institutional sectors and multi-family housing units.
- Collaborate with government agencies and businesses to develop markets for recycled materials and organics.
- Pursue market development for special materials, including durable goods and electronics.
- Pursue cooperative programs for recycled-content purchasing.
- Promote development of recycling-based manufacturing through local, regional and state government economic development programs.
- Develop and/or support comprehensive programs aimed at home composting initiatives and yard waste reduction.
- Investigate and encourage the composting or other appropriate management of other organics including food and yard waste for residential and commercial sectors.
- Continue to pursue recycling and disposal alternatives for problematic materials such as household hazardous waste, conditionally exempt small quantity generator waste, construction and demolition waste and household generated medical waste.

- Facilitate the development of policies, ordinances, and regulations that support sustainable materials management at the county, local, and state levels.

DATA AND MEASUREMENT

- Establish annual goals to reduce the overall amount of Municipal Solid Waste generated per capita in Washtenaw County.
- Conduct annual reviews to measure progress made towards goals.
- Establish realistic and measurable goals for annual evaluation and investigate and implement measurement systems as needed.
- Utilize systems made available from the state for data collection.

EDUCATION AND OUTREACH

- Educate residents, businesses, schools, and institutions on appropriate handling and preparation of solid waste, including refuse, recycling, organics, and waste reduction.
- Promote public education and awareness about key issues including solid waste reduction, reuse, diversion (recycling and composting), and disposal, and environmentally preferable purchasing.
- Promote and educate about reuse and resale opportunities.
- Educate residents and businesses on source reduction benefits and techniques, especially those targeted at creating less landfilled waste, reducing organic and household hazardous wastes at the source.
- Collaborate with private, public, and non-profit service providers and local units of government to enhance communication and education to residents, employees, and employers located within the county.
- Establish regular opportunities for public feedback and participation in achieving Plan Goals.

FUNDING

- Investigate and strongly encourage implementation of economic, regulatory, and educational approaches to motivate residents and businesses to reduce waste generation, such as pay-as-you-throw variable pricing systems and other disposal restrictions.
- Diversify and expand the capabilities of the current Countywide funding system for waste prevention and recycling program development.
- Investigate and advise municipalities on alternative funding mechanisms for local recovery programs.

COLLABORATION

- Continue to foster strong working relationships between governmental agencies, within the County and outside of the County.
- Continue to build strong partnerships between the public, private, and non-profit sectors.
- Facilitate the development of regional, cooperative services and programs to be implemented through the Plan's selected waste management alternatives.
- Collaborate with local and County agencies, industry, and non-profit organizations to minimize the impacts of sustainable solid waste management on local stakeholders and the public.

COORDINATION

- Washtenaw County will assume the leadership role in the implementation of the Goals and Objectives of the Solid Waste Management Plan.
- The County shall create and facilitate targeted committees to implement the Goals and Objectives of the Plan.
- County staff and the Board of Public Works will monitor, analyze, and recommend appropriate funding, educational, and regulatory actions to effectively implement the Goals and Objectives of the Plan, including direct County action, coordination of intergovernmental partnership opportunities, and local government support.
- Enlist the support of all local units of government and other agencies to implement the Plan.
- Monitor and contribute to state and federal legislative developments relating to MSW.

- Support development of state or regional goals for MSW diversion and strive to meet or exceed goals where possible.
- Demonstrate and assure at least 10 years of capacity for non-recoverable refuse waste to meet the County's need using appropriate facilities inside and outside of the County.
- Ensure the safe and environmentally sound collection, transportation, processing, on-site storage, and disposal of solid waste refuse and materials for diversion.
- Educate and promote proper handling of problematic materials such as household hazardous waste, unregulated business waste, and household generated medical waste.
- Pursue programs aimed at decreasing illegal dumping.
- Facilitate and support the development of local ordinances and regulations for the collection of solid waste to take place in an efficient, safe, and timely manner.
- Maintain regular communication with the appropriate regulatory agencies which enforce the safe and lawful management of solid waste in order to remain current with regulations and conditions and support and/or facilitate solutions when necessary.

IMPORT/EXPORT AUTHORIZATIONS

Washtenaw County has an Agreement for Disposal of Solid Waste with Advanced Disposal (formerly BFI in previous Plan). Through the agreement, Advanced Disposal agreed to a 25-year agreement to receive for disposal no more than 2 million tons of solid waste and excluded waste from all sources in any single year and no more than 9.1 million tons of solid waste and excluded waste over a rolling five-year consecutive period.

During the term of the Agreement, Advanced Disposal agrees to accept for disposal at Arbor Hills Landfill all County solid waste, regardless of whether the waste is delivered to the landfill by the County, a local unit of government, a public authority, consortium, or any other public or private party.

The Agreement provides that neither the County nor any local unit of government is required to deliver any specified or minimum amounts of solid waste to the landfill.

County solid waste may be disposed of at other landfills if the disposal activity is consistent with the Washtenaw County Solid Waste Plan and the Solid Waste Plan of the receiving county.

INTERCOUNTY TRANSPORT OF SOLID WASTE

The import and export of solid waste across jurisdictional boundaries of Washtenaw County are recognized only as described in this section.

Solid waste that is exported to a processing or transfer facility outside the County and subsequently imported back into the County is considered Washtenaw County waste, subject to verification as deemed necessary by the County.

IMPORT AUTHORIZATION

Through the host community agreement, the priority for importing waste into Washtenaw County is first for southeast Michigan, next for counties in the State of Michigan, and lastly, out of state waste. Table 12 lists counties in the State of Michigan that would be allowed to export waste to facilities in Washtenaw County.

Table 12. Authorized Imports of Solid Waste - Counties in Michigan

Alcona	Gratiot	Missaukee
Alger	Hillsdale	Monroe
Allegan	Houghton	Montcalm
Alpena	Huron	Montmorency
Antrim	Ingham	Muskegon
Arenac	Ionia	Newaygo
Baraga	Iosco	Oakland
Barry	Iron	Oceana
Bay	Isabella	Ogemaw
Benzie	Jackson	Ontonagon
Berrien	Kalamazoo	Osceola
Branch	Kalkaska	Oscoda
Calhoun	Kent	Otsego
Cass	Keewenaw	Ottawa
Charlevoix	Lake	Presque Isle
Cheyboygan	Lapeer	Roscommon
Chippewa	Leelenau	Saginaw
Clare	Lenawee	Sanilac
Clinton	Livingston	Schoolcraft
Crawford	Luce	Shiawasee
Delta	Macomb	St. Clair
Dickinson	Mackinac	St. Joseph
Eaton	Manistee	Tuscola
Emmet	Marquette	Van Buren
Genesee	Mason	Wayne
Gladwin	Mecosta	Wexford
Gogebic	Menominee	
Grand Traverse	Midland	

EXPORT AUTHORIZATION

A portion of the County's waste stream may be disposed of in other counties. Export of solid waste to licensed disposal facilities in the counties listed in Table 13 is explicitly recognized and authorized in this Plan Update for the 10-year Planning period. The basis for determining annual export averages will be the most recent consecutive five-year period that concludes at the end of the year of activity.

AUTHORIZED CONDITIONS FOR EXPORT

Export authorization is contingent upon having the export from Washtenaw County explicitly recognized in the receiving county's Solid Waste Management Plan Update.

Table 13. Current Export Volume Authorization of Solid Waste

Exporting County	Importing County	Authorized Quantity (Annual Gate CY)
Washtenaw	Jackson	250,000
Washtenaw	Kalamazoo	200,000
Washtenaw	Lenawee	750,000
Washtenaw	Livingston	750,000
Washtenaw	Macomb	1,500,000
Washtenaw	Monroe	1,500,000
Washtenaw	Oakland	2,000,000
Washtenaw	Wayne	2,000,000
Washtenaw	Alcona	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	Alger	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	Allegan	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	Alpena	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	Antrim	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	Arenac	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	Baraga	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	Barry	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	Bay	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	Benzie	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	Berrien	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	Branch	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	Calhoun	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	Cass	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	Charlevoix	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	Cheboygan	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	Chippewa	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	Clare	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	Clinton	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	Crawford	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	Delta	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	Dickinson	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	Eaton	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	Emmet	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	Genesee	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	Gladwin	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	Gogebic	Up to 100%

Washtenaw	Grand Traverse	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	Gratiot	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	Hillsdale	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	Houghton	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	Huron	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	Ingham	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	Ionia	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	Iosco	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	Iron	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	Isabella	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	Kalamazoo	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	Kalkaska	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	Kent	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	Keweenaw	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	Lake	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	Lapeer	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	Leelenau	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	Luce	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	Mackinac	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	Manistee	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	Marquette	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	Mason	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	Mecosta	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	Menominee	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	Midland	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	Missaukee	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	Montcalm	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	Montmorency	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	Muskegon	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	Newaygo	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	Oceana	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	Ogemaw	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	Ontonagon	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	Osceola	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	Oscoda	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	Otsego	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	Ottawa	Up to 100%

Washtenaw	Presque Isle	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	Roscommon	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	Saginaw	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	Sanilac	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	Schoolcraft	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	Shiawassee	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	St. Clair	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	St. Joseph	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	Tuscola	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	Van Buren	Up to 100%
Washtenaw	Wexford	Up to 100%

SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL AREAS

The following identifies the names of the existing disposal areas which will be utilized to provide the required capacity and management needs for the solid waste generated within the County for the next five years and, if possible, the next 10 years. Pages 17-40 contain the descriptions of the solid waste disposal areas located within the County. Additional facilities within the County with applicable permits and licenses may be utilized as they are sited by this Plan, or amended into this Plan, and become available for disposal. Disposal areas outside of Michigan may be utilized if legally available for such use.

Washtenaw County has one licensed and operational Type II landfill within its borders - the Arbor Hills Landfill, owned and operated by Advanced Disposal. Washtenaw County's Host Community Agreement with Advanced Disposal that provides disposal capacity for all Washtenaw County generated solid waste; however, the County is under no obligation to deliver waste to the facility. Due to the regional nature of the flow of waste in southeast Michigan, and the ability of communities and commercial/industrial companies to secure their own waste services, it is recognized that waste generated from within Washtenaw County is disposed in landfills other than Arbor Hills. Washtenaw County has secured letters to assure capacity for the disposal of waste generated in Washtenaw County from facilities located outside of the County.

DETAILED DESCRIPTIONS OF SOLID WASTE FACILITIES

Inventory and description of all solid waste disposal areas within the County or to be utilized by the County to meet its disposal needs for the Planning period.

An inventory of facilities to be utilized by the County for this Planning period is provided below, along with a page number where a detailed description can be found in the background database.

"Disposal Area" is defined by Act 451, Part 115 and the Administrative Rules to include the following: Municipal Solid Waste Landfill, Industrial Waste Landfill, Construction and Demolition Waste Landfill, Municipal Incinerator Ash Landfill, Municipal Solid Waste Incinerator, Processing Facilities, Transfer Station Facility, Waste Pile.

Also, included here are MSW landfill facilities located outside of the County used for disposal and facilities not regulated under Act 451, such as source separated materials recovery facilities and composting sites, which are noted with an asterisk (*)

FACILITY NAME**PAGE*****Municipal Solid Waste Landfill***

1. Arbor Hills Landfill (Operational)	18
2. Chelsea Sanitary Landfill (Closed)	19
3. City of Ann Arbor Landfill (Closed)	20
4. Carleton Farms Landfill, Wayne County (Operational)*	21
5. Sauk Trail Hills Landfill, Wayne County (Operational)*	22
6. Woodland Meadows RDF, Wayne County (Operational)*	23
Industrial Waste Landfill	NONE
Construction and Demolition Waste Landfill	NONE
Municipal Incinerator Ash Landfill	NONE
Municipal Solid Waste Incinerator	NONE

Processing Facilities

1. Arbor Hills Material Recovery Facility (leased to Great Lakes Recycling)	24
2. City of Ann Arbor Material Recovery Facility	25
3. OmniSource Type III Materials Processing Center (leased to Recycle Ann Arbor)	26
4. Western Washtenaw Recycling Authority Materials Recovery Facility	27
5. Arbor Hills Compost Facility*	31
6. City of Ann Arbor Compost Facility*	32
7. City of Chelsea Compost Facility*	34
8. City of Milan Compost Facility*	35
9. City of Ypsilanti Materials Recovery Facility*	37
10. Washtenaw County Home Toxics Facility*	38
11. Ypsilanti Township Compost Facility*	39
12. Village of Barton Hills Compost Facility*	40

Transfer Station Facilities

1. City of Ann Arbor Transfer Station	33
2. City of Chelsea Transfer Station	37
3. City of Ann Arbor Drop-off Station*	38
4. City of Ypsilanti Drop-off Station*	36

Waste Pile

NONE

SOLID WASTE COLLECTION SERVICES AND TRANSPORTATION

The following describes the solid waste collection services and transportation infrastructure which will be utilized within the County to collect and transport waste.

Details on the collection and transportation infrastructure are provided on pages 41-42 of the background database.

RESOURCE CONSERVATION EFFORTS

The following describes the Selected System's proposed conservation efforts to reduce the amount of solid waste generated throughout the County. The annual amount of solid waste currently or proposed to be diverted from landfills is estimated for each effort to be used, if possible. Since conservation efforts are provided voluntarily and change with technologies and public awareness, it is not this Plan's intention to limit the efforts to only what is listed. Instead residents, businesses, and industries are encouraged to explore the options available to their lifestyles, practices, and processes which will reduce the amount of materials requiring disposal.

Table 14. Estimated Diversion by Resource Conservation Efforts

	Estimated Diversion (tons/year)		
Effort Description	Current	5% increase	10% increase
Residential source reduction, recycling, and yard waste initiatives	48,116	50,521	52,927
Commercial recycling initiatives	26,100	27,404	28,709
Household hazardous waste collection and treatment programs	233	245	257
Washtenaw County Totals	74,449	78,171	81,893

Source: RRS model, 2016

WASTE REDUCTION, RECYCLING, AND COMPOSTING PROGRAMS

VOLUME REDUCTION TECHNIQUES

The following describes the techniques utilized and proposed to be used throughout the County which reduce the volume of solid waste requiring disposal. The annual amount of landfill air space not used as a result of each of these techniques is estimated. Since volume reduction is practiced voluntarily and because technologies change and equipment may need replacing, it is not this Plan amendment's intention to limit the techniques to only what is listed. Persons within the County are encouraged to utilize the technique that provides the most efficient and practical volume reduction for their needs. Documentation explaining achievements of implemented programs or expected results of proposed programs is attached.

Volume reduction techniques used to conserve air space are implemented by the private sector.

OVERVIEW OF RESOURCE RECOVERY PROGRAMS

The following describes the type and volume of material in the County's waste stream that may be available for recycling or composting programs. How conditions in the County affect or may affect a recycling or composting program and potential benefits derived from these programs is also discussed. Impediments to recycling or composting programs which exist or which may exist in the future are listed, followed by a discussion regarding reducing or eliminating such impediments.

RECYCLING AND COMPOSTING

The following is a brief analysis of the recycling and composting programs selected for the County in this Plan. Additional information on operation of recycling and composting programs is included in Appendix A. The analysis covers various factors within the County and the impacts of these factors on recycling and composting. Following the written analysis, the tables on pages 75-80 list the existing and proposed recycling, composting, and source separation of hazardous materials programs in the County and which will be continued as part of this Plan. It is not this Plan's intent to prohibit additional programs or expansions of current programs to be implemented beyond those listed.

Recycling

Recycling in Washtenaw County is readily available for single-family households. Two-thirds of the County's population are provided curbside recycling service through municipal or contracted collection (See Figure 5). The remainder of the County's population is serviced through either a preferred hauler arrangement, subscription service, or drop-off recycling. For residential recycling, drop-off recycling is more common where population density is low, whereas curbside service is offered where density is high.

Less prevalent across the County is multi-family and commercial recycling, and the commercial sector generates the majority of waste in the County. The City of Ann Arbor offers services to both sectors but not all communities offer the same level of services. To encourage commercial recycling, the County promotes a business recycling recognition program, known as the Waste Knot Program. Drop-off recycling locations are accessible to anyone in the County with sites in more than half the communities. In addition to drop-off locations, service providers operating in the County offer commercial recycling services for a fee which county businesses may elect to pay for recycling collection.

There are three material recycling facilities in the County: Great Lakes Recycling, City of Ann Arbor, and Western Washtenaw Recycling Authority (WWRA). Great Lakes Recycling’s facility processes commercial recyclables. The City of Ann Arbor is a publicly owned, privately operated facility. WWRA is a partnership of five municipalities (City of Chelsea and Townships of Dexter, Lyndon, Manchester, and Lima).

In general, accurate data for recycling is not commonly tracked. Not many communities can provide data. Recycling rates can be projected, but actual data would be ideal to understand diversion rates related to recycling.

Organics – Yard Waste and Food Waste

Due to the state ban on yard waste disposal in landfills, yard waste diversion is common throughout the County. It is unclear if regular collection of yard waste occurs in areas with subscription based services, but communities with municipal or contracted collection typically have seasonal collection of yard waste. Some communities also provide a separate seasonal leaf collection program.

There continues to be a strong education and outreach effort to promote back yard composting and other waste reduction techniques such as grass-cycling (leaving grass clippings on the lawn instead of bagging for collection).

Food waste composting is an emerging area for diversion. There is one DEQ registered compost facility located within the County that accepts food waste, and another facility in an adjacent county. With the availability of sites for food waste composting, there are opportunities to increase diversion of food waste from the landfill if programs can be developed.

Special Waste Handling

Washtenaw County provides a variety of programs that address wastes that require special handling. The County offers a household hazardous waste collection program at a permanent site, annual clean-up days, pharmaceutical take-back program, latex paint recycling program, used cooking oil recycling program, and shrink wrap recycling program. These are critical services that the County offers on behalf of all its communities and its residents, as the costs for each individual community to host similar events and collections would be exorbitant, and to keep additional materials from improper disposal or landfill.

DETAILS ON RECYCLING, COMPOSTING, AND HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE PROGRAMS

All residents of Washtenaw County have access to recycling, yard waste programs, and household hazardous waste collection programs. The level of accessibility and convenience varies by community. The following set of tables provides additional information on local recycling, composting, and household hazardous waste programs. It is expected that each of these programs will continue to operate throughout the Plan implementation period, and enhancements will be made as deemed necessary.

Table 15: Inventory of existing curbside and yard waste collection programs and the items collected through each.

Table 16: Inventory of existing drop-off recycling programs and the items collected through each.

Table 17: Identification of management responsibilities for local recycling programs.

Table 18: Identification of management responsibilities for local yard waste programs.

Table 19: Inventory of household hazardous waste collection programs and items accepted through each program.

Table 20: Inventory of management responsibilities for household hazardous waste collection programs and additional details.

Table 15. Curbside Recycling Programs in Washtenaw County

Community	Newspaper	Cardboard	Magazines	Office Paper	Phone Books	Boxboard	Glass	Aluminum/Tin Cans	Scrap Steel	#1-2 Plastics	#3,4,5,7 Plastics	#6 (PS) Plastics	Plastic Bags	Styrofoam	Drink/Milk Cartons	Textiles	Ceramics	Motor Oil	Oil Filters	Auto Batteries	Household Batteries	White Goods	Yard Waste
Ann Arbor City	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•			•							*	•
Ann Arbor Twp.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•										*	
Barton Hills Village	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•												•	•
Chelsea City	•	•	•	•	•	•		•		•	•	•											
Dexter City	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•									•	•
Manchester Village	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•									•	•
Milan City	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•										•	•
Pittsfield Twp.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•									•	•
Saline City	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•								•	•	•
Superior Twp.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•							•			•
Ypsilanti City	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•			•			•					•
Ypsilanti Twp.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•		•								•

Note: all collections occur weekly

** By appointment only*

Table 16. Drop-Off Recycling Programs in Washtenaw County

Community	Newspaper	Cardboard	Magazines	Office Paper	Phone Books	Boxboard	Glass	Aluminum/Tin Cans	Scrap Steel	#1-2 Plastics	#3,4,5,7 Plastics	#6 (PS) Plastics	Styrofoam	Cartons	Textiles	Ceramics	Motor Oil	Oil Filters	Auto Batteries	Household Batteries	White Goods	Yard Waste	Collection Frequency ¹
Ann Arbor City	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	D
Barton Hills Village	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		D
Bridgewater Twp.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•					•		•			•	D
Chelsea City	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•						•					•	D
Dexter Twp.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•						•					•	D
Freedom Twp.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•									•	•		D
Lima Twp.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•						•					•	D
Lodi Twp.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•				•				•		•	•	M
Lyndon Twp.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•						•					•	D
Manchester Twp.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•						•					•	D
Northfield Twp.	•	•	•	•	•		•	•		•	•												D
Salem Twp.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•											•	D
Saline Twp.	•	•	•		•	•	•	•		•	•												M
Sharon Twp.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•												D
Sylvan Twp.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•						•					•	D
Webster Twp.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•									•	•		D
York Twp.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•										•		M
Ypsilanti City	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•			•			•		•	•	•	•	TW
Ypsilanti Twp.	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•						•	•		•	•	•	D

¹D=Daily, W=Weekly, TW=Tri-Weekly, M=Monthly;

Table 17. Management Responsibilities for Local Recycling Programs as of April 2016

Community	Single Family Residential Collector	Single Family Residential Processor	Drop-Off Vendor	Drop-Off Processor	Multi-Family Collection	Commercial Collection
Ann Arbor City	RAA	A2 MRF	RAA	A2 MRF	Municipal	Municipal/ Subscription
Ann Arbor Twp.	RS	A2 MRF	N/A	N/A	Subscription	Subscription
Augusta Twp.	WM	A2 MRF	WM	N/A	Subscription	Subscription
Barton Hills Vil.	Municipal	N/A	RRA	A2 MRF	Subscription	Subscription
Bridgewater Twp.	Subscription	N/A	WWRA	WWRA	Subscription	Subscription
Chelsea City	WWRA	WWRA	WWRA	WWRA	Subscription	Municipal
Dexter Twp.	Subscription	N/A	WWRA	WWRA	Subscription	Subscription
Dexter City	WM	A2 MRF	N/A	N/A	Subscription	Municipal
Freedom Twp.	Subscription	N/A	Modern		Subscription	Subscription
Lima Twp.	Subscription	N/A	WWRA	WWRA	Subscription	Subscription
Lodi Twp.	Subscription	N/A	RAA	A2 MRF	Subscription	Municipal
Lyndon Twp.	Subscription	N/A	WWRA	WWRA	Subscription	Subscription
Manchester Twp.	Subscription	N/A	WWRA	WWRA	Subscription	Subscription
Manchester Vil.	Steven's	A2 MRF	WWRA	WWRA	Subscription	Subscription
Milan City (pt.)	WM	A2 MRF	City	City	Subscription	None Provided
Northfield Twp.	Subscription	N/A	N/A	N/A	Subscription	None Provided
Pittsfield Twp.	RS	A2 MRF	RAA	A2 MRF	Subscription	Subscription
Salem Twp.	Subscription	N/A	N/A	N/A	Subscription	Subscription
Saline City	WM	A2 MRF	WM	A2 MRF	Subscription	Municipal
Saline Twp.	Subscription	N/A	N/A	N/A	Subscription	Subscription
Scio Twp.	Subscription	A2 MRF	RAA	A2 MRF	Subscription	Subscription
Sharon Twp.	Subscription	N/A	Modern	N/A	Subscription	Subscription
Superior Twp.	RS	A2 MRF	RAA	A2 MRF	Subscription	Subscription
Sylvan Twp.	Subscription	WWRA	WWRA	WWRA	Subscription	Subscription
Webster Twp.	Subscription	N/A	WWRA	WWRA	Subscription	Subscription
York Twp.	Steven's	N/A	RAA	A2 MRF	Subscription	Subscription
Ypsilanti City	Municipal	A2 MRF	RAA	A2 MRF	Subscription	Subscription
Ypsilanti Twp.	WM	Great Lakes Recycling	WM	Great Lakes Recycling	Subscription	Subscription

A2 MRF = Ann Arbor Materials Recovery Facility

Modern = Modern Waste

Municipal = services provided by the local city, township or village

RAA = Recycle Ann Arbor

RS= Republic Services

Subscription = no municipal services are provided; customer must subscribe individually

WM= Waste Management

WWRA = Western Washtenaw Recycling Authority

Table 18. Management Responsibilities for Local Yard Waste Programs as of April 2016

Community	Residential Curbside Collection	Residential Curbside Processor	Drop-Off Location	Drop-Off Processor
Ann Arbor City	Municipal	Municipal	Municipal	Municipal
Ann Arbor Twp.	RS	N/A	City of Ann Arbor Compost Facility	City of Ann Arbor Compost Facility
Barton Hills Vil.	Municipal	Municipal	Municipal	Municipal
Chelsea City	Municipal	City of Chelsea	Municipal	City of Chelsea
Dexter City	WM	Tuthill Farms	Municipal	Municipal
Lodi Twp.	Subscription	N/A	Municipal	Advanced Disposal
Milan City (pt.)	WM	Tuthill Farms	N/A	N/A
Pittsfield Twp.	RS	N/A	N/A	N/A
Saline City	WM	Tuthill Farms	N/A	N/A
Superior Twp.	RS	N/A	Ypsi Twp	Ypsi Twp
Ypsilanti City	Municipal	Municipal	Ypsi Twp	Ypsi Twp
Ypsilanti Twp.	WM	N/A	Municipal	Municipal

A2 MRF = Ann Arbor Materials Recovery Facility

Modern = Modern Waste

Municipal = services provided by the local city, township or village

RAA = Recycle Ann Arbor

RS = Republic Services

Subscription = no municipal services are provided; customer must subscribe individually

WM= Waste Management

WWRA = Western Washtenaw Recycling Authority

Table 19. Household Hazardous Waste Collection Programs

Program	Antifreeze	Aerosols	Auto Fluids (besides oil)	Motor Oil	Oil Filters	Household Batteries	Auto Batteries	Cleaners/Polishers	Pesticides	Herbicides	Fertilizers	Oil Based Paints	Water-based Paints	Solvents	Flammables	Fluorescent Bulbs	Sharps	Pharmaceuticals	Other
Washtenaw Co. Permanent HHW Facility ¹		•				•		•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•
Washtenaw County Regional Community Clean-up Events ²		•				•		•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•
City of Ann Arbor Drop-Off Station	•		•	•	•	•										x			
City of Ypsilanti Drop-Off Station	•		•	•	•	•													
WWRA Motor-Oil Recycling Program			•	•															
Pharmaceutical Take-Back Program																		•	
Sharps Return Program																•			

¹ Washtenaw County Permanent HHW Facility also known as the County's Home Toxic Collection Center is located at 705 N. Zeeb Road, Ann Arbor, MI. Hours of operation vary by time of year.

² Washtenaw County offers Community Clean-up Events on Saturdays during spring, summer, and fall. Locations rotate around the County.

Table 20. Management Responsibilities and Additional Details of Household Hazardous Waste Programs

Program	Service Area	Collection Point	Collection Frequency	Management Responsibilities		
				Dvmt.	Operation	Evaluation
Washtenaw County Home Toxics Permanent Facility	Entire County	Drop-off	By Appt.& Monthly	Public	Public	Public
Washtenaw County Regional Community Clean-up Events	Entire County	Drop-off	3 wks/mth 3x/year	Public	Contract (Private)	Public
City of Ann Arbor Drop- Off Station	Entire County	Drop-off	Daily	Public	Contract (Non-profit)	Public
City of Ypsilanti Drop-Off Station	City Residents Only	Drop-off	3x/week	Public	Public	Public
WWRA Motor Oil Program	Entire County	Drop-off	Daily	Public	Public	Public
Washtenaw County Pharmaceutical Take-Back Program	Entire County	Drop-off	Daily	Private	Private	Private
Washtenaw County Sharps Return Program	Entire County	Drop-off	Daily	Public	Private	Public/ Private

IDENTIFICATION OF RESOURCE RECOVERY MANAGEMENT ENTITIES

The following identifies those public and private parties and the resource recovery or recycling programs for which they have management responsibilities.

Washtenaw County encourages a multi-faceted approach to resource recovery throughout the County. Public, private, and non-profit agencies all play a role in resource recovery programs.

PROJECTED DIVERSION RATES

The following estimates the annual amount of solid waste which is expected to be diverted from landfills as a result of the current resource recovery programs, and over the next 10 years.

Table 21. Projected Diversion Rates

Material	Projected Annual Tons Diverted		
	Current	5%	10%
	Current	5%	10%
Paper	22,837	23,979	25,121
Glass	9,212	8,984	9,412
Ferrous metals	6,119	6,425	6,731
Aluminum cans	880	924	968
Non-ferrous metals, aluminum (foil) and other metal and aerosol cans	1,696	1,780	1,865
Plastic	3,587	3,767	3,946
Other textiles, batteries, tires, carpet, light bulbs	6,675	7,008	7,342
Wood	0	6,992	7,325
Other	6,003	6,303	6,604
Food	11,431	12,003	12,575
Yard waste	2,862	3,005	3,148
Totals	71,303	74,868	78,433

Source: RRS projections, 2016

MARKET AVAILABILITY FOR COLLECTED MATERIALS

The following identifies the volume of materials collected from local recovery programs that existing markets can utilize.

Table 22. Market Availability for Collected Materials

Material	In-State Markets	Out-of State Markets
Paper & Paperboard	100%	
Glass	100%	
Ferrous Metals	100%	
Aluminum	100%	
Other Non-Ferrous Metals	100%	
Plastics	100%	
Leather & Rubber	100%	
Textiles	100%	
Wood	100%	
Other	100%	
Food Waste	100%	
Yard Trimmings	100%	

EDUCATIONAL AND INFORMATIONAL PROGRAMS

It is often necessary to provide educational and informational programs regarding the various components of a solid waste management system before and during its implementation. These programs are offered to avoid miscommunication which results in improper handling of solid waste and to provide assistance to the various entities who participate in such programs as waste reduction and waste recovery. Following is a table of the programs offered or proposed to be offered in Washtenaw County.

Table 23. Educational and Informational Programs

Program Title	Program Topic ¹	Delivery Medium	Targeted Audience ²	Program Provider
Green Room	1,2,3,4,5	Television	a,b,c,d	DPA
Issues of the Environment Radio Program	1,2,3,4,5	Radio	a,b,c,d	DPA
Master Composter	2,5	Presentations	a	DPA
Social Media	1,2,3,4,5	Online	a,b,c,d	DPA
Turning Trash to Treasure: Resource Guide	1,2,3,4,5	Online	a,b,c,d	DPA
Environmental Excellence Partnership Program/ E2P2	1,4,5	Online, presentations	b, c	DPA
Website	1,2,3,4,5	Online	a,b,c,d	DPA
Zero Waste Washtenaw	1,4,5	Events	a,b,c,d	DPA

¹ Program Topic: 1 = recycling, 2 = composting; 3 = household hazardous waste; 4 = resource conservation; 5 = volume reduction.

² Targeted Audience: a = general public; b = business; c = industry; d = students

TIMETABLE FOR SELECTED SYSTEM IMPLEMENTATION

The following table lists the implementation Plan. Descriptions for each step are described below the table.

Table 24. Implementation Table

SYSTEM COMPONENT	RESPONSIBLE PARTY	TIMELINE
Appoint Plan Advisory Committee (PAC)	BOC	3-6 months after Plan amendment approval
Prioritize Programs/Projects	PAC	6-12 months after appointment
Develop Action Plans	PAC, DPA	6-12 months after appointment
Pursue Funding Mechanisms to Support Plan Implementation	DPA	Within first two years
Milestone Reporting	DPA	Annual
Strategic Planning for Plan Implementation	DPA, PAC	Annual, with ongoing coordination
Stakeholder and Public Engagement	DPA	Twice per year

- Appoint Plan Advisory Committee (PAC):** Recommendation to appoint a group of five to nine advisors to County staff to provide input, guidance, oversight, and direction for Plan implementation. The PAC would be appointed by the Board of Commissioners. The participants appointed to the PAC shall be representative of the Solid Waste Planning Committee membership to ensure that the PAC shall include different types of stakeholders. The committee will meet with County staff on a schedule determined by the PAC. The meeting schedule can be adjusted as needed. Subcommittees of the PAC may be formed to further support county staff as they implement the Plan.
- Prioritize Programs and Projects:** One of the first steps of the PAC will be to prioritize the next steps for the County to implement the amended Plan. The Plan outlines clear Goals and Objectives and guiding principles that will guide the PAC in their process. The PAC will ensure that each of the guiding principle areas are addressed each year (pages 60-63).
 - More Diversion and Recovery, Less Disposal
 - Education and Outreach
 - Data and Measurement
 - Funding
 - Coordination/Collaboration
- Develop Action Plans:** Once projects are prioritized, the PAC will task County staff to develop action Plans for implementation of the priorities. The development of the Action Plans will provide an outline of the next steps required to pursue Plan implementation which could include steps such as data collection, research, meetings with public, meetings with municipalities, pursuit of funding mechanisms, development of ordinances, etc.
- Develop Funding Mechanisms to Support Plan Implementation:** Programs and projects to support Plan goals require funding to support. Once projects are prioritized, funding must be addressed. Options for funding mechanisms are outlined in Appendix A on page 117.
- Milestone Reporting:** County staff will track, monitor and maintain information or data regarding progress on the Action Plans, and provide Milestone Reporting annually once action Plans are approved, reviewed with the PAC and distributed to the Board of Public Works, Board of Commissioners, and shared on the County's website. The County will utilize available data tracking resources (such as Re-TRAC, which is provided by the state), but also consider additional data

collection options that will provide an accurate assessment of solid waste and recycling related activities within the county. Examples of additional options include conducting annual surveys of municipalities and services provided to residents to produce annual community profiles or requesting regular reporting from service providers operating in the county for tonnage information.

- **Strategic Planning for Plan Implementation:** Each year County staff along with the PAC will meet to develop goals and objectives related to Plan implementation for the coming year. This effort will include a review of the previous year's accomplishments and gaps in order to formulate the coming year's priorities. The County staff will then develop action Plans that will be reviewed for progress through Milestone Reporting.
- **Stakeholder and Public Engagement:** At least twice per year, the County shall offer opportunity for public participation/input about programs and projects. Public input and comments are welcome at any time, but to support the goals and objectives of the Plan, the County shall seek opportunities for more engagement through activities such as open houses, public surveys, public meetings, educational series, etc. In addition, the County will engage with local elected officials, within and outside of the County, along with the regional Planning agency (SEMCOG) for ongoing collaboration, dialogue and information exchange.

SITING REVIEW PROCEDURES

As provided for in Part 115 Section 11537 (a) of NREPA, this Plan demonstrates that the Planning area has a minimum of 10 years of available disposal capacity in the region. This means that the County does not have to utilize the siting mechanism outlined here until the County is no longer able to demonstrate 66 months of disposal capacity or until the County amends this Plan to provide for the annual certification process described in Part 115 Section 11538 (4) of NREPA.

DISPOSAL AREA TYPES IN WASHTENAW COUNTY

Solid waste disposal areas, as defined by Act 451, Part 115 and the Administrative Rules include: a) Municipal Solid Waste Landfills; b) Industrial Waste Landfills; c) Construction and Demolition Waste Landfill; d) Municipal Incinerator Ash Landfills; e) Municipal Solid Waste Incinerators; f) Processing Facilities; g) Transfer Station Facilities; h) Waste Piles. The solid waste disposal area types are either unauthorized or authorized under this Plan.

UNAUTHORIZED DISPOSAL AREA TYPES

An unauthorized solid waste disposal area is not allowed under this Plan. Any proposal to construct a new disposal area listed herein shall be deemed inconsistent with this Plan. An unauthorized solid waste disposal area is not eligible to go through the Siting Process unless it is reassigned from unauthorized to authorized. Reassignment of a disposal area from unauthorized to authorized will only be considered as part of a state mandated Plan update or as a free-standing Plan amendment. Unauthorized disposal areas under this Plan are:

- Municipal Solid Waste Landfill
- Industrial Solid Waste Landfill
- Construction and Demolition Waste Landfill
- Municipal Incinerator Ash Landfill
- Municipal Solid Waste Incinerator
- Waste Piles

AUTHORIZED DISPOSAL AREA TYPES


Authorized solid waste disposal areas are allowed under this Plan. Authorized disposal area types will only be deemed consistent upon receiving a letter of support from the host community or county in which they are sited. Expansions of authorized disposal area facilities will only be considered for consistency as part of a state mandated Plan update or as a free-standing Plan amendment, unless considered exempt. Authorized disposal areas under this Plan are:

- Processing Facilities
- Transfer Stations

SITING CRITERIA AND PROCESS

The siting review process has been established in Washtenaw County to provide a forum for reviewing proposed facilities prior to the MDEQ permit or license application process to ensure compliance with the County's Plan and to determine whether the proposed facility meets the criteria necessary to issue a letter of consistency with the approved Plan. Suggested timing is provided throughout this process; however, it is recognized by the County that there are variables that cannot be controlled or accounted for. Any significant changes to proposed timing or foreseeable delays will be communicated by the County, in a timely manner, to all parties involved. The siting criteria process will be held in compliance to the Open Meetings Act, P.A. 267 of 1976.

OVERVIEW CHART OF SITING PROCESS



EXEMPTIONS

A. Type B Transfer Stations

Type B Transfer Stations are defined in Part 115 as facilities that accept less than 200 uncompacted cubic yards of solid waste per day or that are not designed to accept waste with mechanical compaction devices.

Type B Transfer Stations designed to accept less than 60 uncompacted cubic gate yards of waste per day are not subject to this siting process. Facilities proposed to accept 60 uncompacted cubic gate yards per day or less will be considered automatically consistent with this Plan.

Facilities capable of accepting over 60 uncompacted gate yards per day must follow the standard siting process outlined herein.

B. Expansions of Existing Transfer Stations and Processing Facilities

Expansions of existing transfer stations and processing facilities are required to follow the siting process outlined herein unless the expansion will increase the existing physical size of the facility by 50% or less.

If the conditions described above are met, expansions of existing processing and transfer station facilities will be automatically considered consistent with this Plan.

1. Initiation of Siting Process

To initiate this process, the applicant shall provide the County with an application for a new facility that is an authorized disposal area. Only facilities included in the Plan as an authorized disposal area may apply. The contents of the application are provided under the section "Criteria for an Administratively Complete Application".

Along with the application, the applicant shall submit a minimum of 15 copies of the application, printed double sided, along with an application deposit of \$25,000 to the Washtenaw County Division of Public Works (DPW). The deposit will cover costs associated with the application review, including but not limited to:

1. Staff time spent on review-related activities
2. Conducting required public meetings and related services
3. Publication and mailing of notices and printing of documents
4. Consultant fees for specialized services relating to project review
5. If the applicant must reapply, the costs associated with another application review will be covered by the initial application deposit until the funds of the initial deposit are exhausted.

Costs incurred above and beyond the initial deposit will be charged to the applicant and any remainder will be refunded.

At the time of proposal submittal, all documentation needed to demonstrate compliance with the application guidelines and evaluation criteria must be submitted. The facility developer/owner must also submit two copies of their construction permit application. The Public Works Director will review the application to determine whether it is administratively complete.

Within 60 days, the County will review the application to determine if it is administratively complete.

1.1 Process for Denied Application

If the application is not administratively complete, the County will notify the applicant in writing to provide a statement of what is incomplete or requires more information in the application. Once corrected, the applicant may reapply.

1.2. Process for Approved Application

If application is approved by County based on its submitted application, the application will be forwarded to a Facility Review Committee.

2. Facility Review Committee (FRC) Process

If the application is determined to be administratively complete, the county will send written notification to following parties:

- Facility developer or owner
- County Board of Commissioners
- Local unit of government for the host community
- Any local unit of government up to a 3-mile radius of the proposed site, both within or outside of county borders; and
- The heads of any county departments, including but not limited to the Washtenaw County Road Commission, Environmental Health, and Public Health division.

Copies of application will be provided as follows, with remaining copies maintained by the DPW. At least one copy will be made available to the public at the office of the DPW.

- Three copies to the host community; and
- One copy to each member of the Facility Review Committee

2.1 Establish a Facility Review Committee

The Public Works Director, with approval from the Board of Public Works, shall notify the Board of Commissioners who will appoint a Facility Review Committee (FRC).

The FRC membership will be comprised of seven (7) individuals. Every effort shall be made to staff this committee with members from the current or past SWPC and representing the following areas:

- A member of the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners, or their designee, which could be any other county elected official or county staff person;
- An elected official of the host community's government recommended by its board and council;
- A local government representative, not from the host community, but representing the type (city or township) of host community;
- Two general public representatives who are residents of the county, but not residents of the host community;
- An environmental-interest group representative; and
- An elected official of any municipality located within three miles of the active work area of the proposed site. In the event that there is more than one municipality located within three miles of the active work area, these municipalities will work together to choose only one person to represent those municipalities.

The DPW will also serve as staff to the Facility Review Committee to ensure that the requirements and procedures of the facility review process are satisfied. Other County departments and local units of government in the county will be consulted during the review process whenever issues require their expertise and input into the process.

The Facility Review Committee will convene its first meeting within sixty (60) calendar days following appointment by the BOC. The Facility Review Committee will, at its first meeting, select a chairperson from its membership who will be responsible for implementing the requirements of the solid waste facility review process. The chairperson will conduct the meetings of the Facility Review Committee.

The DPW will publish a notice of a public meeting in a widely distributed newspaper that includes the host community in which the proposed facility is to be located in compliance to the Open Meetings Act, P.A. 267 of 1976. The public notice will include the date, time, location, and purpose of the meeting and advise the public that a copy of the administratively complete is available for inspection and copying at the DPW.

2.2 Public Meetings of Facility Review Committee

All meetings of the Facility Review Committee will be held in accordance with the Open Meetings Act which include the requirements that the meeting be open to the public, minutes be kept and filed, a quorum must be present for decision-making, and the purpose of the meeting be stated. The meetings will be conducted as follows:

- Purpose and agenda of meeting;
- Names and roles of those conducting the meeting;
- Requirements of Part 115 and local solid waste facility review process;
- Time limit for presentations and remarks from members of the audience;
- Summary of meeting, decisions made, and further actions to be taken; and

- Any other matters deemed appropriate by the Facility Review Committee.

The first meeting will serve the following purposes:

- Public presentation of the proposal for developing an authorized solid waste facility;
- Information-gathering for decision-making by the Facility Review Committee;
- Recommendations from the host community's Planning Commission regarding proposed facility's compliance with local ordinances, including zoning and land use Plans;
- Statement of any concerns and issues, as raised by interested parties;
- Presentation of a report on the proposed facility from the independent consultant hired to assist in the facility review process;
- Identification of any conditions or variances that are necessary to address special local concerns; and
- Determination of the ability of the proposed site to meet County Plan requirements.

If the Facility Review Committee can determine that the proposed facility is consistent and complies with the County Plan during the first meeting, then a further meeting need not be scheduled. If this determination cannot be made at the first meeting, then additional meetings may be scheduled as needed in compliance to the Open Meetings Act, P.A. 267 of 1976.

Subcommittees of the Facility Review Committee can be formed to deal with specific issues at the discretion of the Facility Review Committee.

If the County's staff fails to communicate any deficiencies in the application to the developer or owner within ninety (90) days of the application's submission, the application will be deemed "administratively complete," and staff must submit the application to the Facility Review Committee upon the further request of the developer. The fact that an application has been deemed administratively complete at the staff level, however, shall not preclude the Facility Review Committee or DPA from subsequently recommending or deciding that the proposed facility is not consistent with the County's Plan in whole or in part because the application is incomplete.

The Facility Review Committee must complete its review and take final action on the application within one hundred twenty (120) calendar days after the application is determined to be administratively complete.

2.3 Final Action by Facility Review Committee

A. The FRC will determine one of the following actions:

1. Find that the facility or facility expansion is not consistent with the County Plan. The Facility Review Committee must include the reasons why it is recommending that the facility or facility expansion is not consistent with the County's Plan; or
2. Find that the facility or facility expansion is consistent with the County Plan; or
3. Find that the facility or facility expansion is consistent with the County Plan, subject to the conditions, agreements, and/or variances recommended by the Facility Review Committee.

If the Facility Review Committee fails to make its determination within one hundred twenty (120) days of submission without notifying all parties of a delay in writing ahead of time, the proposed facility or facility expansion will be deemed to be recommended by the Facility Review Committee as "consistent with the County's Plan".

2.4 Notification of Recommendation

If the FRC finds the facility or facility expansion is consistent with the County Plan, the DPA will issue the letter of consistency, which is effective for one (1) year from the date of issuance. If the construction permit application is not submitted to or received by the MDEQ within this one (1) year period, the letter of consistency becomes null and void. This limitation should be stated on the letter of consistency, although

the failure of the letter to contain this limitation shall not extend the life of the letter.

The fact that a facility or facility expansion is determined or deemed “consistent with the County Plan” shall not be binding on the MDEQ, which shall review the decision or deemed decision of the Solid Waste Planning Committee and/or the Facility Review Committee to ensure compliance with the Plan criteria and review procedures and may determine that the facility or facility project is not consistent with the Plan.

CRITERIA FOR AN ADMINISTRATIVELY COMPLETE APPLICATION

An administratively complete application shall include all the items identified below. The required information shall be used in this Site Review Process to apply the minimum siting criteria as provided for in Part 115 Section 11538 (3) of NREPA. Some of the requirements for an administratively complete application are for informational purposes in order to assist in completing the Siting Review Process.

1. Type of Facility (processing facility, transfer station).
2. Legal description of all parcels included in the proposed disposal area.
3. Name, address, and telephone number for:
 - a. The applicant and its parent company, if any;
 - b. Property owner of the site (if different);
 - c. Operator of the proposed disposal area (if different);
 - d. Designer/Engineer and other consultants for the proposed disposal area; and
 - e. Designated contact for the Siting Review Process.
4. A statement that the applicant is the owner of all the parcels involved in the application or, if the owner of any of the parcels is different than the applicant, documentation in the form of land contracts, purchase agreements, or other binding legal instruments which substantiate the applicant's ability to proceed with development and operation of all parcels involved in the application.
5. General site location map(s). The general site location map(s) shall include more than one drawing where required for clarity. One set of the general site location map(s) shall be drawn at a scale and of such accuracy that one can readily interpret the general site location map(s). Another set of the general site location map(s) shall be reduced so each sheet shall fit on 8 1/2 by 11 inch, or 8 1/2 by 14 inch, paper. Such general site location map(s) shall be designed and prepared by a registered professional architect, landscape architect, engineer, land surveyor, or community Planner. The general site location map(s) shall include the following information:
 - a. The parcel(s) within the general site location map, identified by parcel lines and location including dimensions, angles and size, correlated with the legal description of said parcel(s).
 - b. The scale, north point, boundary dimensions, topography, and natural features such as woodlots, streams, rivers, lakes, drainage, and similar features.
 - c. Existing man-made features such as roads, buildings, structures, high tension towers, pipelines, existing utilities such as water and sewer lines, excavations, bridges, culverts, drains and easements, and shall identify adjacent properties and their existing uses including:
 - i. Access roads to the site along with all highways and County Roads;
 - ii. Proposed access point(s) to the site;
 - iii. Location of any public use airports licensed by the Bureau of Aeronautics Michigan Department of Transportation that are within ten thousand (10,000) feet of the proposed active disposal area;
 - iv. Location of public and private water wells within one mile of the proposed active disposal area and showing established/approved wellhead protection areas;
 - v. Residences, commercial establishments, industries, institutions including schools, churches, hospitals, and historic or archaeological sites within one mile of the proposed active disposal area; and
 - vi. Surface drainage patterns, including all drains regulated by the Washtenaw County Water Resources Commissioner's office within one-mile radius of site boundaries.
 - d. Current zoning at the site and for adjacent land uses.
 - e. Boundaries of all local units of government.
6. A detailed site Plan or Plans describing 1) the site as it exists, and 2) the site in use as a solid waste disposal area. The site Plan shall include more than one drawing where required for clarity. One set of the site Plans shall be drawn at a scale not to be greater than one (1) inch equals twenty (20) feet nor less than one (1) inch equals two hundred (200) feet, and of such accuracy one can readily interpret the site Plan. Another set of the site Plan shall be reduced so each sheet shall fit on 8 1/2 by 11 inch, or 8 1/2 by 14 inch, paper. Such a site Plan shall be designed and prepared by a registered professional architect, landscape architect, engineer, land surveyor, or community Planner. The site Plan shall include the following information:

- a. The parcel(s), identified by parcel lines and location including dimensions, angles and size, correlated with the legal description of said parcel(s).
 - b. The scale, north point, boundary dimensions, existing topography (at least two (2) feet contour intervals), and existing natural features including woodlots, streams, rivers, ponds, lakes, wetlands, high risk erosion areas, slopes over 25%, beach, sand dunes, drainage and similar features, including:
 - i. One-hundred-year flood plains (as identified by DNR flood plain maps and as defined in the Part 115 Administrative Rules) within the boundaries of the site;
 - ii. Lands regulated under the Farmland and Open Space Preservation Act, 1974, Part 361 of NREPA, as emended, that are within the boundaries of the site;
 - iii. Location of surface water within the boundaries of the site;
 - iv. All wetlands (regulated and non-regulated) within the boundaries of the site;
 - v. Location of drains within the boundaries of the site;
 - vi. Topography information based on USGS datum, or selected on-site elevations; and
 - vii. Soil analysis based on the National Cooperative Soil Survey maintained by the Natural Resources Conversation Service. If such survey is not completed for the site, then generalized soil analysis data regarding the soils and their adaptability to the use must be submitted.
 - c. Location and boundary dimensions of existing man-made features within the boundaries of the site including buildings, structures, high tension towers, pipelines, water wells, existing utilities including water and sewer lines, excavations, bridges, culverts, drains, easements and any known existing contamination.
 - d. The location and boundary dimensions of proposed changes within the boundaries of the site including:
 - i. Solid waste processing and disposal areas;
 - ii. On site roads, driveways, sidewalks and other vehicular and pedestrian circulation features within and adjacent to the site including staging area for trucks waiting to use the facility, parking spaces in the off-street parking areas and the identification of service lanes and service parking;
 - iii. Main and accessory buildings, their relation one to another and to any existing structures on the site, the height of all buildings and square footage of floor space, finished floor and grade line elevations;
 - iv. Open spaces, landscaping and buffering and security features, greenbelts, fences and walls;
 - v. Connections to existing utilities and proposed extensions thereof;
 - vi. Soil erosion and sediment control measures including preventative soil erosion devices or measures, both during and after any site work related to the development, when required;
 - vii. Interior and exterior areas and structures to be used for storage, use, loading/unloading, recycling, or disposal of hazardous substances;
 - viii. Underground and above ground storage tanks for such uses as fuel storage, waste oil holding tanks, chemical storage, hazardous waste storage, collection of contaminated storm water or wash water, and all similar uses;
 - ix. Exterior and interior drains, on-site sewage systems, dry wells; catch basins; retention/detention areas; sumps and other facilities designed to collect, store or transport storm water or wastewater including point of discharge for all drains; and
 - x. Any other man-made features not specifically described above.
 - e. Conceptual engineering Plans for construction of the facility.
7. A written narrative that shall include the following information:
- a. Name and type of solid waste facility.
 - b. General description of the facility and how it functions (for informational purposes in the Permit Review Process) including the types and quantities of waste to be delivered to the facility, the targeted service area (to include specific communities, major commercial and industrial establishments, institutions, and waste haulers potentially served by the facility), useful life and capacities of the facility, description of how each type of material will be handled at the facility, the

- eventual disposition of the materials to be handled by the facility, the proposed operating schedules (days and hours), a description of any resource recovery, recycling and composting activity Planned for the site, the expected employment of the facility, a discussion of the economic and engineering feasibility of the final use Plan for the site, and the applicant's rationale for developing the facility.
- c. Summary of the results of the DEQ advisory analysis (if completed), with responses to any points raised in the advisory analysis and a copy of the advisory analysis attached as an exhibit.
 - d. Summary of the results of an Environmental Permits Checklist (with a copy attached as an exhibit), with discussion regarding any required permits along with current permit status documentation including either copies of received permits attached as exhibits, letters of intent to approve and issue a permit, letters of understanding for concurrent approval (issuing a permit) from those agencies or summary of discussions held with authorities responsible for issuing those permits. The site Plans should be drawn to show design/placement as required for compliance with each of the applicable permits. Permits to be covered where applicable include:
 - i. Well permit,
 - ii. Type II water supply permit,
 - iii. Type III water supply permit,
 - iv. Onsite sewage disposal permit,
 - v. Soil erosion permit,
 - vi. Land division or subdivision approval,
 - vii. Waste disposal or storage or monitor wells permit(s),
 - viii. Sand dune mining permit,
 - ix. Permit to cut forest products,
 - x. Forestry use or special use permit,
 - xi. Easements for utilities and public agencies (on state or federal lands),
 - xii. Dam construction permit,
 - xiii. Certificate of Public Convenience and necessity for pipelines,
 - xiv. Air quality installation permit, operating permit,
 - xv. National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permit,
 - xvi. Groundwater discharge permit,
 - xvii. Wastewater collection and treatment facilities construction permit,
 - xviii. Great Lakes Shorelands permit,
 - xix. Inland Lakes and Streams permit, and
 - xx. Wetlands permit.
 - e. An inventory of public services (fire protection, sewer, water, sanitation, emergency services/ response, quasi-public utility companies (gas, electric, telephone)) showing:
 - i. Public services which are required by the solid waste facility,
 - ii. Public services which exist to service the site of the solid waste facility.
 - f. Statements relative to the impact of the proposed development on soil erosion, shoreline protection, wildlife habitat, air pollution, water pollution (ground and surface), noise and the scale of development in terms of the surrounding environment.
 - g. Other narrative statements necessary which specifically address the siting standards listed in part two of this chapter.
 - h. Other narrative statements necessary regarding design and operation of the proposed facility in response to applicable requirements of County and local ordinances and rules/regulations.
 - i. Nuisance Mitigation Plan in the form of a detailed narrative to address Planned mitigation steps for the following:
 - i. Litter;
 - ii. Odor;
 - iii. Dust;
 - iv. Noise;
 - v. Vibration;
 - vi. Pests and diseases;
 - vii. Flammable or explosive materials;

- viii. Emergency response;
 - ix. Limiting access (including fencing, gates, natural barriers, or other methods);
 - x. Restricting access in loading, unloading, and handling areas;
 - xi. Handling bulky items;
 - xii. Collecting, storing, and removing liquid waste;
 - xiii. Removing or confining salvaged materials at the end of each business day;
 - xiv. Contingency in the event of a Plant malfunction or facility breakdown;
 - xv. Facility/equipment maintenance and cleaning; and
 - xvi. Storm water management Plan and maintenance schedule.
- j. List and describe any and all MDEQ and U.S. EPA infractions or violations from the past five years.
 - k. List and describe relationship, services, and interactions with other communities including the host community and any community up to a three-mile radius of any part of the facility property, also known as "Good Neighbor Plan". This can include regular communications to neighboring communities, special collection events or usage for neighboring communities, investment or donations into community projects and programs that help to offset negative impacts or bring benefit to the community.
 - l. Description of fire suppression system or Plan.

MINIMUM STANDARD SITING CRITERIA

Minimum Standard Siting Criteria are considered minimum standards that any proposed facility must meet. Each criterion listed below must be answered in the affirmative in order for a facility to fulfill the Minimum Standard Siting Criteria requirements. Detailed descriptions of each criterion follow the yes/no checklist below.

	YES	NO	CRITERION (See detailed descriptions following checklist)
A			Site Ownership
B			Frontage on Appropriate Roads
C			Distance from Wetlands
D			Distance from Farmland and Open Space Part 361 NREPA Lands
E			Distance from Designated Historic Sites
F			Distance from 100 Year Flood Plains
G			Distance from Water, Beaches
H			Distance from Water Well Serving a Dwelling
I			Distance from Specified Building Types
J			Distance from Land Conservancy Parcels
K			Distance from Schools and Educational Establishments
L			Distance from Certain Recreational Facilities
M			Distance from MDEQ Natural Features Inventory Lands
N			Distance from Specified Types of Natural Resource Lands
O			Distance from Certain Additional Recreational Lands
P			Design Incorporates Woodland Protection Plan with Signed Statement
Q			Design Incorporates Nuisance Mitigation Plan with Signed Statement
R			Design Incorporates Certain Setback Requirements with Signed Statement
S			Analysis Submitted Documenting Availability of Required Public Services
T			Signed Statement to Abide by Certain Regulatory Requirements
U			Signed Statement to Abide by Certain Non-Locational Zoning Requirements
V			Demonstration of Responsiveness and Corrective Action
W			Good Standing with Good Neighbor Plan
X			Fire Suppression System or Plan

MINIMUM STANDARD SITING CRITERIA DETAILED DESCRIPTIONS

- A. The site and respective parcel must be owned by the applicant or under long term lease (20 years or greater) from a public agency.
- B. The proposed site frontage on or direct access to a paved county primary road or state trunk line.
- C. No part of the site and respective parcel(s) is located within or less than 100 feet from a regulated wetland as defined by Part 303 of P.A. 451 of 1994, as amended (being the Wetlands part of the Michigan NREPA, M.C.L. 324.30301 et. seq.)
- D. No part of the site and respective parcel(s) is located within or less than 100 feet from land enrolled under the Farmland and Open Space Preservation Act, 1974, Part 361 of NREPA.
- E. No part of the site and respective parcel(s) is located within or less than 100 feet from land that is a designated historic or archaeological area as defined by the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) or by local historic preservation districts.
- F. No part of the site and respective parcel(s) is located within or less than 100 feet from one-hundred-year flood plains (as identified by Michigan DNR flood plain maps and as defined in the Part 115 Administrative Rules).
- G. No part of the site and respective parcel(s) is located within or less than 300 feet from the boundary edge of:
 - 1. Any surface water (as identified by Michigan DNR surface water maps and as defined in the Part 115 Administrative Rules); and
 - 2. Beach contiguous to a lake or stream
- H. No part of the site and respective parcel(s) is located within or less than 100 feet from a water well which services a dwelling (which is drilled by a licensed well driller and a well log is filed with the Geological Survey Division of the DEQ and County Health Department) in existence on the date the disposal area application was found complete.
- I. No part of the site and respective parcel(s) is located within or less than 300 feet from dwellings, duplexes, apartment buildings, hospital, medical care facilities and foster care facilities in existence on the date the disposal area application was found complete.
- J. No part of the site and respective parcel(s) is located within or less than 300 feet from lands which are held by a land conservancy or which have development restrictions held by a land conservancy, either of which were in existence on the date the disposal area application was found complete.
- K. No part of the site and respective parcel(s) is located less than 1,000 feet from the boundary edge of schools and education establishments in existence on the date the disposal area application was found complete.
- L. No part of the site and respective parcel(s) is located less than 300 feet from the boundary edge of certain recreation facilities identified below:
 - 1. Snowmobile and all-terrain vehicle trails which are marked and signed and receive care, grooming with public funds;
 - 2. Horse, bicycle, hiking and ski trails which are marked and signed and receive care, grooming with public funds;
 - 3. Abandoned rail road right-of-ways when owned by a railroad company or a public agency;
 - 4. The parcel boundary of state game area;
 - 5. The parcel boundary of municipal and county parks with recreation facility improvements;
 - 6. A national park or recreation area designated by the U.S. Department of Interior;
 - 7. A national scenic river designated by the U.S. Department of Interior; and
 - 8. A special interest area as designated by the U.S. Forest Service.
- M. No part of the site and respective parcel(s) is located within or less than 300 feet from land listed on the MDNR Natural Features Inventory, as determined by the MDNR through the Environmental Review process for compliance with Act 365, Endangered Species Protection, of the NREPA as amended.
- N. No part of the site and respective parcel(s) is located within or less than 300 feet from land regulated under parts 351 through 361 of P.A. 451 of 1994, as amended (being the Wilderness and Natural Areas, Sand Dunes, Biological Diversity, Natural Beauty Roads, Sanctuaries, Farmland and Open Space preservation part of the Michigan NREPA, M.C.L. 324.35101 et. seq. through 324.35101 et. seq.) and part 511 of P.A. 451 of 1994, as amended (being the Commercial Forests part of the Michigan NREPA, M.C.L. 324.51101 et seq.

- O. No part of the site and respective parcel(s) is located less than 300 feet from the boundary edge of certain recreation lands:
1. The parcel boundary of a state park;
 2. The parcel boundary of day, summer, and retreat camps;
 3. Federally designated wild and scenic river corridors;
 4. State designated natural river's water's edge; and
 5. The parcel boundary of arboretums, sanctuaries established under statute.
- P. Applicant's Proposal includes a Woodland Protection Plan designed to protect woodlands and other trees on site and includes a written and signed statement from the Applicant indicating that they will abide by and remain in compliance with the Woodland Protection Plan at all times. Submittal by the applicant of this Woodland Protection Plan and the signed statement is required to meet this criterion. The adequacy of the submittal will not be used to determine if this criterion has been satisfied.
- Q. Applicant's Proposal includes a Nuisance Mitigation Plan designed to mitigate nuisances identified under Administratively Complete application; Section 7. i. and includes a written and signed statement from the Applicant indicating that they will abide by and remain in compliance with the Nuisance Mitigation Plan at all times. Submittal by the applicant of this Nuisance Mitigation Plan and the signed statement is required to meet this criterion. The adequacy of the submittal will not be used to determine if this criterion has been satisfied.
- R. Applicant's Proposal demonstrates that the facility as designed and constructed will incorporate the following setback, buffering, screening, and service access requirements and includes a written and signed statement from the Applicant indicating that they will abide by and remain in compliance with these requirements at all times. Submittal by the applicant of this signed statement is required to meet this criterion. Vegetation belt, buffer, screening, and service access area shall meet standards which are not less than:
1. Vegetation Belt: A vegetation belt of five (5) feet from the parcel line toward the center of the parcel will be maintained in woody Plant vegetation to provide visual screening from roads and adjacent property. The vegetation belt will not include any improvements, buildings or fences except for an entrance drive(s), utilities and identification signs.
 2. Buffers: Measuring toward the center of the disposal area property, but not within the five-foot vegetation belt, a buffer shall be established which:
 - i. Shall not be occupied by any structure, storage of equipment, materials, operations, or by similar activities.
 - ii. Shall consist of the following for any side of the parcel that is adjacent to an industrial or commercial zoned area:
 - a buffer area setback of fifty (50) feet, or
 - a berm four (4) feet or more high, not sloped greater than (1) vertical for each of the same two units horizontal, or
 - a solid wall four (4) feet, or more, in height, or
 - a proportionately adjusted combination of the above.
 - iii. Shall consist of the following for any side of the parcel that is adjacent to all other uses:
 - a buffer area setback of one hundred (100) feet, or
 - a buffer area setback of fifty (50) feet followed by a berm four (4) feet or more high, not sloped greater than (1) vertical for each of the same two units horizontal, or
 - a buffer area setback of twenty-five (25) feet followed by a solid wall four (4) feet or more in height.
- S. An analysis has been submitted that defines the public services which are required by the solid waste disposal area, that inventories existing public services (fire protection, sewer, water, sanitation, County emergency services/response, and utilities including gas, electric, telephone) available at the site boundaries and that documents that the existing public services are the same as or exceed the public services required by the solid waste disposal area. Submittal by the Applicant of this analysis is required to satisfy this criterion. The adequacy of the submittal will not be used to determine if this criterion has been satisfied.

- T. Applicant has submitted a written and signed statement that the disposal area will abide by and remain in compliance with applicable parts of the Michigan Subdivision Control Act; Michigan Soil Erosion and Sedimentation Control Act; Michigan Construction Code; applicable state air and water pollution standards; local wellhead protection ordinances, applicable building codes, state and local health codes, local noise ordinances and local junk ordinances as determined with the advice of appropriate state and local officials and as specified in Section III of the Solid Waste Plan, Local Ordinances and Regulations. Submittal by the Applicant of this signed statement is required to satisfy this criterion. The adequacy of the submittal will not be used to determine if this criterion has been satisfied.
- U. Applicant has submitted a written and signed statement that the disposal area will abide by and remain in compliance with any applicable part of the respective zoning ordinance's regulations dealing with: parcel size, road frontage, setback requirements, buffering and screening, off street parking, signs, as specified in Section III of the Solid Waste Plan, Local Ordinances and Regulations but not subject to zoning regulation of location of a solid waste disposal area as a land use. Submittal by the Applicant of this signed statement is required to satisfy this criterion. The adequacy of the submittal will not be used to determine if this criterion has been satisfied.
- V. Demonstration of prompt corrective action to any issues, violations or citations incurred while operating at any company owned facility within the state of Michigan.
- W. Demonstration of community relations efforts with host community and neighboring communities that may be impacted by operations at proposed facility as it relates to the facility's good neighbor Plan.
- X. Applicant will provide a description and Plan to address fires at the facility and on facility property. Applicants at a minimum should follow specifications by the National Fire Protection Association, and offer description of back-up systems in the case of failure.

SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT COMPONENTS

The following identifies the management responsibilities and institutional arrangements necessary for the implementation of the Plan's Enforceable Program and Process (as described in this document's Selected Waste Management System). Also included is a description of the technical, administrative, financial, and legal capabilities of each identified existing structure of persons, municipalities, counties, and state and federal agencies responsible for solid waste management including Planning, implementation, and enforcement.

The Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners (BOC) is ultimately responsible for County Solid Waste Planning activities. Through the adoption of a Plan update or amendment, the BOC authorizes the County Board of Public Works (BPW) to implement the Plan. In addition, the BOC will appoint any committee or task force designated as part of this Plan to assist with the implementation of the Plan.

The BPW is authorized by the BOC to serve as the Designated Planning Agency (DPA) for the County. The BPW is involved with all aspects of the County Solid Waste Plan, including planning, implementation, and enforcement.

The Washtenaw County Department of Public Works (DPW) is responsible for the administrative coordination of the County Solid Waste Program on behalf of the Board of Public Works. As described in the Timetable for Implementation (pages 84-85, the County will appoint a Plan Advisory Committee to serve in support of the DPW and Plan implementation. Persons who serve on the committee will be from the private, non-profit, and public sector as well as groups with environmental interests within Washtenaw County.

TECHNICAL CAPABILITIES

The DPW provides staffing and resources to support several programs designed to reduce waste and pollution in the county. A few of the programs managed by the DPW include the Home Toxics Reduction Program, Community Clean-up Days, and Waste Knot Business Recognition Program. The DPW also coordinates solid waste management and Planning, education and outreach, and zero-waste event services.

ADMINISTRATIVE CAPABILITIES

The DPW is responsible for the administrative coordination of County solid waste programs. This includes program execution, measurement, and administration including but not limited to data/information collection, management, and analysis; annual reporting on county programs and services; customer service, stakeholder engagement, and technical support to county residents, businesses, institutions, and local units of government. Staffing for programs and projects is based on available budget and priorities.

FINANCIAL CAPABILITIES

The funding for programs coordinated by the DPW is made possible by partnerships, grants, and revenues received for sale of commodities and the host community agreement with the landfill located within the County. To fulfill the goals and objectives of this Plan, the County will be seeking additional funding sources and partnerships to ensure that long-term funding is available if current sources are no longer available in the future. Funding sources are described on page 117 in Appendix A.

LEGAL CAPABILITIES

The approved Act 451 Solid Waste Management Plan provides the DPA the authority to implement and enforce any and all portions of the Act 451 Plan.

IDENTIFICATION OF RESPONSIBLE PARTIES

The following section identifies the roles that the Designated Planning Agency and other stakeholders will play regarding planning, implementation, and enforcement of this Plan Update. Following the narrative description is a listing that correlates with the standard format provided by the MDEQ.

Washtenaw County recommends an integrated solid waste management strategy that involves both the public and private sectors. Several agencies are identified as stakeholders in this system, playing a role in planning, implementation, and/or enforcement, as identified below.

State Government: The State of Michigan, through the Solid Waste Management Unit of the Department of Environmental Quality (MDEQ), is involved in the Planning, implementation, and enforcement of Washtenaw County's Plan. The MDEQ also conducts permitting and licensing, and monitors construction and operation of private sector facilities in the County.

Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners: The Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners (BOC) is ultimately responsible for County Solid Waste planning activities. The BOC has the authority to adopt county-wide ordinances for the licensing and regulation of solid waste activities that are not in conflict with state and federal law; however, the BOC cannot regulate disposal areas beyond the scope of what the MDEQ would allow under section 11538(8) of Part 115. Through adoption of this Plan Amendment, the BOC authorizes the County Board of Public Works to implement the Plan. In addition, the BOC will appoint the Plan Advisory Committee.

Washtenaw County Board of Public Works: The Washtenaw County Board of Public Works (BPW) is a seven-member board appointed by the County BOC. The BPW is authorized by the BOC to serve as the Designated Planning Agency for the County. The BPW is involved in all aspects of the County Solid Waste Plan, including Planning, implementation, and enforcement.

The BPW may also continue to make available the County's credit, through the Department of Public Works (DPW), to enhance the security of bonds issued for facility development. The BPW may enter agreements with a local unit or groups of local units, as it has with the Western Washtenaw Recycling Authority. In this arrangement, the DPW would nominally own the project and the local unit(s) would be required to arrange for operation and maintenance of the project and repay the debt service and other associated costs.

The BPW may continue to make use of its legal position, given the County's control of the Solid Waste Plan, to facilitate strategy implementation by acting as a contracting agent for local municipalities or authorities. In this role, the BPW could act as a sponsor of joint ventures between authorities, facilitating cooperation among local municipalities, and providing a means to negotiate terms with the private sector for services such as hauling contracts, landfill tipping fees, landfill capacity, processing capacity, materials markets, and county-wide programs (e.g., household hazardous waste collection, education, and technical assistance).

Finally, the BPW may continue to secure operational funding for this expanded role from one or more sources, including, but not limited to, the imposition of fees for services provided and revenues received through its agreement with the owner of Arbor Hills Landfill.

Washtenaw County Department of Public Works (DPW): The County's Department of Public Works oversees projects including municipal water and sewer, lake management, solid waste, and recycling. Most projects are funded through the creation of special assessment districts using PA 185 of 1957. The DPW is housed within the Water Resource Commissioner's Office, and is responsible for the administrative coordination of County solid waste programs and is the designated solid waste planning agency for the County. This includes program execution, measurement, and administration including, but not limited to, data and information collection, management, and analysis; annual reporting on County programs and services; customer service, stakeholder engagement, and technical support to County residents, businesses, institutions, and local units of government.

Municipal Governments: The cities, villages, and townships, either individually or working together, may assume a financing, procurement, regulatory, and administrative role in arranging solid waste management services for their jurisdictions to comply with the Plan. Municipalities may elect to take any of the following actions for the safe management of wastes:

- Develop financing structures for programs and facilities through the tax base, special assessments, user fees, or other mechanism;
- Adopt a waste hauler licensing system in which licenses are contingent upon certain requirements and regulations;
- Require separation of solid waste (i.e., separation of yard waste, trash, and recyclables);
- Require haulers to direct solid waste to specified facilities;
- Take corrective measures to prevent illegal dumping;
- Collaborate with other communities to form an authority to provide the financing, construction and operation of material recovery systems;
- Provide information and educational initiatives to promote awareness of solid waste management issues within the community, and encourage participation in local programs;
- Other as appropriate.

Waste Generators: All waste generators (residential, commercial, and industrial) will be encouraged to make changes in their materials procurement, handling, and disposal practices to encourage:

- Reduction in the amounts and toxicity of waste generated;
- Purchasing of goods made from environmentally preferable products;
- Separation of recyclables and compostables from mixed waste;
- Arranging for transportation of recyclable/compostable materials to processing facilities for marketing;
- Eliminating illegal dumping of wastes;
- Safe landfill disposal of remaining waste.

Commercial and industrial waste generators are encouraged to enhance the above measures through aggressive information and education initiatives.

Solid Waste Management Industry: Private haulers and facility operators will be utilized throughout the system for materials collection, transportation, processing, and disposal, in addition to municipal and non-profit entities that offer similar services.

Material Recovery Industry: Private sector profit/non-profit processors and dealers of secondary materials could be utilized to effectively operate and maintain the material recovery system.

Washtenaw County Consortium for Solid Waste Management: The Washtenaw County Consortium for Solid Waste Management ("Consortium") was formed in 1988 to cooperatively review and discuss solid waste management issues throughout the County. Since that time, the group has continued to play a key role in Washtenaw County's Solid Waste Management Strategy. Some of their most successful projects include illegal dumping ordinances, yard waste reduction initiatives, phonebook recycling, and the sharps return program.

Resource Conservation:

Source or Waste Reduction-
Product Reuse-
Reduced Material Volume-
Increased Product Lifetime-
Decreased Consumption-

Responsible Parties:

DPW, local communities, waste generators
DPW, local communities, waste generators
DPW, local communities, waste generators
DPW, local communities, waste generators
DPW, local communities, waste generators

Resource Recovery Programs:

Composting-
Recycling-
Energy Production-

Public and private haulers and processors
Public and private haulers and processors
Not applicable

Volume Reduction Techniques:

DPW, local communities, waste generators

Collection Processes:

Public and private haulers and processors

Transportation:

Public and private haulers and processors

Disposal Areas:

Processing Plants-
Incineration-
Transfer Stations-
Sanitary Landfills-

Public and private operators
Not applicable
Public and private operators
Public and private operators

Ultimate Disposal Area Uses:

MDEQ

LOCAL ORDINANCES AND REGULATIONS AFFECTING THE SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL AREAS

This Plan's relationship to local ordinances and regulations within the County is described in the option(s) marked below:

- _____ 1. Section 11538.(8) and rule 710 (3) of Part 115 prohibits enforcement of all County and local ordinances and regulations pertaining to solid waste management disposal areas unless explicitly included in an approved Solid Waste Management Plan. Local regulations and ordinances intended to be part of this Plan must be specified below and the manner in which they will be applied described.
- _____ 2. This Plan recognizes and incorporates as enforceable the following specific provisions based on existing zoning ordinances.
- x 3. This Plan authorizes adoption and implementation of local regulations governing the following subjects by the indicated units of government without further authorization from or amendment to the Plan.

Regulations meeting these qualifications may be adopted and implemented by the appropriate local governmental unit without additional authorization from, or formal amendment to, the Washtenaw County Solid Waste Management Plan, unless the area of regulation is covered by a host community agreement. Allowable areas of regulation include:

1. Certain ancillary construction details, such as landscaping and screening.
2. Hours of operation.
3. Noise, litter, and dust control.
4. Operating records and reports.
5. Facility security.
6. Monitoring of waste accepted and prohibited.
7. Composting and recycling.

CAPACITY CERTIFICATIONS

Every county with less than ten years of capacity identified in their Plan is required to annually prepare and submit to the DEQ an analysis and certification of solid waste disposal capacity and validly available to the County. This certification is required to be prepared and approved by the County Board of Commissioners.

 x

This County has more than 10 years capacity identified in this Plan and an annual certification process is not included in this Plan.

Ten years of disposal capacity has not been identified in this Plan. The County will annually submit capacity certifications to the DEQ by June 30 of each year on the form provided by the DEQ. The County's process for determination of annual capacity and submission of the County's capacity certification is as follows.



APPENDIX A

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REGARDING THE SELECTED SYSTEMS

- Evaluation of Recycling
- Detailed Features of Recycling and Composting Programs
- Coordination Efforts
- Costs and Funding
- Evaluation Summary of the Selected System
- Advantages and Disadvantages of the Selected System

EVALUATION OF RECYCLING

The following provides additional information regarding implementation and evaluation of various components of the Selected System.

In order to evaluate the current system and identify needs for future programming, the Solid Waste Planning Committee conducted a "SWOT" process - identifying strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats of the current solid waste management system. This process spanned the course of several meetings, a summary of which follows:

SWOT DISCUSSION TOPICS

Washtenaw County Solid Waste Planning Committee | Summary/Compilation of All Sessions

The following specific areas were discussed:

1. Solid Waste Collection & Disposal

Strengths:

- Lots of regional landfill capacity
- Very accessible and low costs
- Very few landfills
- Competitive environment for service providers

Weaknesses:

- No clear incentive programs for waste diversion
- Reluctance by municipalities to switch to more efficient single hauler contracts
- Too frequent collection of solid waste
- Lack of data to understand effectiveness of clean-up days, drop-off station diversion
- Cheap disposal challenges waste diversion efforts
- Too many trucks on the road; impact on infrastructure
- Public opposition to landfill siting and operations

Opportunities:

- Organics collection
- Better data collection and metrics related to diversion costs and benefits
- Expanding landfill will keep prices low with regional competition
- Get recyclables out of trash
- Find a way to "require" recycling from various trash haulers

Threats:

- Commodity prices
- Landfills are too cheap
- Lobby to bury organics

2. Residential Recycling (Drop-Off & Curbside)

Strengths:

- Availability of single stream, curbside services, and use of carts
- Comprehensive programs accept numerous materials between curbside and drop-off programs
- Urban and suburban single-family units receive good service
- All single-family and multi-family units in Ann Arbor have recycling
- City of Chelsea's "pay as you throw" trash service encourages recycling
- Current programs reduce dependence on landfill

Weaknesses:

- Materials accepted are not uniform across the entire county
- Poor measurement of diversion by recycling
- Competing messages from coordinating public and private groups
- Lack of partnerships in county
- Contamination in recycling stream
- Lack of education to residents
- Lack of funding and financing for programs
- Lack of legislation and state support
- Different levels and no consistency of services across the county
- Costs for curbside service in rural areas is more expensive compared to urban/suburban service areas

Opportunities:

- Residents are willing to do the right thing
- Options for bulky waste and other materials not collected at curbside
- Opportunity for food waste diversion
- More education that is county wide
- More consistent messages and services
- Participation incentives for residents
- Construction and Demolition materials
- Instate markets for materials like plastic and paper
- Options for reporting and tracking data
- Opportunities for partnerships
- Online information more accessible
- Governor's recycling support

Threats:

- End markets
- Commodity prices
- Cheap landfill rates
- Lack of funding
- Lack of willingness to introduce taxes to support services
- Need to change mindsets, attitudes, and behaviors of all stakeholders

3. Commercial Recycling

Strengths:

- Ordinances to mandate recycling (similar to Ann Arbor) is a strong driver
- If done correctly, program can lower costs for the business
- County's Waste Knot business recognition program
- Services are available from service providers

Weaknesses:

- No County ordinance in place
- Limited resources to support commercial recycling effort from data, staffing, and funding
- Lack of incentives to entice businesses
- Challenges within businesses – employee turnover, costs, absentee site managers, contracts for janitor services do not include recycling or education
- Commercial recycling program
- More challenges for small businesses
- Understanding of voluntary versus required programs

Opportunities:

- Opportunities for collaboration
- Pilot programs with County, Recycle Ann Arbor, WISD food waste and recycling
- Work to improve regional opportunities and participation and recovery in Ann Arbor
- Develop resources and support such as posters and education materials, partnering with county sanitarians to hand out materials, and local case studies or voluntary certifications

Threats:

- End markets
- High contamination
- Long term and short term costs are high
- Lack of municipal support
- Accessibility – are services available?
- Space constraints for recycling

4. Yard Waste/Food Waste/Composting

Strengths:

- City of Ann Arbor collection diverts a lot of leaf waste from particular places
- Ann Arbor compost site has capacity for growth and is well managed
- City of Chelsea is also making efforts in compost
- Yard waste infrastructure is strong
- Keeps methane out of the landfill
- Food waste is gaining interest from residential and commercial sectors
- Ann Arbor currently has a residential food waste diversion program
- Food waste programs in UM residence halls

Weaknesses:

- Limited access to yard waste services
- Lack of infrastructure for food waste collection and processing
- Food waste currently not allowed in stream
- Commercial programs are limited or do not have access
- County is lagging behind in yard waste services
- Ann Arbor could be a model

Opportunities:

- Markets for finished yard waste compost: MDOT, landscapers, residential/homeowners
- Options for food waste in residential stream and special events and outdoor festivals
- Service providers can get on board
- New technologies for food waste are available such as biodigesters
- Food waste is large portion of the waste stream (and large weight)
- Momentum and interest in the community, such as Farm to School projects

Threats:

- Improper management of compost facilities that lead to odors, complaints
- Similar concerns around residential collection (odors)
- Competing interests with landfills
- Infrastructure for food waste composting is lacking

5. Construction & Demolition waste

Strengths:

- Access for recovery through Recycle Ann Arbor's Drop Off Station, Reuse center and C&D facility
- No C&D landfills in the County
- C&D recycling can add points towards LEED certification process

Weaknesses:

- No expectation, requirement or incentive for diverting C&D
- Limited awareness to the value of C&D diversion
- Requires a lot of capital to start and run a C&D facility
- Contamination from hazardous materials like lead
- LEED points are very minimal
- Lack of information to include in contracts

Opportunities:

- County could adopt an ordinance to require minimum level of recovery on jobs of a certain size
- More C&D infrastructure
- Expand current options at drop off station, and other locations
- Training and certification of contractors and building operators
- Large amount of material available to be recovered
- Conduct a feasibility study within the county

Threats:

- Cheap landfill rates
- Costs to recover C&D are high
- Lack of understanding of the benefits
- It is a hard business to operate and make work

6. County Coordinated Programs

Strengths:

- Large variety of materials accepted
- Community clean up days are well attended and is convenient for most users
- Cost per user continues to decrease
- Vital function for some communities
- Great program

- Radio and cable programs are excellent
- Public-private partnerships
- County website information

Weaknesses:

- Long wait times at community events
- Clean up days operating costs are expensive
- Saturday volunteers are key to success at Zeeb Road
- Limited access
- More hours or operation on Saturday could reduce wait times

Opportunities:

- Pilot Custodian Recycling Certification
- Create template posters and brochures on key issues/programs in multiple languages to assist with flattening cardboard boxes
- Require communities that receive any funding or support from County to include a free language translator program on its website
- Review costs and benefits of community clean up versus other service delivery models
- Opportunities with schools on recycling education and outreach
- Outsource service to make more accessible
- Have two sites in the county added for more convenience
- More hours or operation on Saturdays to reduce wasted time

Threats:

- Lack of funding for maintaining current initiatives or adding new ones
- More diversion could equate to more costs in a down market

The Solid Waste Planning Committee also summarized the proper components of a solid waste management system to be successful. The rating system for each component was determined as high, moderate, or low and is described below.

- High: indicates that the component is strong for Washtenaw County. For example, under “Collection”, with 67% of the population covered by regular collection services, collection is considered high.
- Moderate: indicates that the component could use some improvement. For example, under “Policy”, there are policies in place, but they are limited, indicating that more could be implemented or those in place could be strengthened or expanded upon.
- Low: indicates that the component is not satisfactory, or is not controlled by the County. For example, under “End Markets”, there are not any end markets located in the County (so a low rating), and the strength of end markets is not something the County has direct control over.

Programs should attempt to achieve “high” rankings in at least 4/6 of the categories to ensure a successful program because no single component is more important than others; rather, it is the relationship across these factors working together that produce the best results for programs. The following table is a review of Washtenaw County’s program aligned with these components.

Table 25. Key Components Evaluation Summary

Key Component	Details	Rating
Collection	67% of county population are covered by contracted or city provided services.	High
Processing	In-county - City MRF, WWRA	
Out of county - ReCommunity	High	
End Markets	Current markets are not ideal; Glass is challenge; Not necessarily something County can control	Low
Education & Engagement	From Community Profiles, four communities provided information about providing education/outreach to residents. City of Ann Arbor - \$3.06 per household; Pittsfield Twp - \$0.02 per household; City of Saline - Qtrly residential newsletter; Superior Twp - \$0.30 per household, paid by hauler. Public survey feedback and public comment indicate the residents are not always aware of County programs, when asked.	Low/Moderate
Supporting Policy	State Policies: Yard Waste Ban	
Local Policies: City of AA Commercial recycling ordinance; Pay (or Save) as You Throw (PAYT)	Moderate/Low	
Public -Private Partnerships	WCCSWM, County programs such as Pharmaceutical Take-back, Boat Shrink Wrap program	Moderate/ High


DETAILED FEATURES OF RECYCLING AND COMPOSTING PROGRAMS

Kinds and volume of material in solid waste stream that will be recycled or composted. Details regarding equipment selection, site availability and selection and composting operating parameters.

Currently, Washtenaw County does not track the amount of different types of materials being recycled and composted throughout the County. Part of the Plan amendment calls upon development of improved data collection and measurement.


Figure 7 categorizes the County's total waste generation (in tons) for 2015 by material by applying the EPA's 2013 data on MSW Generation. The "other" category includes wood waste, rubber, textiles, leather, tires, and batteries.

Figure 7. Washtenaw County MSW Generation Estimated with EPA 2013 Data




Existing data from the Michigan Recycling Index (MRI) Report (May 2015) and the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) can provide guidance in setting goals toward increasing diversion and recovery. The MRI report identified the composition of the recycling stream by material gathered from programs across the state (Figure 8). The EPA data on recycling rates of selected products highlights the potential to recover more compared to what is currently going on statewide (Figure 9). As Washtenaw County's data and measurement evolves, this information can be applied.

Figure 8. Percent Composition of Recycling Material in the State of Michigan



Source: Michigan Recycling Index Report, 2015

Figure 9. Recycling Rates of Selected Products - 2013



Source: EPA, 2013

DETAILS REGARDING EQUIPMENT SELECTION, SITE AVAILABILITY AND SELECTION AND COMPOSTING OPERATING PARAMETERS.

Details are expressed in other sections of Plan.

- Overview of Resource Recovery Programs: 73- 74
- Facility Descriptions: 17-40

COORDINATION EFFORTS

Solid Waste Management Plans need to be developed and implemented with due regard for both local conditions and the state and federal regulatory framework for protecting public health and the quality of the air, water, and land. The following states the ways in which coordination will be achieved to minimize potential conflicts with other programs and, if possible, to enhance those programs.

It may be necessary to enter into various types of agreements between public and private sectors to be able to implement the various components of this solid waste management system. The known existing arrangements are described below which are considered necessary to successfully implement this system within the County. In addition, the proposed arrangements are recommended which address any discrepancies that the existing arrangements may have created or overlooked. Since arrangements may exist between two or more private parties that are not public knowledge, this section may not be comprehensive of all of the arrangements within the County. Additionally, it may be necessary to cancel or enter into new or revised arrangements as conditions change during the Planning period. The entities responsible for developing, approving, and enforcing these arrangements are also noted.

Ultimate responsibility for implementing the Solid Waste Management Plan rests with the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners as part of its duties of general governance. The BOC has charged the Washtenaw County Department of Public Works (DPW) with monitoring the Plan and ensuring the intent of the Plan is followed.

COSTS AND FUNDING

The following identifies potential funding sources that could be utilized to meet the necessary management, capital, and operational and maintenance requirements for each applicable component of the solid waste management system.

Each community within Washtenaw County facilitates its own method of coordinating solid waste collection and disposal. Many communities allow their residents to individually contract with a service provider. Some communities choose to negotiate a community contract with a service provider to collect and dispose of a community's waste. A few communities have chosen to fund their own services through a combination of the following funding options. The Washtenaw County Department of Public Works supports many community programs with the Advanced Disposal capacity fee that is collected by the County.

1. Volume Based Fee Structures (commonly referred to as "Pay-As-You-Throw")

Generators pay in proportion to the amount of waste they set out for collection. Volume based rate systems typically require that residents purchase special bags or stickers, or they offer generators a range of service subscription levels. When generators pay for the amount of waste they produce, they have an incentive to reduce it.

2. Special Assessments through Public Act 185 and Public Act 188

Municipalities, or the Washtenaw County Board of Public Works at the request of a municipality, could establish special assessments, to fund local solid waste and recycling programs. The special assessment must be linked to a benefit to the property owner and would be collected through the property tax bill.

3. Hauler licensing

With its existing authority under the state Public Health Code, the County may adopt policies or ordinances to regulate haulers and establish associated fees for public health purposes; or, with the participation of individual local units of government, the County may create a licensing program and establish fees for haulers operating within the County.

4. Countywide ordinances

Under the County's existing authority, the County has the ability to adopt policies or ordinances in furtherance of Plan goals, such as to establish fees for landfill surcharges and County provided services, or with the participation/cooperation of individual local units of government for other solid waste and recycling related programs.

5. Public Act 138 (limited to residential households)

A county, through an inter-local agreement with municipalities, may impose a surcharge on households within the county of not more than \$2.00 per month or \$25 per year per household for waste reduction programs and for the collection of consumer source separated materials for recycling, composting, or household hazardous waste.

6. Matching contributions of funds from municipalities

Pooling of resources from municipalities may be an option to fund regional programs.

7. Other financing mechanisms as identified

- a. Product Stewardship and/or Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR policies have been shown to significantly decrease costs to local governments for end-of-life management of a myriad of products, including electronic waste, hazardous household waste, latex paint, pharmaceuticals, carryout containers, etc. This approach shifts the cost burden from tax-funded local governments to the producers.)
- b. Private-public partnerships can provide long-term, sustainable funding mechanisms.

EVALUATION SUMMARY OF THE SELECTED SYSTEM

The solid waste management system has been evaluated for anticipated positive and negative impacts on the public health, economics, environmental conditions, siting considerations, existing disposal areas, and energy consumption and production which would occur because of implementing this Selected System. In addition, the Selected System was evaluated to determine if it would be technically and economically feasible, whether the public would accept this Selected System, and the effectiveness of the educational and informational programs. Impacts to the resource recovery programs created by the solid waste collection system, local support groups, institutional arrangements, and the population in the County in addition to market availability for the collected materials and the transportation network were also considered. Impediments to implementing the solid waste management system are identified and proposed activities that will help overcome those problems are also addressed to assure successful programs. The Selected System was also evaluated as to how it relates to the Michigan Solid Waste Policy's goals. The following summarizes the findings of this evaluation and the basis for selecting this system:

ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS AND PUBLIC HEALTH

Positive impacts to the County's environmental condition are expected to occur because of the Selected System. The continuing and increased emphasis on waste reduction and reuse will decrease the amount of materials being landfilled and recycled. The decrease in materials being disposed of in area landfills will reduce the need for a County's landfill space. Increased composting will produce nutrient rich material for agricultural uses, potentially reducing the amount of chemicals being used.

SITING CONSIDERATIONS

The Selected System prioritizes diversion of recoverable materials over disposal to reduce the need for landfill space. Siting of a new landfill or incinerator will only be considered for determination of consistency as part of a state-required Plan update process or through a Plan amendment.

EXISTING DISPOSAL AREAS

The Selected System will have positive impacts on existing disposal areas. An increased emphasis will be placed on waste reduction and reuse for solid waste management, with landfilling being used as a last resort option. This process will help to maximize the County's use of landfill space and reduce the impact on the communities both within and neighboring the County.

ENERGY CONSUMPTION AND PRODUCTION

The Selected System's emphasis on waste reduction and reuse could potentially cause a decrease in energy consumption; by reducing and reusing waste items, the energy needed to produce and transport new materials is decreased. Landfill gas systems can produce energy by capturing and burning some of the methane.

TECHNICAL/ECONOMICAL FEASIBILITY

The Selected System is both technically and economically feasible for Washtenaw County. The County currently has the infrastructure in place to support recycling activities and access to facilities for waste landfilling options. The revenue the County receives from the Advanced Disposal, Inc. landfill provides funding for various waste reduction, reuse, and recycling activities. The Pay-As-You-Throw option of waste reduction will also provide economic incentives to County residents to employ more waste reduction and recycling practices. Furthermore, placing an emphasis on recycled-content product purchasing could create more markets for recyclable materials, thereby increasing the economic viability of recycling.

PUBLIC ACCEPTANCE

It is anticipated that the Selected System will be met with public acceptance as demonstrated through the public feedback survey made available during the Planning process. Residents of both Washtenaw County and surrounding communities provided input about strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and

threats of the current system. The feedback was included as part of the development of the Selected System, and aligns with Goals and Objectives developed in this Plan. Furthermore, Washtenaw County is an environmentally conscious county. It is anticipated that residents will embrace a system that puts an increased emphasis on waste reduction, reuse, and recycling, using landfilling as a last resort option for disposal.

EFFECTIVENESS OF THE EDUCATIONAL AND INFORMATIONAL PROGRAMS

Education and outreach already occurs at a local and County level, and this Plan seeks to build on the existing outlets, as well as identify areas for improvement to increase awareness and understanding of waste and recycling throughout the county to all audiences from households to businesses to government.

IMPEDIMENTS TO IMPLEMENTING THE SELECTED SYSTEM

The encumbrance of measuring waste reduction and reuse could impede the successful implementation of the Selected System. The difficulty in measuring the success of the waste reduction initiatives could prove to be an obstacle in defining which areas of the program need extra attention. If specific areas of weakness cannot be identified, then the success of the program might be compromised. An increased public education effort will need to take place in order to ensure a successful program. Furthermore, a method for more consistent and regular measurement will have to be developed and implemented.

OTHER IMPACTS

External factors will also play a role to increase diversion across the County. These external factors include partnerships, private investments, and market stability. Collaboration across County municipalities and neighboring counties and their communities will also be important to achieving the Goals and Objectives of this Plan.

ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES OF THE SELECTED SYSTEM

Each solid waste management system has pros and cons relating to its implementation within the County. Following is an outline of the major advantages and disadvantages for this Selected System.

ADVANTAGES:

- Clearly aligns with the established Goals and Objectives of the Plan.
- Material is diverted from the waste stream and prevented from landfill disposal.
- Reduced stress on natural resources, thereby extending the supply of raw materials.
- Avoided landfill disposal costs of diverted materials can be substantial.
- Land requirements for recycling and composting are minimal to moderate.
- Diverting organic materials to compost production and soil application is environmentally sound.
- There are no documented environmental hazards resulting from waste reduction and composting.
- An emphasis on purchasing recycled content products can create and expand markets for recycled materials.
- Collaboration can increase potential for new opportunities, shared costs, and working with communities within the County and with adjacent counties.
- Access and convenience can lead to increased diversion and improved user satisfaction of programs.

DISADVANTAGES:

- Data collection can be expensive.
- Quantifying waste reduction is very difficult.
- Public participation is required.
- Adequate education, ongoing promotional programs, and market development are required.
- Organization and implementation problems may exist with new programs.
- Cost-effectiveness varies with waste reduction, recycling, and composting programs depending on developing technologies, market value, volume of materials handled, and public participation.
- Landfills are a land-intensive disposal option.
- Creating new public education programs dealing with waste reduction are resource and labor-intensive activities.



APPENDIX B

NON-SELECTED SYSTEMS

Before selecting the solid waste management system contained within this Plan update, the County developed and considered other alternative systems. The details of the non-selected systems are available for review in the County's repository. The following section provides a brief description of these non-selected systems and an explanation of why they were not selected.

ALTERNATIVE #1: LARGE SCALE INCINERATION

One alternative that was considered and subsequently discarded was large-scale incineration. This option is not viable in Washtenaw County because the environmental degradation and human health risks associated with the operation of incinerators far outweigh the benefits.

The incineration of municipal solid waste can cause adverse health and environmental effects through air emissions, toxic ash residue, and the destruction of materials that could be recycled. The ash by-product from incineration still requires landfilling. This ash requires special handling and disposal due to its toxic nature. Air pollution and odor problems can be marginally reduced with the use of pollution control equipment, but this equipment is expensive and only reduces pollution to legally acceptable levels.

ADVANTAGES:

- Electricity or steam is produced, helping to offset operational and maintenance costs
- Minimal land requirements

DISADVANTAGES:

- Although sophisticated, mechanical systems have demonstrated operating difficulties
- Utilizes natural resources
- The cost-effectiveness of energy generation has not been proven
- Particulates and toxic fume emissions contribute to air pollution
- Environmental hazards associated with the disposal of bottom and fly ash from incinerators
- Design, operational procedures, and site development are complex under present regulations
- Public sentiment against incinerators can make siting and development difficult
- High maintenance requirements

SYSTEM COMPONENTS:

The following briefly describes the various components of the non-selected system.

RESOURCE CONSERVATION EFFORTS:

This system's primary focus is on incineration and no additional conservation efforts are proposed.

VOLUME REDUCTION TECHNIQUES:

This system utilizes incineration as a volume reduction technique. Incineration is viewed as a very effective way to reduce the volume of municipal solid waste.

RESOURCE RECOVERY PROGRAMS:

This system does not utilize resource recovery. Although the incineration of waste can be utilized to capture energy, practices such as reduction, reuse, and recycling are not put to use.

COLLECTION PROCESSES:

Each municipality in the County is responsible for coordinating its own collection of disposable and recyclable materials. This can be done by either the municipality itself, or through a private waste hauler.

TRANSPORTATION:

Municipalities and/or individuals coordinate transportation of municipal solid waste. Transportation can be provided by the municipality, or by the contracted private waste hauler. Large-scale incineration eliminates the need for transportation to locations other than the incinerator.

DISPOSAL AREAS:

Washtenaw County's municipal solid waste is disposed at different landfills in southeast Michigan. This system would create lower disposal requirements because of the large-scale waste reduction provided by incineration.

INSTITUTIONAL ARRANGEMENTS:

Currently, solid waste management is shared between the public, private, and non-profit sectors. In the case of this alternative, current collection, processing, and disposal infrastructure will need to be reduced and/or modified to accommodate an incineration system.

EDUCATIONAL AND INFORMATIONAL PROGRAMS:

Educational efforts, focused primarily on recycling and composting, are in practice throughout the County. Because the non-selected system does not utilize recycling and composting, current educational programs need to be modified to reflect the new incineration program component.

CAPITAL, OPERATIONAL, AND MAINTENANCE COSTS:

There are currently no large scale, licensed, and permitted municipal solid waste incinerators operating within the County. Siting, developing, and operating a new facility would be cost prohibitive.

EVALUATION SUMMARY OF NON-SELECTED SYSTEM:

The non-selected system was evaluated to determine its potential of impacting human health, economics, environmental, transportation, siting and energy resources of the County. In addition, it was reviewed for technical feasibility, and whether it would have public support. Following is a brief summary of that evaluation along with an explanation for why this system was not chosen for implementation.

HUMAN AND ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH:

The non-selected system is based on incineration, which diverts items from landfill disposal. The decrease in landfilled items reduces the need for additional landfill space. However, incineration has raised concerns about the levels of toxic materials emitted by incinerator smokestacks and the levels of heavy metals found in incinerator ash, and the resulting decrease in ambient air quality.

ECONOMICS:

The non-selected system is not an economically feasible option for the County due to the high cost of siting, constructing, and operating a new incinerator facility. Incineration also greatly reduces the market for recovered materials, causing this sector of the County's economy to weaken.

TRANSPORTATION:

The non-selected system will not demand an increase in the County's transportation infrastructure.

SITING:

The non-selected system will require the siting and development of a new facility; however, incinerators are an unauthorized disposal area under this Plan.

ENERGY RESOURCES:

The non-selected system has the potential to harness energy from the burning of waste. However, the non-selected system will also decrease the amount of resource recovery and reuse occurring within the County, both of which reduce the amount of energy put into production of new goods.

TECHNICAL FEASIBILITY:

The design and construction of a new incinerator facility is a technically feasible option for County solid waste disposal.

PUBLIC ACCEPTANCE:

Washtenaw County has long been viewed as progressive concerning matters of the environment, and residents within the County expect a certain level of environmental improvement. However, large-scale incineration does not achieve this level because it does not provide any enhancements to the current system and because it is expected to degrade air, water, and land quality throughout the County. Therefore, this system would most likely be met with skepticism and strong opposition.

ALTERNATIVE #2: SANITARY LANDFILL ONLY

Alternative #2 would utilize sanitary landfilling as the sole method of municipal solid waste management. This alternative was not selected because it does not allow the County to foster and expand its many waste reduction, reuse, and recycling programs. Through these programs, the County is reducing both the amount of landfill space required in the future and long-term disposal costs. The County and its local communities have made significant investments to design, implement, operate, maintain, and expand programs that increase sustainable materials management, waste prevention and recovery rates. As such, it is more practical to adopt a Plan that maximizes waste diversion rather than disposal. A sole reliance on sanitary landfilling would be a poor use of the existing infrastructure and equipment in the County.

While modern landfills are well-engineered and actively managed compared to unregulated dumping grounds of the past, using landfilling as a primary means of solid waste management increases the occurrence of adverse human and environmental health effects. The increased volume and complexity of the solid waste stream has increased concerns regarding environmental and public health hazards both while actively operating and also once closed, reducing public acceptance of this disposal option.

ADVANTAGES:

- Abundance of landfill space in Southeastern Michigan
- County has guaranteed landfill capacity for a minimum of 10 years within the county, with additional capacity available at landfill facilities located in other counties
- Low tipping fees make disposal at landfills an attractive option

DISADVANTAGES:

- Land-intensive option
- Once used as a sanitary landfill, the value of land is low and future use options are limited
- Potential exists for adverse effects on the quality of life and property values of neighbors
- Design, site development, and operational procedures are complex under present regulations
- Waste transportation can be economically inefficient and ecologically harmful
- Public sentiment can further reduce available sites
- Long-term monitoring of site required after facility is closed
- Increased potential for groundwater contamination

SYSTEM COMPONENTS:

The following briefly describes the various components of the non-selected system.

RESOURCE CONSERVATION EFFORTS:

The non-selected system requires the destruction of large tracts of land, which disturbs ecological habitats and environmental health. As a result, sole reliance on sanitary landfilling does not incorporate any sustainable materials management efforts.

VOLUME REDUCTION TECHNIQUES:

This system does not incorporate any volume reduction techniques.

RESOURCE RECOVERY PROGRAMS:

This system does not incorporate any resource recovery programs, for which the County has a strong infrastructure in place. Recycling programs would quickly become obsolete, demanding a shift in solid waste management practices, such as elimination of materials recovery facilities.

COLLECTION PROCESSES:

Each municipality in the County is responsible for coordinating its own collection of municipal solid waste. This can be done by either the municipality itself, or through a private waste hauler. Collection infrastructure will not decrease in size, but will require modification to eliminate recycling collection programs.

TRANSPORTATION:

Municipalities and/or individuals coordinate transportation of MSW. Transportation can be provided by the municipality or by a contracted private waste hauler. This alternative will not demand an increase in the County's transportation infrastructure. In fact, it will remain the same or decrease due to the elimination of curbside collection routes and recycling drop-off programs.

DISPOSAL AREAS:

Washtenaw County's MSW is disposed at different landfills in southeast Michigan. Because the non-selected system eliminates the current diversion rate, the amount of landfill space needed in the future will increase. This may demand additional disposal areas in the future.

INSTITUTIONAL ARRANGEMENTS:

The institutional arrangements necessary for this alternative are currently in place throughout the County.

EDUCATIONAL AND INFORMATIONAL PROGRAMS:

Educational efforts, focused primarily on recycling and composting, are in practice throughout the County. Because the non-selected system does not support the enhancement or expansion of waste reduction, recycling, and composting activities, no new programs need be enacted and some existing programs may be discarded.

CAPITAL, OPERATIONAL, AND MAINTENANCE COSTS:

Capital costs will not be incurred because all aspects of the non-selected system are currently in place.

EVALUATION SUMMARY OF NON-SELECTED SYSTEM:

The non-selected system was evaluated to determine its potential of impacting human health, economics, environmental, transportation, siting and energy resources of the County. In addition, it was reviewed for technical feasibility, and whether it would have public support. Following is a brief summary of that evaluation along with an explanation of why this system was not chosen for implementation.

HUMAN AND ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH:

The non-selected system is based solely on landfilling. Although reliance on landfilling increases the potential for land contamination, land disposal is provided by modern landfills that have successful methods for prohibiting the migration of leachate outside of their boundaries.

ECONOMICS:

This alternative is economically feasible because all aspects are currently in practice within the County.

TRANSPORTATION:

The non-selected system will not impact transportation within the County because the infrastructure is already in place.

SITING:

Based on available disposal capacity at the time of this Plan, this alternative does not require the siting of any new facilities (sanitary landfills, incinerators, transfer stations, or materials recovery facilities); however solely utilizing landfills to handle all waste and recyclables may require additional facilities to be sited in the future.

ENERGY RESOURCES:

This modern landfill uses a methane gas recovery system to turn landfill byproducts (methane gases) into useful resources (energy). However, the non-selected system will not increase the levels of waste reduction and reuse within the County. Waste reduction and reuse, unlike recycling and landfilling, reduce the need for new products, which saves production energy.

TECHNICAL FEASIBILITY:

This alternative is technically feasible.

PUBLIC ACCEPTANCE:

The public made it very clear that more landfills are not the solution. Throughout the planning process, the public provided extensive feedback and opposition to the only landfill in Washtenaw County. To rely on landfills as the sole option of handling waste would be met with strong opposition.

ALTERNATIVE #3: WASTE REDUCTION, RECYCLING, AND COMPOSTING WITH SANITARY LANDFILL THAT MAINTAINS CURRENT RECOVERY LEVELS

Alternative #3 is essentially maintaining the current system of waste reduction, recycling, composting and disposal that is currently in place. It utilizes existing programs and infrastructure, and maintains current recovery levels.

Alternative #3 was not selected because the County has made a commitment to further reduce the volume of solid waste through enhanced waste prevention, reuse, recycling, and composting initiatives. New and expanded programs coupled with technological advancements will allow the County to achieve an improved diversion rate during the span of this Plan.

ADVANTAGES:

- Utilizes existing infrastructure and equipment
- Allows for participation from County residents
- Current system is designed to meet the needs of the community

DISADVANTAGES OF MAINTAINING CURRENT SYSTEM AND RECOVERY LEVELS:

- Current recovery levels are not at the optimal point for maximum waste diversion

SYSTEM COMPONENTS:

The following briefly describes the various components of the non-selected system.

RESOURCE CONSERVATION EFFORTS:

While the non-selected system's primary focus is on recycling, various waste reduction and reuse efforts are also incorporated. Waste reduction and reuse reduce the amount of energy put into the production of new goods, which conserves raw materials and energy. A diversion rate of 30% or more may also reduce the need for future landfill space, which would save large tracts of land from destruction.

VOLUME REDUCTION TECHNIQUES:

This alternative does not incorporate volume reduction techniques.

RESOURCE RECOVERY PROGRAMS:

The non-selected system has a diversion rate goal of 33%, which is the current diversion rate. All 28 County municipalities have access to curbside and/or drop-off recycling programs, operated by either the municipality itself, a private hauler, or both. The County currently has a very strong infrastructure in place for resource recovery. In order to move beyond a 33% diversion rate, participation rates will need to increase.

COLLECTION PROCESSES:

Each municipality in the County is responsible for coordinating its own collection of recyclable materials. This can be done by either the municipality itself, or through a private waste hauler. The infrastructure for collection is already in place.

TRANSPORTATION:

Transportation of both municipal solid waste and recyclables is coordinated by municipalities and/or individuals, and is already in place for the County. Transportation can be provided by the municipality or by the contracted private waste hauler. The volume of transportation traffic may increase with population growth, or with increased community participation in recycling programs.

DISPOSAL AREAS:

Washtenaw County's municipal solid waste is disposed at different landfills in southeast Michigan.

INSTITUTIONAL ARRANGEMENTS:

The institutional arrangements necessary for this alternative are currently in place throughout the County.

EDUCATIONAL AND INFORMATIONAL PROGRAMS:

Educational efforts, mainly aimed towards recycling and composting, are in practice throughout the County. Since the non-selected system does not require the expansion or enhancement of waste reduction, recycling, and composting activities, no new types of programs need be enacted.

CAPITAL, OPERATIONAL, AND MAINTENANCE COSTS:

Capital costs will not be incurred because all aspects of this alternative are currently in place. Other operational and maintenance costs are covered by the municipalities and/or revenue from the Arbor Hills Landfill.

EVALUATION SUMMARY OF NON-SELECTED SYSTEM:

The non-selected system was evaluated to determine its potential of impacting human health, economics, environmental, transportation, siting and energy resources of the County. In addition, it was reviewed for technical feasibility, and whether it would have public support. Following is a brief summary of that evaluation along with an explanation for why this system was not chosen to be implemented.

HUMAN AND ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH:

This system places heavy emphasis on resource recovery, which diverts items from landfill disposal. The decrease in landfilled items reduces the need for additional landfill space, therefore decreasing the potential for adverse human and environmental health impacts through ground and surface water contamination. In addition, modern landfills have greatly improved methods for prohibiting the migration of leachate outside of their boundaries. Also, the Home Toxics Reduction Program allows citizens to easily remove toxic substances from their homes without jeopardizing the health of the local environment.

ECONOMICS:

This alternative is economically feasible because all aspects are currently in practice within the County.

TRANSPORTATION:

The non-selected system will not impact transportation within the County because the transportation infrastructure is already established.

SITING:

This alternative will not require the siting of any new facilities (sanitary landfills, incinerators, transfer stations, or materials recovery facilities) due to its non-expansive nature.

ENERGY RESOURCES:

The non-selected system utilizes sanitary landfills. This modern landfill uses a methane gas recovery system to turn landfill byproducts (methane gases) into useful resources (energy). However, waste reduction and reuse levels will remain constant within the County. These reduce the need for new products, whose manufacture requires energy input, thereby causing energy usage to decrease only slightly in the County.

TECHNICAL FEASIBILITY:

Since the non-selected system is already in place within the County, it is a technically feasible option.

PUBLIC ACCEPTANCE:

This alternative consists only of activities already in place within the County. It requires no expansion or enhancement of these practices. Washtenaw County has long been viewed as progressive concerning matters of the environment and residents within the County expect a certain level of environmental improvement. However, the current system of waste reduction, recycling, and composting with sanitary landfilling does not achieve this level because it does not provide any enhancements. Therefore, it is believed that this system will be widely accepted throughout the County.



APPENDIX C

PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT PROCESS

A description of the process used, including dates of public meetings, copies of public notices, documentation of approval from solid waste planning committee, County Board of Commissioners, and municipalities.

PLANNING COMMITTEE APPOINTMENT PROCEDURE

On February 9, 2015, Washtenaw County received a formal letter from Advanced Disposal Services requesting for expansion at their Washtenaw County-based Arbor Hills Landfill, which prompted the amendment process for the Washtenaw County Solid Waste Management Plan.

In response to the request for expansion letter, on April 15, 2015, the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners passed Resolution #15-0077 to authorize Washtenaw County to send Notice of Intent to the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality to amend its 1999 Solid Waste Management Plan.

Advertisements, public notices and press releases soliciting letters of interest and resumes for appointment to the Solid Waste Planning Committee were disseminated (see "Public Notice, original call for SWPC Members" document).

The County Board of Commissioners reviewed resumes received and appointments were made on July 1, 2015 by Resolution #15-0121.

On October 27, 2015 and February 9, 2016, respectively, Solid Waste Planning Committee members JD Lindeberg ("General Public" seat) and Jon Tulman ("Alternate" seat) submitted letters of resignation. As such, a second notice soliciting letters of interest and resumes to fill the two vacant seats of the Solid Waste Planning Committee was released (see "Public Notice, 2nd call for SWPC Members" document).

On May 18, 2016, the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners passed Resolution #16-082 which appointed John Ford as the “Alternate” seat, and John Spurr as “General Public” seat to fill the two committee vacancies.

Since the Solid Waste Planning Committee is appointed for two year periods, and the amendment process exceeded two years from the original date of appointment, on September 16, 2017, the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners passed Resolution #17-135, which reappoints all existing Solid Waste Planning Committee members for another two year period.

WASHTENAW COUNTY SOLID WASTE PLANNING COMMITTEE: MEETING DATES

2015	2016	2017
August 12	January 13	January 11
September 9	February 10	February 8
October 14	April 13	April 12
November 11	May 11	October 19
	June 8	(November 8)
	July 13	
	August 10	
	September 14	
	October 12	
	November 9	
	December 14	

PLANNING COMMITTEE MEMBERS

Category	Name & Affiliation
Solid Waste Management Industry	1. Kirk Lignell, Recycle Ann Arbor 2. Dave Rettell, Advanced Disposal 3. Paul Mazanec, Waste Management 4. Frank Hammer, Western Washtenaw Recycling Authority
Environmental Interest Group	5. Mike Garfield, Ecology Center 6. Bryan Weinert, Recycle Ann Arbor
County Government	7. Meghan Bonfiglio, Washtenaw County
City Government	8. Christina Gomes, city of Ann Arbor
Regional Solid Waste Planning Agency	9. Amy Mangus, Southeast Michigan Council of Governments (SEMCOG)
Industrial Waste Generator	10. Pierre Gonyon, St. Joseph Mercy Hospital, Ann Arbor
General Public	11. John Spurr, Salem Township 12. Andrew Berki, University of Michigan 13. Nancy Stone, citizen
Board of Commissioners Liaison	14. Michelle Deatrick, Washtenaw County Commissioner
Township Government	15. Gary Whittaker, Salem Township

PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD AND PUBLIC HEARING

At the April 12, 2017 Solid Waste Planning Committee meeting, the committee approved a draft Plan document, to be released for the public comment period beginning April 21st, 2017. The public comment period was widely advertised at various public events, online via website and social media, plus distributed to all 28 municipalities and other community stakeholders via email and direct mail.

Two informational meetings were held on May 11 and June 20, as well as a formal public hearing held on July 19, 2017. The public was also able to submit comments via email, mail and in person. The public comment period, while only required to proceed for a minimum of 90 days, remained open until October 18, 2017, providing ample time for robust public participation. [See public hearing agenda, minutes and all public comments received, attached.]

PUBLIC NOTICES AND RELATED DOCUMENTS

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE WASHTENAW COUNTY WATER RESOURCES COMMISSISONER TO SEND A NOTICE OF INTENT TO AMEND THE WASHTENAW COUNTY SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN, 1999 UPDATE, TO THE MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

WASHTENAW COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

April 15, 2015

WHEREAS, Advanced Disposal owner of the Arbor Hills landfill in Salem Township has requested Washtenaw County to amend the 1999 Solid Waste Management Plan for the County to expand the landfills existing footprint; and

WHEREAS, Washtenaw County is required by the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality (MDEQ) to submit a notice of intent to amend the Washtenaw County Solid Waste Management Plan, under Part 115 of the Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Act, 1994 PA 451, as amended; and

WHEREAS, it is the desire of Washtenaw County to amend the State approved 1999 Solid Waste Management Plan in consideration of Advanced Disposal's request to expand and include updating solid waste management programs and policies that emphasize waste prevention, reduction, recycling, and composting, and assure the long term capacity for disposal of waste generated within Washtenaw County; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners designated the Board of Public Works (BPW) as the agency responsible for solid waste management and appoints a Solid Waste Planning Committee (SWPC) to advise the BPW in development of the Plan Amendment; and

WHEREAS, the Plan Amendment must be approved by the governing bodies of at least 67 percent of Washtenaw County's municipalities before being submitted to the MDEQ, and;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners approves the Notice of Intent to amend the County's 1999 Solid Waste Plan, as on file with the County Clerk.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Commissioners directs the Office of the Water Resources Commissioner to send a notice of intent to amend the County's Solid Waste Management Plan to the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality.

COMMISSIONER	Y	N	A	COMMISSIONER	Y	N	A	COMMISSIONER	Y	N	A
Brabec	X			Ping	X			Dan Smith	X		
LaBarre	X			Rabhi	X						
Martinez-Kratz	X			Jamnick	X						
Peterson	X			Conan Smith	X						

CLERK/REGISTER'S CERTIFICATE - CERTIFIED COPY

ROLL CALL VOTE:

9 0 0

STATE OF MICHIGAN)

I, Lawrence Kestenbaum, Clerk/Register of said County of Washtenaw and Clerk of Circuit Court for said County, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate copy of a resolution adopted by the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners at a session held at the County Administration Building in the City of Ann Arbor, Michigan, on April 15th, 2015, as it appears of record in my office.

COUNTY OF WASHTENAW)^{SS}.

In Testimony Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said Court at Ann Arbor, this 16th day of April, 2015.

LAWRENCE KESTENBAUM, Clerk/Register

BY: _____ Deputy Clerk



Res. No. 15-0077

A RESOLUTION APPOINTING MEMBERS TO THE SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT
PLANNING COMMITTEE FOR TERMS EXPIRING UPON COMPLETION OF AN
AMENDMENT TO THE UPDATE OF THE WASHTENAW COUNTY ACT 641 SOLID
WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN

WASHTENAW COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

July 1, 2015

WHEREAS, Advanced Disposal owner of the Arbor Hills landfill in Salem Township has requested Washtenaw County to amend the 1999 Solid Waste Management Plan for the County to expand the landfills existing footprint; and

WHEREAS, on April 15, 2015 the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners authorized the Chair of the Board to sign the Notice of Intent to file an amendment to the County's solid Waste management Plan with the State of Michigan;

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners designated the Board of Public Works (BPW) as the agency responsible for solid waste management and appointing a Solid Waste Planning Committee (SWPC) to advise the BPW in development of the Plan Amendment; and

WHEREAS, Public Act 641 requires the establishment of a solid waste management planning committee to review and approve proposed amendments to county solid waste management plans, and

WHEREAS, a planning committee appointed shall consist of 14 members as follows: 4 solid waste management industry representatives; 2 environmental interest groups representatives; 1 county government representative; 1 city government representative; 1 township government representative; 1 regional solid waste planning agency representative; 1 industrial waste generator representative; and 3 general public representatives

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners hereby appoints the following representatives to the Solid Waste Management Planning committee for terms expiring upon completion of an amendment to the Plan:

Attachment:

Category	Member
Solid Waste Management Industry	1) Kirk Lignell 2) Dave Rettell 3) Paul Mazanec 4) J D Lindeberg
Environmental Interest Groups	1) Mike Garfield 2) Bryan Weinert
County Government	1) Meghan Bonfiglio
City Government	1) Christina Gomes
Township Government	1) Gary Whittaker
Regional Solid Waste Planning Agency	1) Amy Mangus
Industrial Waste Generator	1) Pierre Gonyon
General Public	1) Frank Hammer 2) Andrew Berke 3) Nancy Stone
Board of Commissioner's Liaison	1) Yousef Rabhi
Alternate	Jon Tulman

COMMISSIONER	Y	N	A	COMMISSIONER	Y	N	A	COMMISSIONER	Y	N	A
Brabec	X			Ping	X			Dan Smith	X		
LaBarre	X			Rabhi	X						
Martinez-Kratz	X			Jamnick	X						
Peterson			X	Conan Smith	X						

CLERK/REGISTER'S CERTIFICATE - CERTIFIED COPY

ROLL CALL VOTE:

8 0 1

STATE OF MICHIGAN)

I, Lawrence Kestenbaum, Clerk/Register of said County of Washtenaw and Clerk of Circuit Court for said County, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate copy of a resolution adopted by the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners at a session held at the County Administration Building in the City of Ann Arbor, Michigan, on July 1st, 2015, as it appears of record in my office.

COUNTY OF WASHTENAW)^{SS}.

In Testimony Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said Court at Ann Arbor, this 2nd day of July, 2015.

LAWRENCE KESTENBAUM, Clerk/Register

BY: _____ Deputy Clerk



Res. No. 15-0121

A RESOLUTION APPOINTING MEMBERS TO THE SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT
PLANNING COMMITTEE FOR TERMS EXPIRING UPON COMPLETION OF AN
AMENDMENT TO THE UPDATE OF THE WASHTENAW COUNTY ACT 641 SOLID
WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN

WASHTENAW COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

May 18, 2016

WHEREAS, Advanced Disposal owner of the Arbor Hills landfill in Salem Township has requested Washtenaw County to amend the 1999 Solid Waste Management Plan for the County to expand the landfills existing footprint; and

WHEREAS, on April 15, 2015 the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners authorized the Chair of the Board to sign the Notice of Intent to file an amendment to the County's solid Waste management Plan with the State of Michigan;

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners designated the Board of Public Works (BPW) as the agency responsible for solid waste management and appointing a Solid Waste Planning Committee (SWPC) to advise the BPW in development of the Plan Amendment; and

WHEREAS, Public Act 641 requires the establishment of a solid waste management planning committee to review and approve proposed amendments to county solid waste management plans, and

WHEREAS, a planning committee appointed shall consist of 14 members as follows: 4 solid waste management industry representatives; 2 environmental interest groups representatives; 1 county government representative; 1 city government representative; 1 township government representative; 1 regional solid waste planning agency representative; 1 industrial waste generator representative; and 3 general public representatives

WHEREAS, two vacancies exist; one representing the General Public and one representing an Alternate

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners hereby appoints the following representatives to the Solid Waste Management Planning committee for terms expiring upon completion of an amendment to the Plan:

1. John Ford (Alternate)
2. John Spurr (General Public)

COMMISSIONER	Y	N	A	COMMISSIONER	Y	N	A	COMMISSIONER	Y	N	A
Brabec	X			Ping	X			Dan Smith	X		
LaBarre	X			Rabhi			X				
Martinez-Kratz			X	Jamnick			X				
Peterson	X			Conan Smith			X				

CLERK/REGISTER'S CERTIFICATE - CERTIFIED COPY

ROLL CALL VOTE: 5 0 4

STATE OF MICHIGAN)

I, Lawrence Kestenbaum, Clerk/Register of said County of Washtenaw and Clerk of Circuit Court for said County, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate copy of a resolution adopted by the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners at a session held at the County Administration Building in the City of Ann Arbor, Michigan, on May 18th, 2016, as it appears of record in my office.

COUNTY OF WASHTENAW)^{SS}.

In Testimony Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said Court at Ann Arbor, this 19th day of May, 2016.

LAWRENCE KESTENBAUM, Clerk/Register

BY: _____ Deputy Clerk



Res. No. 16-082

A RESOLUTION REAPPOINTING MEMBERS TO THE SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT
PLANNING COMMITTEE FOR TERMS EXPIRING UPON COMPLETION OF AN
AMENDMENT TO THE UPDATE OF THE WASHTENAW COUNTY SOLID WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN

WASHTENAW COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

September 6, 2017

WHEREAS, Advanced Disposal, owner of the Arbor Hills Landfill in Salem Township, had requested Washtenaw County to amend the 1999 Solid Waste Management Plan for the County to consider an expansion to the existing footprint but has since withdrawn the request in October of 2016; and

WHEREAS, on April 15, 2015 the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners authorized the Chair of the Board to sign the Notice of Intent to file an amendment to the County's Solid Waste Management Plan with the State of Michigan; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners designated the Board of Public Works (BPW) as the agency responsible for solid waste management and appointing a Solid Waste Planning Committee (SWPC) to advise the BPW in development of the Plan Amendment; and

WHEREAS, Part 115 of the Public Act 451 of 1994 requires the establishment of a solid waste management planning committee to review and approve proposed amendments to county solid waste management plans, and

WHEREAS, under Part 115 of PA 451 the planning committee is appointed for a two-year term and consists of 14 members as follows: 4 solid waste management industry representatives; 2 environmental interest groups representatives; 1 county government representative; 1 city government representative; 1 township government representative; 1 regional solid waste planning agency representative; 1 industrial waste generator representative; and 3 general public representatives; and

WHEREAS, the existing Solid Waste Planning Committee under direction of the Board of Public Works is proceeding to update the 1999 Solid Waste Management Plan; and

WHEREAS; the solid waste planning committee was appointed by the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners on July 1, 2015 and that two-year appointment has since expired; and

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners hereby reappoints the following representatives to the Solid Waste Management Planning committee for a term expiring upon completion of an amendment to the Plan:

<u>Category</u>	<u>Member</u>
Solid Waste Management Industry	1) Kirk Lignell- Recycle Ann Arbor 2) Dave Rettell- Advanced Disposal 3) Paul Mazanec-Waste Management 4) Frank Hammer-Western Washtenaw Recycling Authority
Environmental Interest Groups	1) Mike Garfield-Ecology Center 2) Bryan Weinert-Recycle Ann Arbor
County Government	1) Meghan Bonfiglio- Washtenaw Co.
City Government	1) Christina Gomes—City of Ann Arbor
Township Government	1) Gary Whittaker- Salem Twp.
Regional Solid Waste Planning Agency	1) Amy Mangus-SEMCOG (Southeast Michigan Council of Govts)
Industrial Waste Generator	1) Pierre Gonyon- St Joseph Hospital
General Public	1) John Spurr- Salem Township 2) Andrew Berki- University of Michigan 3) Nancy Stone-Citizen
Board of Commissioner’s Liaison	1) Michelle Deatruck - Washtenaw Co. Commissioner

COMMISSIONER	Y	N	A	COMMISSIONER	Y	N	A	COMMISSIONER	Y	N	A
Brabec	X			LaBarre	X			Smith	X		
Deatrick	X			Martinez-Kratz	X						
Jamnick	X			Morgan	X						
Jefferson	X			Ping			X				

CLERK/REGISTER'S CERTIFICATE - CERTIFIED COPY

ROLL CALL VOTE:

8 0 1

STATE OF MICHIGAN)

I, Lawrence Kestenbaum, Clerk/Register of said County of Washtenaw and Clerk of Circuit Court for said County, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate copy of a resolution adopted by the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners at a session held at the County Administration Building in the City of Ann Arbor, Michigan, on September 6th, 2017, as it appears of record in my office.

COUNTY OF WASHTENAW)^{SS}.

In Testimony Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said Court at Ann Arbor, this 7th day of September, 2017.

LAWRENCE KESTENBAUM, Clerk/Register

BY: _____ Deputy Clerk



Res. No. 17-135

STATE OF MICHIGAN)
County of Jackson

ss Camryn Longstreet

Being duly sworn deposes and say he/she is Principal Clerk of



JACKSON CITIZEN PATRIOT

DAILY EDITION

a newspaper published and circulated in the County of Jackson and otherwise qualified according to Supreme Court Rule; and that the annexed notice, taken from said paper, has been duly published in said paper on the following day(day(s)) _____

April 23

A.D. 20 15

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 27 day of April 20 15

MARIETTA FOLEY
Notary Public, State of Michigan
County of Kent
My Commission Expires: December 23, 2016

WASHTENAW COUNTY PUBLIC NOTICE

The Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners is seeking candidates for a Solid Waste Planning Committee. The Board of Commissioners is scheduled to consider resumes at the June 3, 2015 Board session. The meeting will take place at 6:45 pm in the Board Room located at 220 N Main in Ann Arbor, Michigan. These appointments will take effect immediately upon appointment and will last the duration of the plan review.

The Committee is being established in order to review a proposed amendment to the County's approved Solid Waste Management Plan. The Committee will assist in the review of the plan amendment by providing advice and consultation to the County.

Public Act 641 of 1978 requires that the committee include the following representatives:

- 4 representatives of the solid waste management industry
- 2 representatives of the environmental interest groups
- 1 representative of County government
- 1 representative of township government
- 1 representative of city government
- 1 representative of a regional planning agency
- 1 representative of industrial waste generators
- 3 representatives of general public

Letter of interest and resumes should be addressed to Edwin Peart, Washtenaw County Clerk/Register 200 N Main, P.O. Box 8645 Ann Arbor Michigan 48107-8645. If you wish to forward e-mail please address to pearte@ewashtenaw.org. Those resumes received by May 13, 2015 will be submitted to the Board of Commissioners for its consideration on June 3, 2015.

For additional information please contact:

Jeff Krucmarik
Environmental
Program Supervisor
Water Resources
Commissioners Office
734-222-6865
Email:
krucmarikj@ewashtenaw.org

WASHTENAW COUNTY
WATER RESOURCES COMMISSIONER

MAY 05 2015

RECEIVED

AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

48 West Huron Street • Pontiac, MI 48342

Washtenaw County Water Resource
705 N Zeeb Rd

BOX PO Box 8645

Ann Arbor, MI 48107

PO

STATE OF MICHIGAN,
COUNTY OF OAKLAND

Lynn Dropping
Lynn Dropping

The undersigned _____, being duly sworn the he/she is the principal clerk of Washtenaw Now, Washtenaw Now.com, Washtenaw Now.com2, published in the English language for the dissemination of local or transmitted news and intelligence of a general character, which are dully qualified newspapers, and the annexed hereto is a copy of certain order, notice, publication or advertisement of:

Washtenaw County Water Resource

Published in the following edition(s):

Washtenaw Now	04/23/15
Washtenaw Now	04/30/15
Washtenaw Now.com	04/23/15
Washtenaw Now.com	04/30/15
Washtenaw Now.com2	04/23/15
Washtenaw Now.com2	04/30/15



Sworn to the subscribed before me this 1st May 2015

Tina M. Crown

Notary Public, State of Michigan
Acting in County of Oakland

Advertisement Information

Client Id: 641734

Ad Id: 578778

PO:

Sales Person: 200307

WASHTENAW COUNTY
WATER RESOURCES COMMISSIONER

MAY 06 2015

RECEIVED

WASHTENAW COUNTY PUBLIC NOTICE

The Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners is seeking candidates for a Solid Waste Planning Committee. The Board of Commissioners is scheduled to consider resumes at the June 3, 2015 Board session. The meeting will take place at 6:45 pm in the Board Room located at 220 N Main in Ann Arbor, Michigan. These appointments will take effect immediately upon appointment and will last the duration of the plan review.

The Committee is being established in order to review a proposed amendment to the County's approved Solid Waste Management Plan. The Committee will assist in the review of the plan amendment by providing advice and consultation to the County

Public Act 641 of 1978 requires that the committee include the following representatives:

- 4 representatives of the solid waste management industry
- 2 representatives of the environmental interest groups
- 1 representative of County government
- 1 representative of township government
- 1 representative of city government
- 1 representative of a regional planning agency
- 1 representative of industrial waste generators
- 3 representatives of general public

Letter of interest and resumes should be addressed to Edwin Pearl, Washtenaw County Clerk/Register 200 N Main, P.O. Box 8645 Ann Arbor Michigan 48107-8645. If you wish to forward e-mail please address to pearle@ewashtenaw.org. Those resumes received by May 13, 2015 will be submitted to the Board of Commissioners for its consideration on June 3, 2015.

For additional information please contact:

Jeff Krcmarik
Environmental Program Supervisor
Water Resources Commissioners Office
734-222-6865
Email: krcmarij@ewashtenaw.org
Publish: April 23 & 30, 2015

[HELP IMPROVE THIS SITE](#)

Public Notice - Seeking Citizen Volunteers for the SWMPC

WASHTENAW COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

PUBLIC NOTICE – SEEKING CITIZEN VOLUNTEERS FOR THE

SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT PLANNING COMMITTEE

The Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners is looking for citizens to volunteer for positions on the Solid Waste Management Planning Committee. The Board of Commissioners will make these appointments at their upcoming session on March 16, 2016 at 6:45 p.m. in the Board Room, Administration Building, 220 North Main Street, Ann Arbor, Michigan.

Individuals interested in applying should submit a letter of interest and resume, including a home address, to the Washtenaw County Clerk's office, Attention: Appointments, P.O. Box 8645, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48107. Letters and resumes may also be submitted via email to pearte@ewashtenaw.org, via fax (734) 222-6528, or apply online at www.ewashtenaw.org.

Those resumes received by **MARCH 10, 2016** will be submitted to the Board of Commissioners for its consideration on March 16, 2016. The appointments will become effective March 16, 2016. These appointments include:

- **Solid Waste Management Planning Committee** – The Board of Commissioners is seeking qualified applicants to fill **two** positions: one representing the General Public and one representing an Alternate. Per resolution, these terms do not expire until an amendment has been made to the Solid Waste Management Plan (15-0121). The Board of Commissioners designated the Board of Public Works (BPW) as the agency responsible for solid waste management and appointing a Solid Waste Planning Committee (SWPC) to advise the BPW in development of the Plan Amendment.

For additional information, please contact Washtenaw County Clerk's Office. Phone: (734) 222-6655.

RELEASED: February 18, 2016

[Home](#) | [About eWashtenaw](#) | [About Washtenaw County](#) | [eCentral](#) | [Log In](#)

© 2016 Washtenaw County, MI

[Accessibility](#) | [Disclaimer](#) | [Security](#) | [Privacy Policy](#)

Official website of Washtenaw County, MI



Translation
Through Google



WASHTENAW COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS
PUBLIC NOTICE – SEEKING CITIZEN VOLUNTEERS FOR THE
SOLIDE WASTE MANAGEMENT PLANNING COMMITTEE

The Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners is looking for citizens to volunteer for positions on the Solid Waste Management Planning Committee. The Board of Commissioners will make these appointments at their upcoming session on March 16, 2016 at 6:45 p.m. in the Board Room, Administration Building, 220 North Main Street, Ann Arbor, Michigan.

Individuals interested in applying should submit a letter of interest and resume, including a home address, to the Washtenaw County Clerk's office, Attention: Appointments, P.O. Box 8645, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48107. Letters and resumes may also be submitted via email to pearte@ewashtenaw.org, via fax (734) 222-6528, or apply online at www.ewashtenaw.org.

Those resumes received by **MARCH 10, 2016** will be submitted to the Board of Commissioners for its consideration on March 16, 2016. The appointments will become effective March 16, 2016. These appointments include:

- **Solid Waste Management Planning Committee** – The Board of Commissioners is seeking qualified applicants to fill **two** positions: one representing the General Public and one representing an Alternate. Per resolution, these terms do not expire until an amendment has been made to the Solid Waste Management Plan (15-0121). The Board of Commissioners designated the Board of Public Works (BPW) as the agency responsible for solid waste management and appointing a Solid Waste Planning Committee (SWPC) to advise the BPW in development of the Plan Amendment.

For additional information, please contact Washtenaw County Clerk's Office. Phone: (734) 222-6655.

RELEASED: February 18, 2016

DRAFT Washtenaw County Solid Waste Management Plan Amendment Available for Public Comment

Pursuant to requirements of Part 115, Solid Waste Management, of the Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Act, 1994 PA 451, as amended, the official public comment period for the draft Washtenaw County Solid Waste Plan Amendment begins Friday, April 21, 2017. The period will proceed for at least 90 days.

To view the draft Plan, please visit our website at www.ewashtenaw.org/publicworks. Official comments may be submitted to via email at publicworks@ewashtenaw.org or sent by mail to the Washtenaw County Division of Public Works, 705 N. Zeeb Rd., P.O. Box 8645, Ann Arbor, MI., 48107-8645.

To facilitate the public comment period, there are two informational meetings and one public hearing scheduled to invite participation and/or comments on the draft plan from any person having an interest in the future of solid waste and recycling for Washtenaw County.

Informational Meetings & Public Hearing:

- **Thursday, May 11, 2017 at 6:00PM**
Salem Township Hall
9600 Six Mile Rd.
Salem, MI 48175
- **Tuesday, June 20, 2017 at 3:00PM**
Chelsea District Library
221 S. Main Street
Chelsea, MI 48118
- **Public Hearing – July 2017, details TBD**



Questions?

Contact the Washtenaw County Division of Public Works Staff

Phone: 734-222-6860

Email: publicworks@ewashtenaw.org

Website: www.ewashtenaw.org/publicworks



PUBLIC NOTICE
PUBLIC HEARING FOR THE AMENDED WASHTENW COUNTY SOLID
WASTE PLAN

The Washtenaw County Solid Waste Planning Committee has released an amended solid waste plan and is currently accepting public comment. A public hearing will be held at 7 pm on July 20 at the Washtenaw Intermediate School District office located at 1819 S Wagner Rd, Ann Arbor, Michigan. If you cannot attend please forward your comment to publicworks@ewashtenaw.org.

JULY 19, 2017

All meetings are subject to change. Posted in compliance with the Open Meetings Act, Public Act 267 of 1976. The County of Washtenaw will provide necessary reasonable auxiliary aids and services, such as signers for the hearing impaired and audiotapes of printed materials being considered at the meeting, to individuals with disabilities at the meeting/hearing upon seven (7) days' notice to the County of Washtenaw. Individuals with disabilities requiring auxiliary aids or services should contact the County of Washtenaw by writing or calling the following: Diane Heidt, Human Resources, 220 North Main Street, P.O. Box 8645 Ann Arbor, Michigan 48107-8645, (734) 222-6800, TDD #(734) 994-1733
Updated 11/14/14

TALK ABOUT YOUR TRASH



Garbage.
Recycling.
Compost.
Yard waste.
We all produce it.
What do you think about
Washtenaw County's
plan to manage it?

INFORMATIONAL MEETINGS

May 11, 2017

6pm @ Salem Township Hall

June 20, 2017

3pm @ Chelsea District Library

PUBLIC HEARING

July 19, 2017

6pm @ Washtenaw Intermediate School District



Can't attend?
Email your comments
publicworks@ewashtenaw.org



Questions?
Call the Division of Public Works
734-222-6860



Read the Draft Washtenaw County Solid Waste Plan

➡➡➡ www.ewashtenaw.org/swp

February 9 2016

Jeff Krcmarik, Environmental Supervisor
Washtenaw County Water Resources Commission
705 N. Zeeb Rd.
Ann Arbor, MI 48107

Dear Jeff,

This letter is to inform you of my resignation from the Washtenaw County Solid Waste Planning Committee, effective immediately.

I am taking this action due to my accepting a position with the County's Environmental Health program as a solid waste program specialist.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jon Tulman
3060 S. Fletcher Rd.
Chelsea, MI 48118

Note: Letter sent as an email attachment and therefore does not bear a signature.

CC: Bryan Weinert, Solid Waste Planning Committee Chair



CITIZEN COMMENT FORM

Completed by Landowner

NAME: Armand Tchonang
ADDRESS: 50330 Starlite Run
Northville, MI 48168
PHONE NUMBER(S): 734 560 8464
EMAIL: TCHONANG@YAHOO.COM
COUNTY: WAYNE

Completed by Office of the Water Resources Commissioner

COMMENTS:

Dump smells very bad
at times and I don't want the
expansion to be done as they can't
even manage what is already
existing.
How do we ~~know~~ they won't go bankrupt
in a near future.

Follow-up Required? ☐

WC Public Works Representative: _____



4

CITIZEN COMMENT FORM

Completed by Landowner

NAME: Bruce Leonard

ADDRESS: 8754 Napier Rd.

Northville Twp., MI 48168

PHONE NUMBER(S): (734) 624-1764

EMAIL: balbbi@yahoo.com

COUNTY: Wayne (my property borders Washtenaw)

COMMENTS:

- Add required timing that holds government entities accountable to implement these plans

- As you develop new recycling & waste mitigation plans and infrastructure the life of the landfill will be extended. Therefore, a 2nd landfill will not be needed. I want the plan to state that an expansion is not allowed.

Follow-up Required? ☐

WC Public Works Representative: _____

Completed by Office of the Water Resources Commissioner



3

CITIZEN COMMENT FORM

Completed by Landowner

NAME: Chris Cordina
ADDRESS: 9250 Napier Rd
Northville MI 48167
PHONE NUMBER(S): 734-306-5895
EMAIL: Cordinafamily@gmail.com
COUNTY: Wayne

Completed by Office of the Water Resources Commissioner

COMMENTS:

Its Already too big. We don't need it bigger.
It is harmful to the homes ^{and schools} to the East.
It is toxic both to the air & ground. They are
trying to close roads so truck traffic will go thru ~~Wayne~~
~~Northville~~ and not thru Salem. How do we know
that ^{Advance Disposal} ~~the~~ will not just walk away after they make profit.

Follow-up Required? ☐

WC Public Works Representative: _____

From: Gerhart, Jennifer [<mailto:gerhart1@anr.msu.edu>]
Sent: Monday, July 17, 2017 3:19 PM
To: publicworks <publicworks@ewashtenaw.org>
Subject: Supporting Zero Waste

Hello,

I am writing in support of Zero-waste initiatives in Washtenaw County. It has been shown that we can get REALLY close to zero waste at both public/private events and in camps, parks, schools, and other locations. It is important for the longevity of our communities that we work towards a more sustainable waste management plan.

I support language for zero waste to be included in the new Washtenaw County Solid Waste Management Plan.

I would like to add that I hold a seat on the Washtenaw County Food Policy Council and zero-waste is a part of our policy agenda items so technically the full council is in support of zero-waste initiatives.

Thank you,

Jae Gerhart

Washtenaw County Local Foods Coordinator
Michigan State Extension – Greening Michigan Institute
705 N. Zeeb Rd, Ann Arbor MI 48103
Email: gerhart1@anr.msu.edu
Phone: 989-430-0926

MSU Extension programs and materials are open to all without regard to race, color, national origin, gender, gender identity, religion, age, height, weight, disability, political beliefs, sexual orientation, marital status, family status, or veteran status.

From: Jan Wright [<mailto:janwrigh@umich.edu>]
Sent: Wednesday, September 06, 2017 8:31 PM
To: publicworks <publicworks@ewashtenaw.org>
Subject: Public Comment on County Draft Solid Waste Plan

I am writing to add some personal thoughts to an earlier statement made by an organization that I am part of (Climate Change & Earth Care Task Force of Interfaith Council for Peace & Justice). We strongly supported the Draft Solid Waste Plan's commitment to move toward zero waste.

I understand this to be a commitment to movement in a positive **direction**, not a commitment to **achieve** zero waste. I don't even know if zero waste is achievable; I **do** know that we can do much better than we are doing currently.

Putting most of our waste into a landfill seems a bad idea to me—bad for the environment, potentially bad for the county's finances and often bad for the people who live near the landfill. I would like to see Washtenaw County recycle and compost a much higher proportion of our waste that doesn't belong in a landfill, and I support the County's making creative and responsible decisions that move us in this direction as quickly as possible.

Jan Wright

Pittsfield Township Resident

Jeff Krcmarik

From: Kurt Koseck <sylvankurt@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 09, 2017 8:54 AM
To: publicworks
Subject: Comment on CO Solid Waste Management Plan

My concern is that this plan is pushing too hard for forced recycling. Our Township gets pressure from some citizens to join the Chelsea Recycling (Western Washtenaw Recycle Center?). The majority of the citizens would be opposed to it. Let market forces determine how I deal with my waste. Don't tax my house to pay for recycling.

I have never seen an Environmental Impact Study on what it costs to recycle. For example, I watch people pull up to the recycle bin, idle their SUVs while they recycle. They waste more energy recycling than what is gained.

Landfills are not a bad thing. We will never eliminate landfills, so let's learn to live with them. They often produce energy through landfill gas.

Thanks for the opportunity to comment.
Kurt Koseck



CITIZEN COMMENT FORM

Completed by Landowner

NAME: Matthew Weaver

ADDRESS: 16950 Cerraige Way
Northville MI 48168

PHONE NUMBER(S): 614.381.2738

EMAIL: matthewnweaver@gmail.com

COUNTY: Wayne

COMMENTS:

- + Committee listened, was patient - Thanks
+ Process is flawed - biased towards business
+ Costs shifted to Northville, Plymouth,
Novi, Wayne and Oakland County.
+ Could send a strong message to Lodi, didn't, Missed opportunity.
+ What plan does the county have regarding financial responsibility? Is it prudent to keep the plan / Arbor Hills even as is if it is known that there is not appropriate funding / financial responsibility.

Follow-up Required? ☐

WC Public Works Representative: _____

Completed by Office of the Water Resources Commissioner



#2

CITIZEN COMMENT FORM

Completed by Landowner

NAME: Shekar Erasala
ADDRESS: 50104 Hidden Point Dr
Northville MI 48168
PHONE NUMBER(S): 248 344 2962
EMAIL: Serasala@gmail.com
COUNTY: Wayne

Completed by Office of the Water Resources Commissioner

COMMENTS:

Resident near the
lane fur.

Follow-up Required? ☐

WC Public Works Representative: _____

From: T. D. [<mailto:trdelapa@gmail.com>]
Sent: Friday, June 09, 2017 2:18 PM
To: publicworks <publicworks@ewashtenaw.org>
Subject: Draft Solid Waste Plan; DTW sustainability

To Washtenaw County officials:

As a resident of the county since 2014, I am writing to strongly urge you to begin instituting more viable and visible sustainability programs to reduce solid waste disposal among residents and businesses, especially via recycling.

In addition, I urge the county to work closely with Wayne County on any draft or potential plans to institute wholesale sustainability and recycling programs at DTW airport. I hope you can forward my comments below to the relevant county officials/staff in this regard.

After a recent flight through DTW, I was shocked and dismayed to find that the neither terminal offers visible recycling opportunities for passengers and workers.

Every major (and most minor) US airports offers a multitude bins for newspapers, plastic bottles, cans, etc., placed next to trash cans. Why doesn't DTW? While the McNamara Terminal is modern and up-to-date in most regards, it is definitely stuck in the wasteful past as far as sustainability goes. I was just last week at Denver's modern DIA airport, and there are convenient recycling bins everywhere (along with a new train to downtown--which also DTW sorely lacks).

If the Detroit area is ever going to truly appeal to world-wide travelers and commuters, especially the young, it must make a wholesale effort to bring its airport up to date, including a variety of viable and visible sustainability options, including recycling and mass transit, as well as wind and solar power networks.

FYI, I've attached a 2013 FAA summary report on recycling at several major U.S. airports. Thank you.

TR Delapa
Ann Arbor

From: Tracy Artley [mailto:artleyt@umich.edu]
Sent: Friday, September 29, 2017 10:59 AM
To: publicworks <publicworks@ewashtenaw.org>
Cc: Andrew Berki <aberki@umich.edu>
Subject: Comment on Draft WC Solid Waste Plan

Hello,

I have the following comment on the draft Washtenaw County Solid Waste Plan. Thank you for your consideration.

a. On pages 37-38, the University of Michigan's waste hauling/collection service is not included. While we only service U-M owned properties, it may still be worth including so that these pages represent the true extent of haulers in the county.

Tracy

Tracy L. Artley, MPH, LEED AP O+M
Program Manager
Waste Reduction & Recycling
Office of Campus Sustainability
University of Michigan
artleyt@umich.edu

From: Wendy Zielen <wzielen@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, August 07, 2017 11:35 PM
To: publicworks
Subject: Comment on Washtenaw County SWP

Thank you for all the hard work developing the Washtenaw County SWP. I have one comment regarding funding. I live at Silver Lake in Dexter Township. Each week at least three trash trucks come down our road since residents can choose from several vendors. Despite the competition, it seems that we still pay a fairly high price. My current bill for Advanced Disposal basic service is \$82.40 per quarter, just up from \$72 per quarter - for the most basic service.

I would be grateful to have one vendor so as to lessen damage to the roads. I also believe that having one vendor would make it more cost effective for the vendor, and for the residents. Please make sure that when it comes time to advocate for moving forward with the plan to remind the subscription customers in the rural areas that although they will have a Special Assessment District fee, they will no longer need to pay their subscriptions fees - a good tradeoff for everyone.

Wendy Zielen
313-799-3639

From: Jan Wright <janwrigh@umich.edu>
Sent: Sunday, August 13, 2017 9:14 PM
To: publicworks
Subject: Comment on County Draft Solid Waste Plan

We strongly support the commitment to move toward zero waste expressed in the Washtenaw County Draft Solid Waste Plan. While we know that putting this commitment into practice will be challenging, we strongly support channeling a significant amount of resources into implementing the County's movement toward zero waste. If there are ways we can be of help, please let us know.

Jan Wright and Jane Pacheco

For the Climate Change & Earth Care Task Force,

Interfaith Council for Peace & Justice

All feedback was received at the public participation sessions held during the two informational meetings held in May and June 2017 and one public hearing held in July 2017.

#1 ACCESS & CONVENIENCE

Brainstorm & notes from informational meetings:

- More drop-off locations, longer hours
- More engagement with pharmacies and doctors for proper prescription drug disposal
- Provide all residents recycle and compost curbside services
- Offer curbside reuse service
- Provide recycle bins in grocery stores
- Provide access to recycling at businesses and local public buildings
- Look into Producer Responsibility Policy
- Provide weekday drop-off events, because people are usually out of town on the weekends

From 7/19/17 Public Hearing:

- Partner with universities to conduct research on increased access and convenience to recycling
- Peer review/best practices: Look to other successful communities such as Farmington Hills
- Simple Recycling
- Provide curbside access to ALL county residents
- Promote bi-weekly trash, and weekly recycle and compost pick-up
- Provide recycling outlets in parking lots outside of grocery stores
- Look to ubiquitous private industry (example: Amazon and similar generators) for reuse and recycling projects and opportunities

#2 MORE DIVERSION AND RECOVERY, LESS DISPOSAL

Brainstorm & notes from informational meetings:

- Increase frequency of drop-off events
- Provide more robust compost education regarding food waste
- Provide curbside compost collection for food waste
- Increase community outreach, be more direct! Requires multiple stakeholder engagement
- Discussing and educating about the economics of trash and waste
- Provide regionalized access to recycling opportunities
- Work with county health inspectors to include restaurant education for recycling and composting

From 7/19/17 Public Hearing:

- Adopt Pay-As-You-Throw policy county-wide
- Use long-term economic data to motivate behavior
- Return/Reuse policies or programs (Example: with Amazon warehouses, etc.)
- Ordinances with food waste reduction goals for restaurants, requiring certain generators to send food waste to landfill
- Work with food banks to address the problem of wasted food due to expiration dates

#3 EDUCATION AND

OUTREACH

Brainstorm & notes from informational meetings:

- Collaborative funding opportunities, to help create consistency for program education
- Increase the number of community campaigns
- More youth recycling and waste education
- Create incentives and competition to participate (example: Commuter Challenge)
- Use libraries and other public areas for educational outreach
- Establish priorities of target people to educate to, and utilize “train the trainer” concept to provide training to educational leaders in the community (teachers, municipal settings, businesses, etc.)
- Create a Washtenaw County recycles Mascot, for branding purposes
- Utilize social media (3x) and video clips more, to increase reach
- Do more direct mailing
- Increased education at the drop-off site locations needed
- Mail and email educational newsletters
- Educate larger groups in the community (senior living communities, workplaces, gyms, farmers markets, community centers, religious meeting locations) to maximize number of people reached

From 7/19/17 Public Hearing:

- Set example! (especially in schools)
- Share success stories, appeal to a professional and emotional level from resident testimonies; Use personal stories to motivate the “let’s all work together” mindset
- Utilize billboards (example: Bank of Ann Arbor’s catchy and attention-grabbing ads)

#4 DATA AND MEASUREMENT

Brainstorm & notes from informational meetings:

- Data should drive decisions
- Set short term goals. When implementing the Plan, include “milestone goals” (annually or every other year) to assess progress, and to provide a process for re-evaluating specific steps needed to achieve the long-term goal of zero waste
- Encourage municipalities and businesses to include reporting requirements in their recycle and garbage collection contracts. Report those volumes back to County for data entry.
- Assess cost (per person, per family) for what people throughout the county are paying for waste services. Use that data to encourage and assist with single-hauler contracts

From 7/19/17 Public Hearing:

- Use the “Citizen Scientist” model
- Use chip readers on recycling and waste carts, require reporting back
- Conduct more waste audits to assess how much recyclable materials are actually found in the trash stream. Utilize MDEQ (Emily Freeman) model (methods, resources, etc.) to conduct waste audits
- Collect ALL data, in and out of County, for full picture
- More monitoring for recycling contamination; Use ordinance to track levels of contamination, use enforcement force for possible ticket system to incentivize resident and business disposal behaviors (see other model communities that have adopted similar strategy)

#5 FUNDING

Brainstorm & notes from informational meetings:

- Calculate cost-risk analysis for recycling and compost – the community needs to be informed
- Adopt “pay as you throw” to incentivize residents to recycle, because they’d be required to pay for their trash (Example: Chelsea, MI)
- Provide a tax credit for recycling
- Pursue and adopt product stewardship initiatives to shift cost to producers from local units of government
- Increase repair and reuse opportunities for saving money
- Create a “go fund me” account for Washtenaw county recycling
- Analyze how costs affects participation for compost at homes and businesses
- Philanthropy: Partner with foundations offering grants and funding opportunities for recycling and waste reduction programs
- Advertising for cost discounts/prizes for recycling participation

From 7/19/17 Public Hearing:

- Calculate/conduct research to find the “TRUE COST” of sending waste to landfill. True cost meaning the long-term (100, 200+ years) cost of landfills, compared to resource recovery. Too often the short-term immediate cost is only considered, when the long-term costs ought to be assessed. (MDEQ). Data is needed to assess the long term economic impact of recycling/compost versus trash.
- More monies available for recycling can be funneled into market development, to create local end-markets for material recovery.
- Incentivize residents to recycle/compost before landfilling
- Publically address the low tipping fee issue in Michigan. This ties back to long-term costs versus short-term/immediate costs of landfilling.
- Legislative action and lobbying will be needed to address tipping fee issue

#6 COORDINATION AND

COLLABORATION

Brainstorm & notes from informational meetings:

- Partner with public locations such as libraries, community centers, schools (including K-12, colleges and universities) local chambers of commerce, churches and more
- Connect waste generators with local disposal outlets (example: farms and compost sites)
- Work with local organizations such as Environmental Commissioners (Ann Arbor, Saline) and Washtenaw Food Policy Council
- Facilitate field trips with local schools for recycling education; students will transfer their education to home and parents

From 7/19/17 Public Hearing:

- Work with WWRA, A2 transfer station and others to conduct periodic waste audits (MDEQ/Emily Freeman)
- Encourage and present information to LUGs to get on board with Solid Waste Plan goals; important to engage at the community level
- STANDARDIZE*** recycle and compost system across the county, to be consistent throughout. Coordinating with LUGs to achieve this would create informational consistency and reduce confusion and promote participation. This would require more robust (but consistent) educational information to standardize the info.
- Important to work with C7D waste generators and to direct to recovery options
- Use “Welcome Wagon” and local realtors who can pass along recycling education to clientele. Also MFUs.

Received from Bryan Weinert on 7/26/17

Hi Noelle. I remember hearing a while ago about the County's discussions about possibly creating an office supply warehouse (esp. high recycled content and eco-friendly paper and related products) that could serve the county, local units of government and non-profits in the county. Has that idea gained any traction?

I recently came across information about a non-profit in Arizona that sells office supplies and recycles/refurbishes toner cartridges employing folks with mental disabilities, thereby serving that important social need while also being eco-friendly. They are called Quality Connections and their website is at qualityconnections.org.

Might Washtenaw County look at something similar? Create a non-profit or quasi-governmental entity providing similar services to Washtenaw County customers that provides employment and maximum eco-friendly products that can be bought in large quantities and distributed locally? And like Quality Connections, we could target a population in need of employment support. Recent immigrants/refugees come to mind for me, as a growing local need.

Please let me know what you think.

Thanks.

Bryan



RICK SNYDER
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MICHIGAN
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
LANSING



C. HEIDI GRETHUR
DIRECTOR

September 22, 2017

Mr. Jeff Krcmarik R.S., Environmental Program Coordinator
Washtenaw County Water Resources Commissioner's Office
P.O. Box 8645
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48107-8645

Dear Mr. Krcmarik:

SUBJECT: Washtenaw County Solid Waste Management Plan Amendment

The Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) received and reviewed the documentation provided by you for the draft Washtenaw County Solid Waste Management Plan (Plan) Amendment 90-day public comment period ending July 20, 2017. Comments will be addressed in the same order as the topics appear in the Plan Amendment.

Page 15, SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL AREAS, Facility Descriptions section, the Amendment should identify all of the facilities that the County intended to use during this planning cycle; however, none of the landfills that the County intended to use for capacity purposes that are located outside of the County were included. Specifically, Carleton Farms Landfill, Sauk Trail Hills Landfill, and Woodland Meadows RDF identified on page 14 of the Amendment and their letters indicating that they would accept waste from Washtenaw found in the Appendix D, Listed Capacity section. Therefore, please provide a facility description sheet for each of these landfills in the final version.

An agreement between the County and Advanced Disposal (Agreement) is referenced throughout the Plan Amendment; while the Plan Amendment states that the Agreement is available on the County's website, a copy of the pertinent pages of the Agreement should be included in the Plan Amendment. Further, any portions of the Agreement that controls the location, development, or operation of the landfill must be included in the Plan Amendment to ensure enforceability of the Agreement.

Pages 16-36, SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL AREAS, Facility Descriptions, each of the facility descriptions state that a map is included in the Attachment Section found on page D-5; however, the term "Attachment" should be "Appendix" and Appendix D is not separated into subsections. Therefore, please either correct each of the

Page 53, THE SELECTED SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM, Funding Section, second sentence mentions page 119, however, the funding information is on pages 119 through 120.

Page 57, IMPORT/EXPORT AUTHORIZATIONS, Intercounty Transport of Solid Waste section, second paragraph states, "The City of Milan, including the portion included in Monroe County, is authorized for disposal in Washtenaw County." It is not clear as to why you specifically call identify the portion of the City of Milan that is located in Monroe County; however, Monroe County is authorized for waste imports and exports already per the tables in the Plan Amendment.

Page 58, IMPORT/EXPORT AUTHORIZATIONS, Authorized Conditions for Waste Imports, this section references a total 5-year limitation of 17.5 million gate-cubic yards from all sources in any consecutive 5-year period and not more than 4.5 million gate cubic yards from all sources in any one calendar year; however, it should be noted that if you total up the authorized quantities in Table 13. Authorized Imports of Solid Waste found on page 57 it is 8.95 million cubic yards. The implementation of this level of discrepancy could be very difficult. It appears that the Plan Amendment identifies the volume restrictions identified in the other county solid waste management plans from the reciprocating counties. The County may want to determine its own volume restrictions that would add up to the total limitation so that the limitations equal the total annual and 5-year volume limitations.

Please note that the long-term agreement referenced in the Plan Amendment between the County and Advanced Disposal should be completed prior to the Plan Amendment being finalized and approved by the Solid Waste Management Planning Committee. Further, any section within the agreement that controls the location, development or operation the landfill must be included in the Plan Amendment.

Page 63, THE SELECTED SOLID WASTE SYSTEM, Solid Waste Disposal Areas, Facility Name section does not include the McGill Road Type II Landfill as a facility that the County intended to use for capacity purposes; however, on there is a letter from this facility in Appendix D under the Listed Capacity section. If the County intended to use this facility for capacity then please include this facility in this list and include a facility description where appropriate in the Plan Amendment.

Additionally, the Plan Amendment identifies Carleton Farms Landfill, Sauk Trail Hills Landfill and the Woodland Meadows RDF as facilities the County intended to use for capacity purposes; however, a facility description page was not included in the Plan Amendment for any of these facilities. Therefore, please include a facility description for each of these landfills where appropriate in the Plan Amendment.

Page 79, THE SELECTED SOLID WASTE SYSTEM, Timetable for Selected System Implementation section, first bulleted item last sentence references that the options for funding to support the plan implementation is outlined in Appendix A on page 119; however, these options are found on pages 119 through 120. Therefore, this sentence should add this as appropriate.

Pages 80-99, THE SELECTED SOLID WASTE SYSTEM, Siting Review Procedures section, it appears that the siting process as outlined in the Plan Amendment is combining several different processes; specifically, the siting of authorized facilities and the potential for an “unauthorized facility” to request for a Plan Amendment. The Plan Amendment process is outlined in statute and cannot be outlined in a county solid waste management plan. Further, it appears that the County intended to allow for the siting of processing and transfer stations so long as they followed the process and met the siting criteria and no other types of disposal areas are authorized to follow the process. It is recommended to revise the siting process to clarify that only the authorized solid waste disposal areas can follow the process and to clearly identify what that process would include, which party is responsible for reviewing and determining consistency, and what the criteria are. Any reference to a process to amend the plan should be removed from this portion of the Plan Amendment.

Page 147, APPENDIX D, Special Conditions, this page does not clearly identify if there are any special conditions, therefore, this section should either identify that “There are no special conditions affecting the import or export of solid waste.” or include the special conditions affecting the import or export of solid waste on this page.

Page 152, APPENDIX D, Host Community Agreement, the Plan Amendment currently only has a copy of the June 4, 1992 agreement. Please make sure to include the revised Agreement that is referenced throughout the Plan Amendment.

If you have any questions or comments, please contact me at the telephone number below; via e-mail at millerc1@michigan.gov; or at DEQ, P.O. Box 30241, Lansing, Michigan 48909-7741.

Sincerely,



Christina Miller
Sustainable Materials Management Unit
Solid Waste Section
Waste Management and
Radiological Protection Division
517-614-7426

cc: Mr. Jeff Spencer, DEQ
Washtenaw County File

Comments Received from Washtenaw County Board of Public Works (BPW) – Compiled

This document is a compilation of the comments from the Washtenaw County Board of Public Works.

Overview:

- Questions/comments mainly focus on:
 - Format of the plan document, leading to not being able to locate information or not understanding the flow of the document
 - Grammatical and formatting corrections
 - Goals that are too broad and not specific
 - Roles and responsibilities
 - Data sources
 - Suggestions, priorities and comments that are suited for when the Plan is implemented.

Comments regarding SWMP Amendment Draft – from BPW

- The aspirational approach in the document is gratifying, especially moving beyond the minimum state requirements. In general, the document provides little objective data, economic or policy analysis that supports or provides a clear rationale for the goals and objectives.
- How to read this Document
 - What is the basis for the last sentence in the first paragraph. Where is the "mindset" found or supported? Is it a policy put forth by the County Commissioners? At present, it is an supported assertion.
- Executive Summary
 - Where were and how were the progressive goals set forth? Are they laid out in an appendix to this document? Or is the public to take them as an article of faith?
- Executive summary conclusions
 - The guiding principles are "identified" or "are outlined below and to be implemented with the adoption of this plan"?
- Goal 1
 - Define "aggressive program" throughout the document. Do we mean "voluntary", imposed by county or local government ordinance or of the government regulation?
 - Objective 2- I object to the implementation of any regulatory approach that requires funding/taxation unless it approved by ballot.
- Goal 2
 - Who is doing the heavy lifting in this section? Objective 2c 1 who is to "work" with local governments.
- Goal 4
 - Define "Ensure" and who will be doing the Ensuring,
- Goal 5
 - Objective 1 Who? And what authority will "who" have.
 - Objective 8 means? mileages, property taxes, use fees, manna from heaven?
- Data Base
 - The data underlying the analysis seems to be mostly heuristic. What was the basis and method Used to do the estimates. i.e rough numbers multiplied by population with

linear growth. What is the reasonable precision of these estimates. What has prior history shown will using these techniques. This applies to all the estimates.

- Solid Waste Management Alternatives
 - Why was the landfill summarily dismissed? You haven't justified the public policy or demonstrated the economic superiority of any of the other alternatives.
- Where is the economic justification for all of the programs outlined?
- The Plan mentions "lots of regional landfill capacity...very accessible and low costs" (p. 108), "sufficient reserves of landfill capacity" (p. 39), "landfill disposal rates in Michigan continue to be some of the lowest...little or no economic incentive" (p. 39). Why not use this capacity rather than spend money on other programs?
- Where are the facts and figures for dollar amounts, fees, special assessments, surcharges?
- The "Costs & Funding" on p. 119 look like taxes. Does the term "tax" appear in this document?
- Advanced Disposal pays fees to the DPW (p. 119). Where do they get their money?
- Appendix A, p. 123 says, "Avoided landfill disposal costs of diverted materials can be substantial." This is a big issue that took 123 pages to get to. Trash is expensive. How much does it cost to landfill vs. recycle or compost?
- A few years ago when the Western Washtenaw Recycling Center was expanded (through a Special Assessment District), recycled material commodity prices were much higher and the Center was making money. Now prices are lower. UM has stopped recycling glass, suggesting it be placed in ordinary trash. Does, and will, recycling pay for itself?
- Goals and Objectives (p. 4): Need more meat/numbers/metrics (dates, interim goals, percentages, dollars, tons, etc.) in establishing "realistic and measurable goals". How do we measure "working towards zero waste"? How/when will we know if these programs have been successful? If still no data or measurable positive progress after 5 years, do we just increase spending? Abandon program? Can we establish some quantifiable targets in this document? Having one of the Objectives for each Goal to establish goals is convoluted logic. Why should we support all these programs with no upfront metrics?
- Many, many small comments in red. Will send scan of markups on Wednesday, Need consistent use of terminology, formatting tables and lists, capitalizing "County", Oxford comma, use of numbers (ninety days vs. 90 days vs. ninety (90) days), hyphenated terms, citizens (lots of people in the County are not citizens) vs. residents vs. public, etc.
- Put Facility Descriptions (p. 16-36) in Appendix. 20+ pages of details this close to front of document kills interest in reading.
- Data sources are unknown or inconsistent and from different time periods.
- Data units in facility descriptions should be consistent (use of cu. yards or tons).
- The education and outreach discussion and narrative in the executive summary should specifically mention the need to educate to decrease contamination at roll offs. This is a big issue and the plan doesn't address it.
- Page 5: objective 2. Need to be more specific. Need to talk more about collaboration, need to define responsibility and roles.
- Page 5 objective 3: need to add section for education. Also strengthen language on objective 6. As written it is weak and vague.
- Page 10/11/Table 3: what model was used for those communities who didn't self report? Which communities self reported? The table does not tell us this.
- Table 3 page 11: need to add % diverted column.
- Page 19. City of AA biodigester study is complete.

- Page 39: consider adding requirements to contract language to measure tonnage.
- Page 39: consider adding a survey to determine participation in programs.
- Page 40 (item 5). The last sentence should read “incentives for recycling is addressed in Goal 2 (not goal 1).
- Page 40 Item 6 should also include illegal dumping in recycling drop off bins.
- Page 43. Data source is old.
- Page 65. “Conservation” is spelled wrong.
- Be more specific about roles and responsibilities. It is not Washtenaw County, but the different boards and staff that own responsibilities.
- Reduction and reuse are not given enough emphasis as strategies.
 - Curbside pick-up of reuseables
 - Maintain web site list of resale shops like Kiwanis, AAUU book sale, PTO Thrift Shop
 - Lobby for extension of the bottle bill to cover all bottles for drinks
 - Encourage use of reuseable bags at stores
 - Promote less use of paper in medical records
 - Develop personal take-out containers, customers bring their own
 - Modify packing or wrapping to make it reuseable or recyclable
- Regarding draft goals:
 - Encourage efficient and economical use of resources
 - Reduce waste and pollution
 - Consider long term effects of choices of utilization of resources
 - Make goals realistic
 - Annual review of goals is unrealistic; consider 2 or 5 year span of time
 - Zero waste reduction is unrealistic; consider an improvement of x% per 2-5 year period.
- Regarding evaluation of problems and deficiencies:
 - Must make recycling easy for people – single-stream and curbside.
 - Information how to sort garbage from recycling.
 - Understanding of costs to individuals, government.
 - Consider social justice issues.
- Regarding solid waste collection services and transportation infrastructure: encourage preferred hauler model; emphasize road benefits; work with WCRC.
- Regarding local ordinances and regulations: what about single-hauler contracts and requiring recyclables to be taken to local recycling MRFs rather than out of county?
- Regarding stakeholders
 - Municipal Governments: consider solid waste issues in site plan reviews to facilitate collection of recyclables
 - Waste Generators: calculate methods to reduce solid waste by their customers in how they use their products.

A green garbage truck is shown from a low angle, with its hydraulic arm lifting a blue recycling bin. The truck is green with white and red reflective stripes. The background is filled with lush green trees. A semi-transparent red banner is overlaid on the left side of the image, containing the title text.

APPENDIX D: ATTACHMENTS

- Plan Implementation Strategy
- Resolutions (None)
- Listed Capacity
- Map of Disposal Areas
- Intercounty Agreements (None)
- Special Conditions (None)
- Other Attachments:
 - Overview of Solid Waste Planning Process
 - Glossary of Terms
 - Summary of Public Feedback Survey
 - Host Community Agreement

PLAN IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGY

The following discusses how the County intends to implement the Plan and provides documentation of acceptance of responsibilities that will be performing as part of its role in the Plan.

The adoption of this Plan by the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners is intended as a demonstration of the County's acceptance of responsibilities for implementing the Plan with roles and responsibilities as described in the Selected Management Section pgs. 57-106.

RESOLUTIONS

The following are resolutions from the County Board of Commissioners approving municipality's request to be included in an adjacent County's Plan.

No requests were made.

LISTED CAPACITY

Documentation from landfills that the County has access to their listed capacity.



WASTE MANAGEMENT

Woodland Meadows RDF
5900 Hannan Rd.
Wayne, Michigan 48184
(734) 326-0993
(734) 326-9245 Fax

October 17, 2016

Jeff Krcmarik
Environmental Program Coordinator
Office of the Washtenaw County Water Resource Commissioner
705 N Zeeb Rd, Ann Arbor, MI 48103

Re: Washtenaw County Solid Waste Plan Amendment
Woodland Meadows RDF – Van Buren

Dear Mr. Krcmarik,

This communication is provided by Waste Management of Michigan, Inc. as documentation that Washtenaw County has access to landfill capacity at the Woodland Meadows RDF – Van Buren Type II landfill.

Sincerely,

Jack Rowe
Senior District Manager

From everyday collection to environmental protection, Think Green® Think Waste Management.

From: Roth, Melissa [<mailto:MRoth@republicservices.com>]

Sent: Monday, April 17, 2017 2:26 PM

To: Jeff Krcmarik

Subject: RE: Republic Services

Jeff,

Republic Services – Carleton Farms Landfill and Sauk Trail Hills can accept MSW from Washtenaw County. If you need anything else please let me know.

Melissa Roth

Manufacturing and Environmental Services Executive

5011 S. Lilley Rd.

Canton, MI 48188

e MRoth@RepublicServices.com

o 734-348-5140

f 480-718-4360 w www.republicservices.com



We'll handle it from here.™



From: Jeff Krcmarik [<mailto:krcmarij@ewashtenaw.org>]

Sent: Thursday, April 13, 2017 1:33 PM

To: Roth, Melissa

Subject: Republic Services

Hi Melissa

Your name was given to me from one of our staff members to send this letter in regards to amending our solid waste plan.

Please call or respond by e-mail if you have questions.

Thanks,

Jeff Krcmarik R.S.

Environmental Program Coordinator

Ph-734-222-6865

Washtenaw County Water Resources Commissioner's Office

705 N. Zeeb Rd., P.O. Box 8645, Ann Arbor, MI 48107-8645

P (734)222-3827 - F (734) 222-6803

How did we do?

Please take a moment to complete an online evaluation

<https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/WCWRCsurvey>

Visit us [online](#) or follow the Water Resources Commissioner's Office on [Facebook](#).

Flooding or drainage concerns? [Report your problem online.](#)

MAPS

Maps showing locations of solid waste disposal facilities used by the County.

Figure 10. Facility locations within Washtenaw County





Figure 11. Regional Snapshot of Facilities that Can Accept County Waste, Recyclables, Compostables



INTERCOUNTY AGREEMENTS

Copies of Inter-County Agreements with other Counties (if any).

There are no Inter-County Agreements.

SPECIAL CONDITIONS

Special conditions affecting the import or export of solid waste.

There are no special conditions affecting the import or export of solid waste.

OTHER ATTACHMENTS

OVERVIEW OF PLANNING PROCESS (2015-2017)

The Washtenaw County Solid Waste Planning Committee (SWPC) was convened in August 2015 to prepare a solid waste management Plan amendment in response to a request to expand the Arbor Hills Landfill. In September 2016, the Arbor Hills Landfill withdrew its option to request an expansion; however, by opening the Plan, the County continued to be committed to the update of outdated sections of the County's 1999 Plan.

During the time the SWPC was convened, the committee was presented with feedback from the public about the current and future impacts of the landfill. Where appropriate, the Planning process incorporated concerns and considerations into the Plan amendment including restating that landfills are an unauthorized disposal area, and amending the siting review process to include additional requirements regarding relationships with facilities and the surrounding communities. The following summarizes the primary issues raised during the Planning process that the committee was cognizant of:

- Landfill area population has changed. When the Arbor Hills Landfill first began operations, much of Northville Charter Township was largely undeveloped. The mostly rural area included Wayne County's specialized tuberculosis care facilities, women's incarceration sites, prison work farms, etc. However, as Wayne County closed and sold these properties, densely-populated residential neighborhoods and schools were constructed.
- Landfill operations can affect the community's quality of life. The large landfill operations create problems with truck traffic, noise, dust, and odors. Residents, school administrators, and public park users reported that there are several days each month that people are unable to remain outside their homes and have cancelled outdoor recess and sporting events due to strong landfill odors.
- Public health concerns. Residents are worried about the potential exposures to toxic chemicals from an active landfill affecting themselves at-home and to children from the region attending local schools and park events. Residential subdivisions now exist as close as 1,000 feet to the current landfill and an elementary school with over 500 students is located within 2,000 feet. Athletic fields and community gardens are also nearby.
- The Solid Waste Planning Committee recognizes that future actions at Arbor Hills Landfill have the potential to incur benefits or setbacks for four key entities: Salem Township, Washtenaw County, Advanced Disposal, and Northville Township. Looking ahead, the SWPC offers the following observations which are also reflected in the goals and objectives of the Plan amendment.
- Regional collaboration is important as waste transcends government boundaries.
- Funding for programs should be diversified and anticipate the eventual closure of the landfill in the county.
- Facilities and their owners can and should strive to be good neighbors.

GLOSSARY OF TERMS

Composting: mixture of decayed or decaying organic matter used to fertilize soil such as leaves, grass clippings, brush, and food waste.

Drop-Off Site: a method of collecting recyclable or compostable materials in which the materials are taken by individuals to collection sites and deposited into designated containers.

End Markets: mills, manufacturers and other facilities, which acquire recyclable materials for conversion to new products or raw materials.

Environmental Management Systems: a set of processes and practices that enable an organization to reduce its environmental impacts and increase its operating efficiency.

Hazardous Waste: waste material that may pose a threat to human health or the environment, the disposal and handling of which is regulated by federal law.

Materials Recovery Facility (MRF): any type of facility used for separating, sorting or processing waste in order to segregate materials with value (e.g. aluminum, glass, plastics). The type of processing conducted at a MRF can range widely from buildings in which recyclables are sorted primarily by hand, to mechanical facilities that attempt to recover recyclables from mixed solid waste (sometimes called a "dirty MRF").

Municipal Solid Waste (MSW): solid waste and recyclables resulting from the operation of residential, commercial, industrial, governmental, or institutional establishments that would normally be collected, processed, and disposed of through a public or private solid waste management service. Municipal solid waste does not include hazardous waste, sludge, industrial waste managed in a solid waste management facility owned and operated by the generator of the industrial waste for management of that waste, or solid waste from mining or agricultural operations.

Non-recoverable refuse: portion of the waste stream that is not able to be recovered through current waste diversion or recycling practices and therefore must be disposed.

Organics: means material containing carbon compounds and typically originating from plant or animal sources, which may be degraded by other living organisms.

- Food waste: any food that is grown and produced for human consumption but ultimately is not eaten.
- Yard waste: grass clippings, yard vegetation, sod without dirt, and leaves. The State of Michigan prohibits yard waste from being disposed of in the landfill.

Pollution Prevention: a practice that reduces, eliminates, or prevents pollution at its source. Pollution prevention approaches can be applied to all potential and actual pollution-generating activities.

Recycling: the systematic collection, sorting, decontaminating, and returning of waste materials to commerce as commodities for use or exchange. Recycling also means to use, reuse, or reclaim a material. It does not include incineration.

Reuse: reutilization of a material in an environmentally sound manner that will not result in a hazard to human health or the environment.

Source Reduction: the practice of minimizing waste through responsible product design, production, purchasing and consumerism, to reduce or prevent the generation of waste.

Sustainable Materials Management: systematic approach to using and reusing materials more productively over their life cycle, from the point of resource creation to material disposal.

Transfer Station: any storage or collection facility which is operated as a relay point for solid waste which ultimately is to be transferred to a central solid waste management facility.

Type II Landfill: an on-land disposal facility designed and operated to accommodate general types of solid waste, such as garbage and rubbish, but not hazardous waste.

Type III Landfill: an on-land disposal facility designed and operated to accommodate large volumes of certain solid waste that has minimal potential for groundwater contamination.

Waste Generation: the amount (weight, volume, or percentage of the overall waste stream) of materials and products entering the waste stream before materials recovery, composting, or combustion takes place.

Zero Waste: Zero Waste is a goal that is ethical, economical, efficient and visionary, to guide people in changing their lifestyles and practices to emulate sustainable natural cycles, where all discarded materials are designed to become resources for others to use. Zero Waste means designing and managing products and processes to systematically avoid and eliminate the volume and toxicity of waste and materials, conserve and recover all resources, and not burn or bury them. Implementing Zero Waste will eliminate all discharges to land, water or air that are a threat to planetary, human, animal or plant health.

SUMMARY OF WASHTENAW COUNTY PUBLIC FEEDBACK SURVEY FORM RESULTS

Public Engagement and Information Gathering as Part of the County's Plan Amendment Process
October 2016

OVERVIEW

As part of Washtenaw County's Plan Amendment process, a feedback survey form was developed to provide the public the opportunity to engage in the process.

The questions were developed to understand the types of services being utilized by county residents, what services are important and how they rank, and what services should be considered in the future. This information from users of programs within the county is crucial to the development of future goals and objectives for the Plan Amendment while also providing a baseline of current activities.


The form launched in April 2016 and remained open until October 2016, during which time 372 responses were collected.

The form was available on the county website, promoted through social media, postcards distributed to participants at county events, and distributed directly to some county residents through existing community communications. The following is a summary of the questions and responses.

DEMOGRAPHIC QUESTIONS

- Age Groups of Responses
 - Half of the respondents were between the ages of 45 - 64
 - Another quarter of the respondents were 35-44.
 - There were very few responses from ages 34 and under.
- 94% of respondents are home owners
- Of the 372 responses, 217 provided information about where they reside (see chart).

QUESTION #1



Please tell us what you think of the following programs, services or activities?

- Curbside recycling
- Drop-off Recycling
- Trash Collection – curbside
- Trash Collection Transfer Station
- Yard Waste Collection
- Home Toxics
- County Clean- up Days
- Recycle Ann Arbor
- Reuse Center
- Western Washtenaw Recycling Authority (WWRA)
- Recovery Yard
- Pharmaceutical Take Back

Q#1 RESPONSES:

- All programs listed received an average rating of “good” or better.
- Curbside recycling, curbside trash, trash transfer collection, and WWRA received “excellent” feedback
- Fewer responses overall for County programs, however responses were mostly “excellent” ratings

QUESTION #2

How often do you use these services?

- Weekly
- Every other week
- Monthly
- Twice per year
- Yearly
- Never

Q#2 RESPONSES

- Weekly: Curbside recycling, trash collection, and yard waste collection are used by most respondents on a weekly basis
- Monthly: most frequent response was yard waste and RAA Reuse Center
- Twice a year: RAA Reuse Center, County Clean-up Days, Pharm. Take Back
- Once per year: Home Toxics, Drop-off Recycling
- Never: responses in each category. Most responses for Recovery Yard, County Clean-up Days, Pharm Take Back, WWRA

QUESTION #3

Please rank the following services, programs, and activities in Washtenaw County in order of importance to you. The following list provides the rankings from the results.

1. Curbside recycling
2. Curbside trash curbside collection
3. Yard waste collection
4. Drop-off recycling
5. Home Toxics
6. Special, Outreach, and Education Programs
7. Pharm. Take Back
8. RAA Reuse Center
9. County Clean-up Days
10. Recovery Yard

QUESTION #4

Which of the following are most important to you?

- More opportunities to recycle
- Food waste reduction/collection
- More drop-off locations
- Recycling in schools
- Recycling businesses
- Litter prevention
- Packaging waste recycling
- Waste reduction
- Reuse options
- Other

Q#4 RESPONSES

- Almost half of respondents selected recycling at businesses and more opportunities for recycling to be important
- Litter prevention and food waste reduction/waste collection programs were deemed important by 1/3 of the respondents
- Least chosen option was more drop-off locations, however this could have been implied under "more opportunities for recycling".
- Responses provided under "Other"
 - Dependable trash service
 - Composting education
 - Vermicomposting
 - Decreasing use of lawn chemicals
 - Better hours for drop-off station
 - Bulky pick-up
 - Year round compost collection
 - Permanent Pharm Waste Drop-off
 - Incentivize recycling
 - Limit impact of landfill
 - No more landfills
 - Landfill odor issues

QUESTION #5

Tell us what other services, programs, and activities you would like to see in Washtenaw County related to trash, recycling, composting, reuse, and reduction.

- Most responses pertained to expanding recycling options
 - Accepting more materials - plastics, polystyrene foam, and electronics
 - Improved access - availability of drop-offs, public spaces and businesses
- Expanded compost collection
 - Year round
 - Commercial locations, community gardens, and communities that lack options for collection and composting.
- More education
 - Students and adults
 - Waste reduction and recycling
 - Impact of trash and landfills
 - Incentives to reduce waste
- Landfill
 - Overall, reduce need for disposal
 - Concerns about expansion of landfill and impacts on community

QUESTIONS ABOUT DROP-OFF CENTER USE

Several questions were asked about the Drop-off Station, located on E. Ellsworth Road in Ann Arbor. This site is important to the diversion and recovery opportunities in the County, and these questions were added to support additional work in the county occurring concurrently to the Plan Amendment process.

- 35% of respondents use the drop-off station located on E. Ellsworth Road in Ann Arbor.
- Use of the site is mostly done on an annual basis. However, 1/3 of the respondents indicated they use the drop-off up to four times per year.
- About half the users travel 6-10 miles to access the site. Another 37% of users travel 5 miles or less.
- Common items brought to the site include polystyrene foam and general waste such as furniture and construction debris. Half the respondents bring electronics.
- The most common response about why citizens choose not to use the site is the services are not required and many users not willing to travel to the site.
- The responses for this question are consistent with other input about the need for accessible and easy to use programs.

OPEN FEEDBACK QUESTION

The final question on the survey was added to allow for general feedback on anything related to solid waste, recycling, reuse, and reduction.

The responses mirrored the feedback provided in Question #5.

TRENDS AND THEMES OF RESPONSES

These trends and themes from the public are consistent with the Solid Waste Planning Committee's evaluation of services and programs identified through a SWOT analysis (identification of Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities, and Threats). This public feedback was used directly in the development of the Plan Amendment Goals and Objectives.

- Convenience is important - curbside services are well received
- Education, promotion, outreach - all needed and requested
- More opportunities to recycle - more materials, more locations, more everything!
- Yard waste and food waste - opportunities to divert more
- Limit impacts of landfill and reduce need

HOST COMMUNITY AGREEMENT

HOST COMMUNITY AGREEMENT

This Host Community Agreement ("Agreement"), dated this 18 day of ^{October}~~September~~, 2017, between the County of Washtenaw, a Michigan County Corporation, with its offices located at 220 N Main, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48107 ("County") and Advanced Disposal Services Arbor Hills Landfill Inc., a Michigan Corporation, located at 10960 W Six Mile Rd., Northville, Michigan 48168 ("Owner"), and states the following:

Recitals

WHEREAS, the Owner owns and operates a certain landfill in Washtenaw County, State of Michigan ("Landfill"); and

WHEREAS, in accordance with the Owner's records and express representations, more Solid Waste generated outside Washtenaw County than within Washtenaw County is currently transported to and disposed of at the Landfill; and

WHEREAS, under Act 451 of 1994, at MCL 324.11538(6), in order for the Landfill to serve the solid waste needs of another county, state or country, the service must be explicitly authorized in the approved Solid Waste Management Plan ("County Plan") of the County; and

WHEREAS, the Owner must comply with the County Plan and agrees herein to comply fully with the County Plan as required by state law; and

WHEREAS, the last update to the County Plan was in 1999 with full and final approvals in 2001; and

WHEREAS, an update to the County Plan is currently under consideration and review and potential approval; and

WHEREAS, the Owner wishes to continue to receive and dispose of Solid Waste at the Landfill which is not generated in the County and the Owner wishes to comply throughout the Term of this Agreement with the County Plan; and

WHEREAS, the Owner and the County are currently bound by the terms and provisions of an "Agreement for Disposal of Solid Waste" dated June 4, 1992, including amendments thereto, ("1992 Agreement"); and

WHEREAS, the County has expressly and fully authorized the Board of Public Works of the County ("BPW") as the entity, acting herein for all purposes with and on behalf of the County, to ensure satisfactory disposal capacity for Solid Waste generated in the County and to enforce, administer and carry out the terms and provisions of this Agreement, including ongoing compliance with the County Plan; and

WHEREAS, the BPW is the entity, as the agent of the County, designated to administer and carry out the terms and provisions of this Agreement. However, this Agreement remains binding for all purposes between the Owner and the County; and

WHEREAS, this Agreement has, among others, an objective of allowing the Owner to receive and dispose of Non-County Solid Waste at the Landfill under the terms and provisions set forth herein and otherwise consistent with the County Plan; and

WHEREAS, this Agreement has, among others, an objective of ensuring that the County and the BPW, pursuant to the law, provide for satisfactory long-term disposal capacity for Solid Waste generated in the County pursuant to the County Plan and wholly consistent with the terms and conditions of the County Plan; and

WHEREAS, the Owner hereby consents to the process of entering into this Agreement and Owner hereby waives any objections, claims or disputes relating to the process and procedures used to develop, execute and implement this Agreement, including the mutual agreement set forth herein to terminate the 1992 Agreement; and

WHEREAS, the Owner and the County both agree to reconcile all payments due to the County pursuant to the 1992 Agreement up to and including the day before this Agreement becomes effective and the 1992 Agreement is terminated as set forth herein; and

WHEREAS, the Owner and the County, in the form of consideration, by voluntarily and mutually terminating the 1992 Agreement, both wish to waive and release any and all claims relating to or arising from the 1992 Agreement in favor of this Agreement and in favor of an accurate reconciliation of monies owed to the County/BPW up to the termination date and calculated fully under the terms and conditions of the 1992 Agreement.

NOW, THEREFORE, the parties do hereby agree as follows with adequate consideration acknowledged and accepted by the parties hereto:

I. DEFINITIONS

1. "Agreement" shall mean this Solid Waste Disposal Agreement dated ^{October}~~September~~ 18, 2017, including all exhibits attached hereto and incorporated fully by reference herein.
2. "Beneficial Reuse Materials" shall mean contaminated soils, foundry sands, shredder fluffs, or other high volume industrial waste that is brought to the Landfill for any reason and then beneficially reused in construction and/or operation of the Landfill.
3. "Board of Public Works" (BPW) shall mean the designated planning agency for the County of Washtenaw and which is expressly authorized by the County to carry out the goals and objections of the County Plan and to fully administer the terms and provisions of this Agreement.
4. "Conversion Factor", to the extent applicable to interpret this Agreement, shall mean a multiple of 3.3 if converting between cubic gate yards and tons.
5. "County" shall mean the County of Washtenaw, its employees, elected officials, agencies, boards, commissions, committees, appointees, affiliates, subsidiaries and agents of any kind.
6. "County Solid Waste" shall mean Solid Waste generated in the County of Washtenaw.
7. "Disposal Area" shall have the meaning as set forth at MCL 324.11503(5).

8. "Excluded Waste" shall mean Special Waste, construction and demolition materials, Beneficial Reuse Materials as defined herein, and landfill construction materials of any kind.
9. "Expansion" shall mean any proposed expansion of the Landfill initiated by the Owner which requires an update or modification of the then current County Plan.
10. "Landfill" shall mean the Landfill, as defined at MCL 324.11504(4), and owned by the Owner herein and generally located in Salem Township, County of Washtenaw, State of Michigan, at Six Mile Road, Napier Road, the CSX railroad tracks and the Detroit Edison property. The Landfill is commonly known as "Arbor Hills" and is defined in particular at Exhibit 2, incorporated by reference herein.
11. "Local Unit" means any Township, City, Village or other municipal entity/authority created by statute or interlocal agreement which has a written contract in effect with the BPW as of the date of this Agreement for access to the benefits of this Agreement with respect to the Tipping Fee as set forth herein.
12. "Non-County Solid Waste" shall mean any Solid Waste, as defined herein, not generated in the County of Washtenaw.
13. "Owner" shall mean the lawful owner of the Landfill at the time this Agreement is executed, including all successors and assigns by agreement, merger, acquisition or otherwise.
14. "Solid Waste" shall mean Solid Waste as defined at MCL 324.11506(1) and shall not include Excluded Waste as defined herein.
15. "Special Waste" shall mean non-hazardous waste material consistent with an Owner approved Waste Profile (defined below), which may include treated or de-characterized wastes; petroleum or petroleum products; industrial process wastes; asbestos containing material; chemical containing equipment; demolition debris; incinerator ash; medical waste; off-spec chemicals; sludge; spill cleanup waste; or underground storage tank soil.
16. "Tipping Fee" shall mean the fee which the Owner will charge for the disposal of County Solid Waste at the Landfill. This shall be a complete and maximum fee inclusive of any and all taxes, surcharges, assessments or other costs imposed by any entity, state, local or federal. This Tipping Fee shall be subject to a favored nation's clause as set forth herein.
17. "Ton" shall mean 2,000 pounds.
18. "Waste Profile" shall mean a detailed written physical and chemical description of any Special Waste, including unique characteristics and safety precautions associated therewith ("Waste Profile"). An approved Waste Profile must be updated from time to time to reflect any changes and warrants that all Special Waste delivered to the Landfill shall conform to the Waste Profile.

II. TERM OF AGREEMENT AND VOLUNTARY/MUTUAL TERMINATION OF ORIGINAL AGREEMENT DATED JUNE 4, 1992

- 2.01 Notwithstanding the date this Agreement is fully executed, the Term of this Agreement is from the 1st day after the mutual termination date of the 1992 Agreement for twenty-five (25) years going forward and renewable thereafter for a duration to be established in writing by the parties hereto. The mutual termination date for the 1992 Agreement shall be and is December 31, 2017 and this Agreement shall, therefore, be effective January 1, 2018. Such termination is being made voluntarily and to resolve any and all issues relating to compliance with the 1992 Agreement, except the reconciliation of all payment issues through December 31, 2017.
- 2.02 The 1992 Agreement, including all amendments, modifications, adjustments or oral changes, is fully terminated and held for naught as of December 31, 2017. Owner and County agree to the Termination of the 1992 Agreement as set forth herein and both parties hereby waive, release and hold for naught any claims, suits or demands, known or unknown, relating to the Termination or the Termination of the 1992 Agreement process herein. The Termination shall be viewed, for all fact, legal and equitable arguments, as mutual between the parties and monies owed to the BPW shall be fully reconciled and prorated in accordance with the terms of the 1992 Agreement through the date of the Termination. Aside from the full reconciliation of payments due to BPW through December 31, 2017, the Owner and BPW do hereby waive, forgive and release any further claim, suits or demands relating to or arising from the 1992 Agreement in favor of this Agreement.
- 2.03 This Agreement may only be re-opened as set forth herein or if required by law or by the order of any court.

III. DISPOSAL OF COUNTY SOLID WASTE

- 3.01 Throughout the Term, the Owner shall accept for lawful disposal at the Landfill all County Solid Waste which is delivered by any entity for or on behalf of the County or any Local Unit to the Landfill.
- 3.02 Any entity acting by or on behalf of the County or a Local Unit delivering County Solid Waste for disposal at the Landfill, shall only be charged the Tipping Fee as set forth herein or, if by written contract with a Local Unit, a rate lower than the Tipping Fee provided that such loads of Solid Waste delivered by or on behalf of the County or a Local Unit are not commingled with Solid Waste from outside of the County or Local Unit so that the benefit received by the County and the Local Unit pursuant to this section is solely for the residents of the County. The Tipping Fee shall be paid to the Owner by the entity delivering the Solid Waste to the Landfill.
- 3.03 The Tipping Fee shall be the total maximum fee charged to the County and Local Units. The Tipping Fee shall be adjusted from time to time and shall be, at all times herein, equal to or less than the current lowest rate as contracted by Owner with a Non-County public entity where the exclusive service provided is only the disposal of residential Solid Waste to the Landfill. For purposes of this provision, such Non-County public entity's rates shall be the sum of all fees charged to such entity including, but not limited to, taxes, surcharges and base rate. When fees and surcharges paid by a Non-County public entity are expressed as a percentage, then for purpose of calculating comparable rates charged to

the County, the average of the immediate prior six (6) months of such charges shall be applied under this provision.

Tipping Fee: \$26.89/ton

- 3.04 Notwithstanding the foregoing, all other municipalities not otherwise included in the definition of Local Units or the County, including, but not limited to schools, colleges, federal offices and agencies, shall not be entitled to the same Tipping Fee as charged to the Local Units or the County and may be charged a different rate including additional fees and host community fees as determined by Owner.
- 3.05 Nothing in this Agreement constitutes a promise, pledge, warranty, contract or representation that County Solid Waste, in any amount or on any schedule, will be delivered to the Landfill for disposal during the Term of this Agreement. There should be no expectation of volume or duration on the part of the Owner under the terms of this Agreement.
- 3.06 The Owner may impose a different rate than the Tipping Fee for any Excluded Waste delivered by or on behalf of the County or a Local Unit.
- 3.07 Throughout the Term, the Owner shall not charge any Non-County public entity for the disposal of Non-County Solid Waste which has an effective rate for disposal at the Landfill lower than the Tipping Fee set forth herein without lowering the County and Local Unit's Tipping Fee to an equal amount. However, at Owner's sole discretion, Owner may charge a lesser rate to any Customer for disposal of Excluded Waste.

The purpose of this provision is to ensure that the Tipping Fee is, at all times during the Term, the lowest disposal rate charged by the Owner to any Non-County public entity for Solid Waste disposed of at the Landfill where Owner is only providing disposal services. Such rate shall not apply if Owner is providing any other services in addition to disposal services to the Landfill, such as collection, transportation, processing or recycling. The Owner fully acknowledges the purpose and intent of this provision. The Owner agrees to maintain all information, records, etc., relating to any agreements addressed by this provision. Such information shall be made available to BPW for BPW's inspection at Owner's offices on an annual basis, in order for BPW to analyze whether there is a violation of this provision. Nothing contained here shall require Owner to provide copies of any such documentation to BPW. Any violation of this provision shall result in an immediate adjustment of the Tipping Fee to the lower amount and a reimbursement of the higher fees retroactively back to the established date of the violation.

IV. DISPOSAL OF NON-COUNTY SOLID WASTE

- 4.01 Owner shall Pay to BPW an annual Flat Fee of \$600,000.00 and a 2.5% Capacity Fee as the Capacity Fee is calculated in accordance with Exhibit 1, attached hereto and incorporated by reference herein. The Flat Fee shall be adjusted annually, upward or downward, by the CPI for the prior 12 month period not to exceed a 2.0% increase or decrease annually.

The Owner shall maintain all records necessary to document, calculate and verify the Capacity Fee. The Flat Fee of \$600,000.00 and the 2.5% Capacity Fee shall be paid to BPW on a

prorata quarterly basis. The payments are due within 14 days of the end of each calendar quarter. Owner agrees to meet with BPW within fourteen (14) days of any written request by BPW to discuss the Capacity Fee calculation made by the Owner under this provision.

- 4.02 Notwithstanding the foregoing, a minimum of \$300,000.00 of the 2.5% Capacity Fee paid by the Owner to the County shall be utilized by the County for programs which minimize waste disposal, encourage recycling and which facilitate ongoing facilities to ensure safe and effective waste disposal practices in a manner which promotes the goals, objectives and operations of both the Owner and the County.
- 4.03 This Agreement shall be subject to a re-opener, at the Owner's sole discretion, in any one or more of the following situations only. If exercised, the Owner agrees to contact the County in writing to set forth the basis of the re-opener.
1. A state law is enacted or amended which requires that the Landfill stop receiving for disposal Non-County Solid Waste; or
 2. The Landfill ceases its operations; or
 3. Capacity at the Landfill prevents the receipt for disposal of Non-County Solid Waste; or
 4. Any Expansion as defined herein, is granted; or
 5. Any Expansion as defined herein, is denied; or
 6. There is a change in the law that rescinds or significantly modifies the restrictions on receiving Non-County Solid Waste without the approval of the importing County.
 7. There is a material change in the County Plan that imposes new and increased obligations on the Landfill in such a manner to further regulate or restrict the disposal of Non-County Solid Waste at the Landfill.

V. OWNER OBLIGATIONS

- 5.01 Owner agrees to comply with all rules, regulations and laws, including the County Plan, relating to the permitting, maintenance and operation of the Landfill at all times during the Term and, if notified of a violation, shall diligently pursue necessary actions to bring the Landfill back into compliance.
- 5.02 Upon request, and throughout the Term, the Owner shall provide BPW with copies of any and all Landfill inspection reports conducted by any governmental entity.
- 5.03 Owner agrees that, throughout the Term, Owner shall, at the written request of BPW, provide a written report on the remaining capacity for disposal at the Landfill. The report shall be detailed and fully supported by an expert third party consultant. The report shall be provided within forty-five (45) days of any written request.

- 5.04 Owner certifies that its marketing priorities for Solid Waste disposal throughout the Term will be as follows: First priority - Washtenaw County, Second Priority - Southeast Michigan, Third priority - State of Michigan, Fourth priority - out of state.
- 5.05 The Landfill shall not, receive for disposal, more than two (2) million tons of Solid Waste and Excluded Waste from all sources in any single year and no more than 9.1 million tons of Solid Waste and Excluded Waste over a rolling five (5) consecutive year period during the Term. For calculations made under this provision, Excluded Waste (except for landfill construction materials, alternate daily cover and Beneficial Reuse Materials) shall be included with Solid Waste.
- 5.06 The tonnage restrictions set forth herein may be increased if waste materials are generated in excess of the normal and ordinary course due to a catastrophic event resulting in excess waste materials delivered to the Landfill for disposal. Owner shall notify BPW of such event and the parties shall agree to an appropriate variance in the volume limitations set forth herein in order to accommodate such additional waste materials.
- Any violation of these tonnage restrictions, either annually or over the five (5) year period set forth above, shall result in a stipulated and liquidated penalty in the amount of \$25,000.00 for each violation. Any penalty under this provision shall be paid by the Owner to BPW within sixty (60) days of the end of the calendar year in which the penalty is assessed.
- 5.08 Owner agrees that, throughout the Term, Owner shall establish and maintain a payment bond or irrevocable letter of credit with trigger language that establishes a payment to BPW in any situation where if the Owner does not pay timely the Fixed Fee or Capacity Fee, then if after thirty (30) days' notice Owner fails to cure, BPW may trigger the bond or irrevocable letter of credit and collect the amounts due from the bond or irrevocable letter of credit. The bond or letter of credit shall be in the amount of Two Million (\$2,000,000.00) Dollars and shall be available for review and verification upon request by BPW.

VI. INDEMNITY AND HOLD HARMLESS

- 6.01 The Owner agrees to indemnify, defend and hold harmless the BPW, the County (including its divisions, departments and elected appointed officials) and the Local Units, from and against any and all liabilities, obligations, damages, penalties, potentially responsible party status/allegations, claims, costs, charges, losses and expenses (including without limitation, fees and expenses of attorneys, expert witnesses and other consultants) which may be imposed upon, incurred by or asserted against the BPW, the County or any of the Local Units by reason of any of the following occurring during the Term:
- a) any violation occurring at the Landfill of any federal, state or local statute, regulation, ordinance, permit or license, including any administrative action initiated under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, as amended, regarding the processing, transporting or disposal of County and Non-County Solid Waste at the Landfill pursuant to this Agreement and regarding the Owner's operations or ownership of the Landfill; and

- b) any failure by the Owner to perform its obligations, either implied or express, under this Agreement; and
 - c) any order, directive, suit, claim or demand brought by any government or any entity relating to any contamination caused by the Landfill during the Term, on-site or off-site, wherein there is an allegation that the BPW, the County or any Local Unit is a potentially responsible party ("PRP") as defined under any law, including CERCLA, RCRA or any equivalent law in the State of Michigan; and
 - d) this provision shall apply during the term of this Agreement and shall survive the Term for thirty (30) years; and
 - e) the parties acknowledge and agree that, notwithstanding the rights afforded to the County and BPW pursuant to this Agreement, the County and BPW shall in no event be deemed an owner, operator, generator or transporter in connection with any PRP or other liability that may arise related to the Landfill and Owner's indemnifications obligations shall extend to any such claims should they arise. The County's and BPW's only PRP liability related to the Landfill shall be limited to the materials that the County generated and delivered or arranged to have delivered to the Landfill.
- 6.02 The defense, indemnity and hold harmless obligations under this Agreement shall survive the Termination or expiration of this Agreement by 30 years.
- 6.03 The Owner and the County/BPW agree not to challenge the legality or constitutionality of any provision or term of this Agreement, directly or indirectly, including but not limited to the imposition and payment of the Flat Fee or the Capacity Fee. The Owner agrees that it shall at all times continue to pay the Flat Fee and the Capacity Fee as directed herein and abide by all other terms of this Agreement, including but not limited to the Tipping Fee and capacity commitment requirement, even in the event that any such provision or term is challenged or may be declared illegal by a court of competent jurisdiction in another context.

VII. TERMINATION

- 7.01 Owner may, at its sole option and discretion, terminate this Agreement upon the occurrence of any one (1) or more of the following events: (1) any update or Amendment of the County Plan that effectively restricts the Landfill's right to dispose of Non-County Solid Waste at the Landfill to an extent materially greater than reflected in the update or Amendment of the County Plan, or the County takes other action (or fails to act) with the same effect; (2) if any updates of the County Plan do not recognize the Landfill as consistent with such plan, or the County otherwise takes affirmative action to prevent permitting and licensing of the Landfill or any Expansion; or (3) if, following Owner's good faith efforts to obtain an Expansion, the Landfill ceases to accept Solid Waste for disposal. Upon termination of this Agreement pursuant to this Section, neither party shall be liable to the other for any costs or damages except as otherwise expressly set forth herein.
- 7.02 If this Agreement is terminated because it is no longer required by law and the Landfill continues operations, the existing Capacity Fee payment amount due from Owner to BPW shall continue to be paid for the next six (6) consecutive calendar quarters in the same manner as the Capacity Fee

payments are required herein. The Flat Fee shall terminate immediately upon any termination under this Agreement.

VIII. ASSIGNMENT AND SUBCONTRACTING

- 8.01 The Owner shall not assign or encumber directly, or indirectly, any interest whatsoever in this Agreement, and shall not transfer any interest in this Agreement (whether by assignment or novation), without the prior written consent of the BPW. Any such consent given in any one instance shall not relieve the Owner of its obligation to obtain the prior written consent of the BPW to any further assignment.
- 8.02 The Owner agrees to defend, indemnify and hold the BPW and County harmless from any claims initiated pursuant to any subcontract it enters into in performance of this Agreement.
- 8.03 This Agreement shall inure in all particulars to the benefit of County, the BPW, their agents, successors and assigns.
- 8.04 It is recognized that the BPW or the County may enter into an agreement with each Local Unit conferring the benefits and obligations derived from this Agreement upon such Local Unit. Accordingly, each Local Unit is recognized as a third party beneficiary to this Agreement, with rights to enforce only those provisions contained in Article III hereof.

IX. COMPLIANCE

- 9.01 The Owner shall cooperate fully with all federal, state and local, regulatory agencies relative to inspections required for assuring compliance with all applicable federal, state, and local laws, ordinances, and rules and regulations.
- 9.02 At all times herein, the Owner shall insure that the height of the Landfill remains in full compliance at a height not to exceed that which is allowed pursuant to its then current permit.
- 9.03 Owner hereby represents and warrants that Owner will comply fully and completely with all laws, ordinances, regulations and directives of any local, state or federal agency having any jurisdiction over the Landfill.
- 9.04 Owner agrees to timely provide BPW with a copy of any notice of violation from any governmental entity relating to the Landfill or the operations at the Landfill. Owner agrees to timely provide BPW with a copy of any response to any notice of violation from any governmental entity relating to the Landfill or the operations at the Landfill.
- 9.05 BPW, or its authorized representatives, shall have the right to conduct such inspections as necessary to verify the accuracy of records presented by the Owner as required herein.
- 9.06 Owner hereby agrees to pay BPW a stipulated and liquidated penalty of \$1,000.00 for any notice of violation that is not cured or taken corrective action within sixty (60) days of the notice or such longer time if Owner commences a cure or take corrective action

and diligently pursues to resolve such violation.

- 9.07 Notwithstanding any of the rights and obligations herein, the BPW has the right to initiate a meeting in January of each year during the Term wherein any and all rights and obligations of the parties otherwise set forth herein can be discussed. BPW agrees to provide a written notice of its desire to have such a meeting in the month of December which shall include a written list of the meeting topics and the information requested for review.

X. NO EMPLOYMENT OR LEGAL RELATIONSHIP

- 10.01 Nothing in this Agreement creates any employment relationship between any parties hereto and nothing in this Agreement, beyond the terms herein, creates any partnership, enterprise, venture, company or corporation by or between the parties hereto.

XI. FULLY INTEGRATED AGREEMENT, MICHIGAN LAW AND MUTUAL DRAFTING

- 11.01 This Agreement shall be a fully integrated Agreement and supersedes all prior written agreements and any prior written or oral communications between the parties.
- 11.02 This Agreement shall be construed under Michigan law.
- 11.03 This Agreement shall be deemed to be mutually drafted.

XII. RECORDING OF THE AGREEMENT

- 12.01 Owner and BPW agree that this Agreement, together with its exhibits, may be recorded by BPW against the real property wherein the Landfill is located.

XIII. AMENDMENTS AND FUTURE PLANNING

- 13.01 No amendment to this Agreement shall be effective and binding upon the parties unless it expressly makes reference to this Agreement, is in writing and is signed and acknowledged by duly authorized representatives of both parties and is approved by the Owner, the County Board of Commissioners and the BPW.
- 13.02 In the event that the laws, rules or administrative interpretations governing the disposal of Non-County Solid Waste in the Landfill become less restrictive in any material respect than currently imposed, the County shall include such changes in the County Plan unless such changes are inconsistent with the current laws.
- 13.03 If any County Plan or Update of the County Plan is approved by the County and is not approved by others in accordance with law as required for the County Plan or Update to become effective, the County shall respond in a manner reasonably calculated to secure such approval and to the maximum extent possible, to carry out the provisions of this Agreement.

- 13.04 Notwithstanding anything to the contrary herein, if the County shall, for whatever reason, fail to perform in the manner provided herein, this Agreement shall, upon notice by the Owner be rendered null and void and neither party shall be liable to the other for any costs or damages incurred.
- 13.05 Notwithstanding anything to the contrary herein, in the event that the applicable state law shall be amended to permit waste from one county to be disposed of in another county without authorization or approval by the receiving county, and no other federal, state or local law or regulation makes obtaining such authorization or approval a condition of disposing waste origination in one county in another county, the Owner shall not be required to pay the Flat Fee or Capacity Fee.

XIV. NOTICES

- 14.01 All notices made to another party pursuant to any provision of this Agreement shall be made in writing and mailed by first-class mail as follows:

To the BPW:

Washtenaw County Public Works Division
Attn: Director of Public Works
705 N Zeeb Rd
P.O. Box 8645
Ann Arbor MI 48107-8645

To the Owner:

Advanced Disposal Services Arbor Hills Landfill, Inc.
Attn: District Manager
10690 W Six Mile Rd.,
Northville, MI 48168

With a copy to:

Advanced Disposal Services
Attn: General Counsel
90 Fort Wade Road; Suite 200
Ponte Vedra, FL 32081

XV. MISCELLANEOUS

- 15.01 No failure by either party to insist upon the strict performance of any covenant, agreement, term or condition of this Agreement or to exercise any right, term or remedy consequent upon a breach thereof shall constitute a waiver of any such covenant, agreement, term and condition.
- 15.02 If any provision of this Agreement or the application thereof to any person or circumstance shall to any extent be invalid or unenforceable, the reminder of this Agreement, or the application of such provision to persons or circumstances other than those as to which it is

invalid or unenforceable shall not be affected thereby, and each provision of this Agreement shall be valid and enforceable to the fullest extent permitted by law.

15.03 This Agreement may be executed in any number of counterparts and all of said counterparts taken together shall be deemed to constitute one and the same instrument. Promptly after the execution thereof, the BPW shall submit to the Owner a conformed copy of this Agreement.


15.04 This Agreement constitutes a covenant running with the land described as the Landfill and Expansion, and may be recorded pursuant to Article XII above. Upon request by the County or BPW, the Owner agrees to cooperate and with such recording,

XVI. LEGAL AND CONSULTING FEES

16.01 Upon the execution of this Agreement, Owner shall make the following payments:


- \$7,500.00 payable to Davis Listman PLLC, 10 S. Main Street, Suite 401, Mt. Clemens, Michigan 48043 within 30 days.

WITNESSED:



Deputy Clerk

Attested
LAWRENCE KESTENBAUM
COUNTY CLERK / REGISTER OF DEEDS

WASHTENAW COUNTY


By: 
Chair, Washtenaw County
Board of Commissioners

Dated: 


By: 
Washtenaw County Clerk/Register

Dated: 11/16/17

ADVANCED DISPOSAL SERVICES
ARBOR HILLS LANDFILL, INC.


By: 
Its: Region Vice President

Dated: 10/31/2017


Executive Admin. Assistant


CHIEF DEPUTY WATER RESOURCES COMMISSIONER

CONTENT APPROVAL:

By: 
Washtenaw County Director of
Public Works

Dated: 11/9/17

Michele K. Bellard
Assistant Corporation Counsel

FORMAL APPROVAL:

By: Curtis N. Hedger
Washtenaw County Office of
Corporate Counsel
Dated: 11-15-17

EXHIBIT 1
CAPACITY FEE CALCULATIONS

1. The Capacity Fee, payable for each calendar quarter by the Owner to BPW, shall be two point five percent (2.5%) of the following as calculated for each calendar quarter:
 - (a) the total amount of money received (Gross Gate Revenues on a cash basis) by the Owner in such quarter for the disposal of all Solid Waste in the Landfill (adjusted upward or downward, as appropriate, to correct mathematical errors in the amount reported in prior quarters); minus
 - (b) any amounts that the Owner refunds in such quarter as a result of overcharges made to customers in prior quarters; plus
 - (c) an amount, for disposal of all Wastes at the Landfill in such quarter by the Owner or by companies controlled by, controlling, or under common control with the Owner ("Related Entity"), based on the disposal rate established (i) for such Related Entities in the Owner's internal accounting procedures, or (ii) for other customers of the Landfill for comparable volume and nature of Waste (unless such Related Entity is disposing of Waste collected under an already existing contract with an independent third party and the circumstances of contracting prevent a practical comparability analysis to other customers), whichever is greater; plus
 - (d) an amount, for the disposal of all Waste at the Landfill for which the Owner received no money (except Waste generated in the Township of Salem for which the Owner does not charge any disposal fees and except for disposal given to charitable, public or civic organizations as a donation and for which the Owner receives no consideration), which is equal to the amount of the Owner's standard rate charged other customers for comparable volume and nature of waste; plus
 - (e) an amount equal to the value of other consideration (of whatever nature) that the Owner receives in addition to money for the disposal of Waste at the Landfill in such quarter (to the extent not accounted for under subparagraph (d) above). In the event the Owner and the BPW cannot agree on the value of such other consideration, this amount shall equal the amount the Owner charges other customers for comparable volume and nature of Waste less the amount of money actually received for such disposal; minus
 - (f) an amount that the Owner pays the Township of Salem under a Host Community Agreement between the Owner and the Township of Salem dated as of March 28, 1991 including any and all amendments thereto.

2. The Capacity Fee shall be reduced by:

- (i) Any fees, charges or other payments of any kind (other than real and personal property taxes) payable by the Company to the County or BPW because wastes are being disposed at the Landfill or because of the existence of the Landfill, except to the extent such fees, charges or payment (1) are made as a result of the Company's obligations to the County under the Agreement; or (2) are collected by the County for the benefit of others; and
- (ii) The cost of complying with ordinances (or parts thereof) the County enacts in the future which affect operations of the Landfill but which (1) do not affect other residents of or other businesses operating in the County, or (2) disproportionately affect the Landfill compared to their effect on other residents of or other businesses in the County.

EXHIBIT 2 LANDFILL PROPERTY DESCRIPTION

The Following Parcel Descriptions together compose the Advanced Disposal site located at 10960 W. Six Mile Road Northville, MI 48168:

PIN A -01-13-100-001

LEGAL_DESCRIPTION: *OLD SID - A 01-013-001-00 SM 13-1 E 1/2 OF NE 1/4 SEC 13 T1S-R7E 77.56 AC.

PIN A -01-13-100-003

LEGAL_DESCRIPTION: SM 13-2B (REWRITE 9/88) COM AT NE COR SEC 13, TH N 89-33-00 W 1544.46 FT TO POB TH N 89-33-00 W 200.00 FT, TH S 00-27-00 W 300.00 FT TH S 89-33-00 E 200.00 FT TH N 00-27-00 E 300.00 FT TO POB. PT OF NE 1/4 SEC 13, T1S-R7E 1.38 AC

PIN A -01-13-100-004

LEGAL_DESCRIPTION: *OLD SID - A 01-013-005-00 SM 13-3 W 45 AC OF W1/2 OF NE FRL 1/4 SEC. 13 T1S R7E 45.00 AC.

PIN A -01-13-200-001

LEGAL_DESCRIPTION: *OLD SID - A 01-013-006-00 SM 13-4 E 81.55 AC OF NW FRL 1/4 SEC. 13 T1S R7E 81.55 AC.

PIN A -01-13-300-001

LEGAL_DESCRIPTION: *OLD SID - A 01-013-024-00 SM 13-12A E 1/2 OF SW 1/4 LYING N OF R.R. R.O.W. SEC 13 T1S R7E 30.52 AC.

PIN A -01-13-400-003


LEGAL_DESCRIPTION: SM 13-2A, 3A-1 (9-88) COMBINATION 100-002, 400-001 COM AT NE COR SEC 13, TH N 89-33-00 W 1322.37 FT TO POB, TH S 01-57-37 E 2728.64 FT, TH N 86-30-40 E 1202.90 FT TH S 00-49-00 W 1105.70 FT, TH 845.74 FT ALNG ARC OF CURV LFT-RAD 6172.68 FT-CH N 89-57-39 W 845.08 FT, TH 629.03 FT ALNG ARC OF CURV LFT-RAD 11227.79 FT-CH S 84-30-33 W 628.95 FT, TH 370.62 FT ALNG ARC OF CURV RRAD 13350.74 FT-CH S 83-41- 58 W 370.61 FT, TH S 84-29-50 W 260.82 FT, TH N 04-02-14 W 1099.94 FT, TH N 86-30-40 E 445.00 FT, TH N 02-31-29 W 2767.63 FT, TH S 89-33-00 E 153.99 FT, TH S 00-27-00 W 300.00 FT, TH S 89-33-00 E 200.00 FT, TH N 00-27-00 E 300.00 FT, TH S 89-33-00 E 222.09 FT TO THE P.O.B. PT OF E 1/2 SEC 13, T1S-R7E 86.94 AC

PIN A -01-13-400-004

LEGAL_DESCRIPTION: SM 13-3A-1A (9-88) COM AT NE COR SEC 13, TH N 89-33-00 W 1898.45 FT, TH S 02-31-29 E 2767.63 FT, TH S 86-30-40 W 445.00 FT TO POB, TH S 04-02-14 E 1099.94 FT, TH S 84-29-50 W 334.26 FT TH N 02-18-11 W 1111.88 FT, TH N 86-30-40 E 300.52 FT TO THE POB. PT OF SE 1/4 SEC 13, T1S-R7E 8.05 AC



Location Map



Total Acreage: 331



1:7,000



NO REPRODUCTION OF THIS PRINT
SHALL BE MADE WITHOUT THE
AUTHORIZATION OF THE
WASHTENAW COUNTY EQUALIZATION
DEPARTMENT ANN ARBOR, MI

The information contained in this cadastral map is used to locate, identify and inventory parcels of land in Washtenaw County for appraisal and taxing purposes only and is not to be construed as a "survey description". The information is provided with the understanding that the conclusions drawn from such information are solely the responsibility of the user. Any assumption of legal status of this data is hereby disclaimed.
NOTE: PARCELS MAY NOT BE TO SCALE